PJM FTR/ARR Allocation Technical Conference

(Docket Nos. EL16-6-001 and ER16-121-000)

February 4, 2016

Dr. Susan Pope

Examples

Example Illustrating Lack of Subsidy with Netting

Settlements Before Increment	al FTR Purchases, With N		Payout = 70.00%	
-	Participant X	Participant Y	Other Participants	Available Revenue
Congestion Collected	-	-	-	\$700.00
Positive Target Allocations	\$10.00	\$10.00	\$980.00	
Negative Target Allocations	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Net	\$10.00	\$10.00	\$980.00	
Payout	\$7.00	\$7.00	\$686.00	

- Participant X purchases incremental FTR from node A to node B
- Participant Y is paid for incremental FTR from node B to node A

Settlements After Incremental	FTR Purchases, With Ne		Payout = 70.00%	
_	Participant X	Participant Y	Other Participants	Available Revenue
Congestion Collected	-	-	-	\$700.00
Positive Target Allocations				
Original	\$10.00	\$10.00	\$980.00	
Incremental A to B FTR	\$5.00			
Total	\$15.00	\$10.00	\$980.00	
Negative Target Allocations				
Original	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	
Incremental B to A FTR		-\$5.00		
Total	\$0.00	-\$5.00	\$0.00	
Net	\$15.00	\$5.00	\$980.00	
Payout	\$10.50	\$3.50	\$686.00	
Change in Payout	\$3.50	-\$3.50	\$0.00	

Response to Monitoring Analytics' Examples, Adding Other Participants

IMM Example 2 (p. 3)

With Portfolio Netting, Payout = 70.00%											
$oxed{\mathbb{I}}$	Participant X	Participant Y	Other Participants	Available Revenue							
Congestion Collected	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	-	\$700.00							
Positive Target Allocations	\$10.00	\$10.00	\$980.00	\$0.00							
Negative Target Allocations	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00							
Net	\$10.00	\$10.00	\$980.00	\$700.00							
Total Payout with Netting	\$7.00	\$7.00	\$686.00	-							
Without Portfolio Netting, Payout = 70.00%											
	Participant X	Participant Y	Other Participants	Available Revenue							
Congestion Collected	-	-	-	\$700.00							
Positive Target Allocations	\$10.00	\$10.00	\$980.00	\$0.00							
Negative Target Allocations	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00							
Net	\$10.00	\$10.00	\$980.00	\$700.00							
Total Payout without Netting	\$7.00	\$7.00	\$686.00	-							
With/Without Netting Difference	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	-							

IMM Example 3 (p. 4), adds incremental FTRs to Example 2

	With Portfoli	o Netting, Payout = 70.00%		
	Participant X	Participant Y	Other Participants	Available Revenue
Congestion Collected	-	-	-	\$700.00
Positive Target Allocations	<mark>\$15.00</mark>	\$10.00	\$980.00	\$0.00
Negative Target Allocations	\$0.00	<mark>-\$5.00</mark>	\$0.00	\$0.00
Net	\$15.00	\$5.00	\$980.00	\$700.00
Total Payout with Netting	\$10.50	\$3.50	\$686.00	-
	Without Portfo	olio Netting, Payout = 70.15%		
	Participant X	Participant Y	Other Participants	Available Revenue
Congestion Collected	-	-	-	\$700.00
Positive Target Allocations	\$15.00	\$10.00	\$980.00	\$0.00
Negative Target Allocations	\$0.00	-\$5.00	\$0.00	-\$5.00
Net	\$15.00	\$5.00	\$980.00	\$705.00
Total Payout without Netting	\$10.52	\$2.01	\$687.46	-
With/Without Netting Difference	\$0.02	-\$1.49	\$1.46	-
Payout Change With Netting	\$3.50	-\$3.50	\$0.00	
Payout Change Without Netting	\$3.52	-\$4.99	\$1.46	

