

133 FERC ¶ 61,216  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

18 CFR Part 284

[Docket No. RM09-2-001; Order No. 735-A]

Contract Reporting Requirements of Intrastate Natural Gas Companies

(Issued December 16, 2010)

AGENCY: Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Order on Rehearing.

SUMMARY: In this Order on Rehearing, the Commission addresses pending requests to reconsider or clarify Order No. 735, in which it reformed its reporting requirements and instituted Form No. 549D – Quarterly Transportation and Storage Report for Intrastate Natural Gas and Hinshaw Pipelines. Order No. 735-A generally reaffirms the Final Rule. It also retracts the increased requirements for contract end dates and per-customer revenue, extends the filing deadlines from 30 days to 60 days after each reporting quarter, and offers clarification on several matters. Simultaneously with this order, the Commission is issuing a Notice of Inquiry under a separate docket to explore reforms to the semi-annual storage reporting requirements for interstate and intrastate storage companies.

EFFECTIVE DATE: The revisions made in this Order on Rehearing, as in the previous Final Rule, will become effective **April 1, 2011**.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Docket No. RM09-2-001

- 2 -

Vince Mareino (Legal Information)  
Office of the General Counsel  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First Street, NE  
Washington, DC 20426  
(202) 502-6167  
Vince.Mareino@ferc.gov

James Sarikas (Technical Information)  
Office of Energy Markets Regulation  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First Street, NE  
Washington, DC 20426  
(202) 502-6831  
James.Sarikas@ferc.gov

Thomas Russo (Technical Information)  
Office of Enforcement  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First Street, NE  
Washington, DC 20426  
(202) 502-8792  
Thomas.Russo@ferc.gov

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

Contract Reporting Requirements of Intrastate Natural  
Gas Companies

Docket No. RM09-2-001

ORDER NO. 735-A

ORDER ON REHEARING

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Paragraph Numbers</u>
I. Background .....	<u>3.</u>
II. Discussion .....	<u>15.</u>
A. Changes to the Quarterly Reporting Requirement .....	<u>17.</u>
1. Interruptible Contract End-Dates.....	<u>17.</u>
2. Customer Revenues .....	<u>20.</u>
3. Quarterly Reporting Deadlines.....	<u>24.</u>
B. Justification for Increased Transparency Required by the Rule .....	<u>26.</u>
1. Statutory Authority to Require Public Disclosure .....	<u>31.</u>
2. Harm to Storage Providers with Market-based Rates .....	<u>39.</u>
3. Evidence .....	<u>48.</u>
4. Insufficiency of Periodic Rate Review .....	<u>58.</u>
5. Burden.....	<u>61.</u>
C. Identification of Receipt Points .....	<u>64.</u>
D. Identification of Shippers .....	<u>67.</u>
E. Prior Period Adjustment and Inactive Contracts .....	<u>70.</u>
F. Semi-Annual Storage Report .....	<u>74.</u>
G. Effective Date and Technical Workshop .....	<u>77.</u>
III. Information Collection Statement .....	<u>79.</u>
IV. Environmental Analysis .....	<u>82.</u>
V. Regulatory Flexibility Act .....	<u>83.</u>
VI. Document Availability .....	<u>84.</u>
VII. Effective Date .....	<u>87.</u>

133 FERC ¶ 61,216  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

Before Commissioners: Jon Wellinghoff, Chairman;  
Marc Spitzer, Philip D. Moeller,  
John R. Norris, and Cheryl A. LaFleur.

Contract Reporting Requirements of Intrastate Natural Gas Companies      Docket No. RM09-2-001

ORDER NO. 735-A

ORDER ON REHEARING

(Issued December 16, 2010)

1. On May 20, 2010, the Commission issued Order No. 735,<sup>1</sup> revising the contract reporting requirements for (1) intrastate natural gas pipelines<sup>2</sup> providing interstate transportation service pursuant to section 311 of the Natural Gas Policy Act of 1978 (NGPA)<sup>3</sup> and (2) