California Independent System
Operator Corporation
Attention: David S. Zlotlow
250 Outcropping Way
Folsom, CA  95630

Dear Mr. Zlotlow:

1. On October 9, 2013, the California Independent System Operator Corporation (CAISO) submitted, pursuant to section 207 of the Federal Power Act (FPA),\(^1\) a petition seeking Commission approval to distribute the penalty proceeds collected for violations of CAISO’s Rules of Conduct. CAISO also requests confidential treatment of Attachment 1 of its filing, in accordance with 18 C.F.R. § 388.112.\(^2\) As discussed below, we grant CAISO’s petition.

2. CAISO explains that the Rules of Conduct set forth in section 37 of CAISO’s open access transmission tariff (tariff) require CAISO to collect penalties assessed pursuant to section 37.9 of its tariff and to deposit such amounts into an interest bearing trust account. CAISO states that, at the end of each calendar year, it allocates these proceeds, with accrued interest, to the scheduling coordinators of eligible market participants in accordance with the formula in section 37.9.4 of its tariff. CAISO states that the formula is based on the product of the amount in the trust account, including interest, and the ratio of the grid management charge that each scheduling coordinator incurred during the applicable calendar year. Further, CAISO must obtain the Commission’s approval to distribute the penalty proceeds prior to any disbursement.\(^3\)

\(^{1}\) 18 C.F.R. § 385.207 (2013).

\(^{2}\) CAISO October 9, 2013 Filing at 1 (CAISO Filing).

\(^{3}\) Id. at 2.
3. In the instant filing, CAISO seeks approval to distribute the proceeds from penalties assessed during the 2012 calendar year, plus accrued interest. In Attachment 1, CAISO sets forth the calculation of each participant’s share of the penalty proceeds.\(^4\) CAISO states that once it receives Commission approval to distribute the penalty proceeds, the final interest amount will be recalculated to correspond to the actual day on which the distribution will occur.

4. Notice of CAISO’s filing was published in the Federal Register, 78 Fed. Reg. 62,358 (2013), with interventions and protests due on or before October 30, 2013. Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) submitted a timely motion to intervene. No comments or protests were filed. Pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 C.F.R. § 385.214 (2013), the timely, unopposed motion of PG&E to intervene serves to make it a party to this proceeding.

5. We find that CAISO’s proposal to distribute penalty proceeds to market participants, as stated in Attachment 1 to its filing is just and reasonable. The methodology in CAISO’s proposal is consistent with the relevant provisions in its tariff for allocating and distributing penalty proceeds to scheduling coordinators. Therefore, we grant CAISO’s petition to distribute penalty proceeds in accordance with Attachment 1, subject to CAISO’s final interest calculation.

By direction of the Commission.

Kimberly D. Bose,
Secretary.

---

\(^4\) CAISO states that it assessed $1,478,126 in penalties for 2012. Id. at 2.