IMM Example (p. 5), Effective Pavout Ratio for Positive Target Allocations

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
	Congestion	= \$4,750 Net T	$\Gamma A = \$9,500$			With Netting		Without Netting			
							Calculated			Calculated	
	Positive	Negative		Reported	Congestion	1	Positive TA	Congestion		Positive TA	
	Target	Target	Net Target	Payout	Revenu	e Revenue To	Payout	Revenue	Revenue to	Payout	
Participant	Allocations	Allocations	Allocations	Ratio	Received	l Positive TA	Ratio	Received	Positive TA	Ratio	
1	\$1,000.00	(\$750.00)	\$250.00	50%	\$125.00	\$875.00	87.5%	(\$204.55)	\$545.45	54.5%	
2	\$750.00	(\$200.00)	\$550.00	50%	\$275.00	\$475.00	63.3%	\$209.09	\$409.09	54.5%	
3	\$8,700.00	\$0.00	\$8,700.00	50%	\$4,350.00	\$4,350.00	50.0%	\$4,745.45	\$4,745.45	54.5%	

IMM Example (p. 5), with Addition of Incremental FTR PurchasesParticipants 2 and 3 each purchase a 1MW FTR from node A to node B with a target allocation of \$100.

Participant 1 is paid for a 2 MW FTR from node B to node A which makes the purchases of Participants 2 and 3 possible.

r	P				r							
	1	2	3	4	5	6		7	8	9	10	
	Congestion	= \$4,750 Net '	$\Gamma A = \$9,500$			With Netting			Without Netting			
								Calculated			Calculated	
	Positive	Negative		Reported	Conges	tion		Positive TA	Congestion		Positive TA	
	Target	Target	Net Target	Payout	Reve	enue Rev	enue To	Payout	Revenue	Revenue to	Payout	
Participant	Allocations	Allocations	Allocations	Ratio	Recei	ived Pos	itive TA	Ratio	Received	Positive TA	Ratio	
1	\$1,000.00	(\$950.00)	\$50.00	50%	\$25	5.00	\$975.00	97.5%	(\$396.01)	\$553.99	55.4%	
2	\$850.00	(\$200.00)	\$650.00	50%	\$325	5.00	\$525.00	61.8%	\$270.89	\$470.89	55.4%	
3	\$8,800.00	\$0.00	\$8,800.00	50%	\$4,400	0.00 \$	4,400.00	50.0%	\$4,875.12	\$4,875.12	55.4%	
Total	\$10,650.00	(\$1,150.00)	\$9,500.00	-	\$4,750	0.00 \$	5,900.00	-	\$4,750.00	\$5,900.00	-	

Change Due to Incremental FTR Purchases

	1	2	3	4	5		6		7	8	9	10	
	Congestion	= \$4,750 Net	TA = \$9,500	1		_	With Net	ting		1	Netting		
									Calculated			Calculated	
	Positive	Negative		Reported	Conge	stion			Positive TA	Congestion		Positive TA	
	Target	Target	Net Targe	et Payout	Rev	enue	Revenue	e To	Payout	Revenue	Revenue to	Payout	
Participant	Allocations	Allocations	Allocation	s Ratio	Reco	eived	Positive	TA	Ratio	Received	Positive TA	Ratio	
1	\$0.00	(\$200.00)	(\$200.00	0%	(\$10	(0.00)	\$100	0.00	10.0%	(\$191.46)	\$8.54	0.9%	
2	\$100.00	\$0.00	\$100.0	0 0%	\$5	50.00	\$50	0.00	(1.6%)	<mark>\$61.80</mark>	\$61.80	0.9%	
3	\$100.00	\$0.00	\$100.0	0 0%	\$5	50.00	\$50	0.00	0.0%	<mark>\$129.66</mark>	\$129.66	0.9%	
Total	\$200.00	(\$200.00)	\$0.0	0 -	9	\$0.00	\$200	0.00		\$0.00	\$200.00		