

FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20426

December 17, 2003

In Reply Refer To:  
PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.  
Docket No. ER98-3527-004

Wright & Talisman, P.C.  
Suite 600  
1200 G Street, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20005-3802

Attention: Barry S. Spector  
Counsel for PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.

Reference: PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.'s Report on Ancillary Services Markets

Dear Mr. Spector:

1. On April 3, 2000, you filed with the Commission on behalf of the PJM Interconnection, L.L.C. Market Monitoring Unit (MMU) its report on Ancillary Services Markets as directed in PJM Interconnection, L.L.C., 86 FERC ¶ 61,247 at 61,891 (1999) (March 10 Order).
2. Notice of the filing was published in the Federal Register, 65 Fed. Reg. 20,442 (2000), with comments, protests or interventions due on or before April 24, 2000. The Pennsylvania Public Utilities Commission, the Pennsylvania Office of Consumer Advocate, Connectiv, on behalf of Atlantic City Electric Company and Delmarva Power & Light, and the Maryland Office of People's Counsel filed timely motions to intervene. PPL Electric Utilities Corporation (PPL Utilities) filed a protest.
3. Pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 C.F.R. § 385.214 (2003), the notices of intervention and timely, unopposed motions to intervene serve to make the entities that filed them parties to this proceeding.
4. In its protest, PPL Utilities ask the Commission to reject any request by the MMU for authority to self-enforce data requests. PPL Utilities' protest is not relevant to the ancillary services report filed in the instant proceeding. Instead, PPL Utilities' arguments

relate to the MMU's report on enforcing data requests, which was filed in Docket No. ER98-3527-005.<sup>1</sup>

5. Accordingly, since PPL Utilities' protest does not contain any issues pertinent to the instant filing we will accept PJM's submittal for informational purposes.

By direction of the Commission.

Magalie R. Salas,  
Secretary.

---

<sup>1</sup> In a succession of subsequent orders, the Commission required PJM to revise its Market Monitoring Plan to eliminate gaps in oversight management and to permit the PJM MMU to fulfill the monitoring obligation placed on it by the Commission. This included the currently-effective requirement of allowing the PJM MMU to collect the data necessary to complete its investigation of market problems. These proceedings moot PPL Utilities' concern. See PJM Interconnection, L.L.C., Order Requiring the Filing of New Oversight Measures and Terminating Investigation, 97 FERC ¶ 61,319 (2001), on compliance, 99 FERC ¶ 61,170 (2002) and 104 FERC ¶ 61,020 (2003), on reh'g, 101 FERC ¶ 61,135 (2002).