

145 FERC ¶ 61,224
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20426

December 19, 2013

In Reply Refer To:
Sky River LLC
Docket Nos. ER11-3277-002
ER13-2273-000

NextEra Energy Resources
801 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Suite 220
Washington, DC 20004

Attention: Gunnar Birgisson, Esq.
Counsel to Sky River LLC

Dear Mr. Birgisson:

1. On October 6, 2011, in Docket No. ER11-3277-002, as supplemented on August 28, 2013, in Docket No. ER13-2273-000, you submitted, on behalf of Sky River LLC (Sky River), revised tariff provisions in compliance with the Commission's order issued on September 6, 2011 in Docket Nos. ER11-3277-000, *et al.*¹ In the September 6 Order, the Commission conditionally accepted in part, rejected in part and directed modifications to Sky River's proposed open access transmission tariff (OATT), and directed Sky River to make a compliance filing. Specifically, in the September 6 Order, the Commission: (1) rejected Sky River's use of the term "Transmitting Utility" in its OATT to capture the distinction between the roles of a traditional transmission provider and an owner of a radial generation tie line, and advised Sky River to propose another term; (2) rejected Sky River's proposed Schedule 12, Reliability Requirements for Generation Resources Connected to the Transmitting Utility's Transmission System, to its OATT; and (3) directed Sky River to file a revised Attachment C, Available Transmission Capability (ATC), to its OATT.

2. In the August 28, 2013 supplemental filing, Sky River submitted two revisions to Attachment C to its OATT. Specifically, Sky River corrects its non-firm ATC

¹ *Sky River LLC*, 136 FERC ¶ 61,162 (2011) (September 6 Order).

calculation to insert the inadvertent missing “N” for non-firm in the calculation. In addition, Sky River proposes to insert a website link for ATC algorithms. Additionally, Sky River proposes to replace the term “Transmitting Utility” throughout the OATT with the term “Tie Line Owner.” Finally, Sky River proposes to delete Schedule 12 from its OATT.

3. Notice of Sky River’s filings in Docket Nos. ER11-3277-002 and in ER13-2273 were published in the *Federal Register*, 76 Fed. Reg. 64,339 (2011), 78 Fed. Reg. 54,882 (2013). No protests or interventions were filed in response to either.

4. The Commission finds that Sky River’s compliance filings satisfy the directives of the September 6 Order. We find that Sky River’s replacement of the term “Transmitting Utility” with “Tie Line Owner” appropriately captures the distinction between the roles of a traditional transmission provider and an owner of a radial generation tie line. Consistent with our decision in *Peetz Logan Interconnect, LLC*² we find that Sky River’s proposed term “Tie Line Owner” meets the Commission’s concerns expressed in the September 6 Order. Also, as directed by the Commission, Sky River has deleted Schedule 12 from its OATT.

5. In addition, Sky River’s revised Attachment C satisfactorily follows the Commission’s *pro forma* Attachment C requirements to the extent possible, deviating only in certain respects due to the unique operating characteristics of the Sky River transmission line. Specifically, Sky River states that its proposed Attachment C does not include mathematical algorithms to calculate firm and non-firm ATC for the scheduling horizon and the operating horizon, because Southern California Edison Company will undertake these responsibilities as the Transmission Operator of the Sky River transmission line under Sky River’s OATT. We find that Sky River’s revised Attachment C adequately provides a method for calculating ATC, as required by Order No. 890. Accordingly, we accept the proposed tariff sheets, effective April 2, 2011, in accordance with the September 6 Order.

By direction of the Commission.

Kimberly D. Bose,
Secretary.

² 142 FERC ¶ 61,035, at P 11 (2013).