

A Chance-constrained Unit Commitment Model for Power Systems with High Penetration of Renewable Energy

> Gabriela Martínez Lindsay Anderson

Department of Biological and Environmental Engineering Cornell University

FERC Technical Conference on Increasing Real-Time and Day-Ahead Market Efficiency through Improved Software

Objective



Development of a solution framework for Unit Commitment (UC) problems with random generation:



- Identify feasible (or ϵ -optimal) schedules
- Capable of representing uncertainty with large samples
- Applicable to reasonably-sized systems

Unit Commitment Problem



Find a cost-effective combination of units that serves the power demand of the system, while satisfies operating constraints of the units and transmission.



■ C^{gen}_{dyn}: ramping, up/down ■ C^{gen}_{stat}: generation limits times

Unit Commitment Problem



Find a cost-effective combination of units that serves the power demand of the system, while satisfies operating constraints of the units and transmission.



DC Power Flow Spinning Reserve Non-spinning Reserve



Given there is renewable generation, find a cost-effective combination of units that serves the power demand of the system, while satisfies operating constraints of the units and transmission.

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min & C(p_g, u_g, v_g) \\ & (p_g, u_g, v_g) \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{dyn}}^{\mathrm{gen}} \cap \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{stat}}^{\mathrm{gen}}, \\ & \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_k} p_{gn}^t + \mathbf{p}_{r_k}^t + p_{\mathrm{ij}_k}^t = \mathbf{L}_k^t, k \in \mathcal{K}, \\ & |p_{\mathrm{ij}_l}| \leq F_l, l \in \mathcal{B}, \\ & \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}} sp_n^t = Sr^t, \\ & \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}} sp_n^t + np_n^t = Sn^t \end{array}$$

Unit Commitment Problem Renewable generation: challenges





January 2014, ELIA - Belgium system

Stochastic Unit Commitment Formulation Stochastic two-stage model



Given a set of realization: $\omega \in \Omega$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min & C_1(\boldsymbol{u}_g, \boldsymbol{v}_g) + \mathbb{E}[C_2(\boldsymbol{p}_g)] \\ & (p_g(\omega), \boldsymbol{u}_g, \boldsymbol{v}_g) \in \mathbb{C}_{\mathrm{dyn}}^{\mathrm{gen}} \cap \mathbb{C}_{\mathrm{stat}}^{\mathrm{gen}}, \\ & \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_k} p_{gn}^t(\omega) + \mathbf{p}_{r_k}^t(\omega) + p_{\mathrm{ij}_k}^t(\omega) = \mathbf{L}_k^t, k \in \mathcal{K}, \\ & |p_{\mathrm{ij}_l}(\omega)| \leq F_l, l \in \mathcal{B}, \\ & \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}} sp_n^t(\omega) = Sr^t, \\ & \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}} sp_n^t(\omega) + np_n^t(\omega) = Sn^t \end{array}$$

 u_g, v_g is the (risk-neutral) commitment that minimizes the expected dispatch cost $\mathbb{E}[C_2(p_g)]$

Chance-Constrained Formulation Scenarios $\omega \in \Omega$



Risk-averse UC and probabilistic reserve levels:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min & C(u_g, v_g, p_g) \\ & (p_g, sp, np, u_g, v_g) \in \mathbb{C}_{\mathrm{dyn}}^{\mathrm{gen}} \cap \mathbb{C}_{\mathrm{stat}}^{\mathrm{gen}}, \\ & \mathbb{P}\big[\sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_k} p_{g_n}^t + p_{\mathrm{ij}k}^t = \mathbf{L}_k^t - \mathbf{p}_{r_k}^t, k \in \mathcal{K}\big] \geq \pi, \\ & |p_{\mathrm{ij}l}| \leq F_l, l \in \mathcal{B}, \\ & \mathbb{P}\big[\sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}} sp_n^t = Sr^t + \alpha \mathbf{p}_r^t\big] \geq \rho, \\ & \mathbb{P}\big[\sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}} sp_n^t + np_n^t = Sn^t + \beta \mathbf{p}_r^t\big] \geq \rho \end{array}$$

 (u_g, v_g, p_g) schedule determined by a risk-averse net-load operating level: $[L - p_r]_{\pi}$ (sp, np) system reserves allocated with a risk-averse renewable level: $[p_r]_{\rho}$



Risk-averse UC and probabilistic reserve levels:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min & C(u_g, v_g, p_g) \\ & (p_g, sp, np, u_g, v_g) \in \mathbb{C}_{\mathrm{dyn}}^{\mathrm{gen}} \cap \mathbb{C}_{\mathrm{stat}}^{\mathrm{gen}}, \\ & \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_k} p_{g_n}^t + p_{\mathrm{ij}_k^t} = w_k^t, k \in \mathcal{K}, \\ & |p_{\mathrm{ij}_l}| \leq F_l, l \in \mathcal{B}, \\ & \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}} sp_n^t = Sr^t + \alpha z^t, \\ & \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}} sp_n^t + np_n^t = Sn^t + \beta z^t, \\ & w \in \mathcal{W}_{\pi}, z \in \mathbb{Z}_{\rho} \end{array}$$

Netload: $\mathcal{W}_{\pi} = \{ w \in \mathbb{R}^{KT} : \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{L}_{t}^{k} - \mathbf{p}_{r_{k}}^{t} \leq w_{k}^{t}) \geq \pi \}$ Reserve renewable: $\mathcal{Z}_{\rho} = \{ z \in \mathbb{R}^{T} : \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{p}_{r}^{t} \leq z^{t}) \geq \rho \}$



Introduce artificial variables: $\bar{p}_g, \bar{sp}, \bar{np}, \bar{w}, \bar{z}$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min & C(u_g, v_g, p_g) \\ & (\bar{p}_g, \bar{sp}, \bar{np}, u_g, v_g) \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{dyn}}^{\mathrm{gen}} \cap \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{stat}}^{\mathrm{gen}}, \\ & \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_k} p_{gn}^t + p_{ij}_k^t = \bar{w}_k^t, k \in \mathcal{K}, \\ & |p_{ij}| \leq F_l, l \in \mathcal{B}, \\ & \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}} sp_n^t = Sr^t + \alpha \bar{z}^t, \\ & \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}} sp_n^t + np_n^t = Sn^t + \beta \bar{z}^t, \\ & p_g = \bar{p}_g, sp = \bar{sp}, np = \bar{np}, \\ & w = \bar{w}, z = \bar{z}, \\ & w \in \mathcal{W}_{\pi}, z \in \mathcal{Z}_{\rho} \end{array}$$

Decomposition Scheme



Relax artificial constraints: $\lambda_p, \lambda_{sp}, \lambda_{np}, \lambda_w, \lambda_z$

$$\begin{split} L(p,w,z) &= C(u_g,v_g,p_g) + \lambda_p^\top (p_g - \bar{p}_g) + \lambda_{sp}^\top (sp - \bar{sp}) \\ &+ \lambda_{np}^\top (np - \bar{np}) + \lambda_w^\top (w - \bar{w}) + \lambda_z^\top (z - \bar{z}), \end{split}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Commitment} \\ u_g, v_g \\ \bar{sp}, \bar{np}, \bar{p}_g \end{array}$$

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{L}_k^t - \mathbf{p}_{r_k}^t \le w) \ge \pi \ w \in \mathcal{W}_{\pi}$$





Feasible set example in 2D:



Given realizations: \mathcal{W}_{π} and \mathcal{Z}_{ρ} are non-convex (discrete).

Stochastic subproblem Risk-averse netload



$$\mathcal{W}_{\pi} = \{ w \in \mathbb{R}^{KT} : \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{L}_{t}^{k} - \mathbf{p}_{r_{k}}^{t} \leq w_{k}^{t}) \geq \pi \}$$

- Given a set of realizations $[\mathbf{L}_t^k \mathbf{p}_{r_k}^t](\omega), \omega \in \Omega$ with probability $1/|\Omega|$
- Check the π -boundary: for every realization $e(\omega)$

$$e(\omega) = \begin{cases} 1 & [\mathbf{L}_t^k - \mathbf{p}_{r_k}^t](\omega) \le w_k^t \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

• $w \in W_{\pi}$ if $\frac{1}{|\Omega|} \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} e(\omega) \ge \pi$ The subproblems: $\min\{\lambda_w^{\top} w | w \in W_{\pi}\}, \min\{\lambda_z^{\top} z | z \in \mathbb{Z}_{\rho}\}$ are combinatorial problems, difficult to solve.

Relaxation Approach - Stochastic Subproblems





Relaxation Approach - Stochastic Subproblems



Scenarios
$$\omega \in \Omega, w(\omega), z(\omega)$$

 $\hat{w}_k^t = [w_k^t]_{\delta}, \ \hat{z}^t = [z^t]_{\delta}, \ \delta \ge \pi$
 $\delta = \pi$
 $\delta = \pi$
 $\delta = 1$
 $\delta = 1$

Approximate Bundle Method







Wind farms: 53, 56, 32, 106, 6 – possible max generation 30%. Nuclear: 30, 40, 37, 5.

Committed units:

 $5,\!6,\!11,\!12,\!14,\!20,\!21,\!22,\!25,\!26,\!28,\!29,\!30,\!37,\!38,\!40,\!45,\!46,\!54$





Wind farms: 53, 56, 32, 106, 6. Nuclear: 30, 40, 37, 5. Committed units: 5,6,11,12,14,20,21,22,25,26,28,29,30,37,38,40,45,46,54





Wind farms: 53, 56, 32, 106, 6. Nuclear: 30, 40, 37, 5. Committed units: 5,6,11,12,14,20,21,22,25,26,28,29,30,37,38,40,45,46,54



Results - IEEE30 bus system





Wind farms: 1,5,21,22,26. Generators: 1,2,22,27,23. $\pi = 0.9$







Conclusions



The approach described has a number of advantages

- The risk-parameters can be set up by the user.
- The formulation is flexible and it can be implemented with OPF tools available MATPOWER.
- Different number of realization can be used to estimate the percentiles at each period of time and node (where is needed)- important to accommodate forecast errors.
- Probabilistic reserves could be used to prepare the system for possible variations of wind power.
- The approach could be used to analyze safety renewable integration levels.
- The approach identifies risk-averse commitment



- Robust analysis of safety levels of renewable energy integration - UC
- Given UC and renewable integration levels: Refinement of dispatch
- Test the approach with different characterization of wind power: cluster representatives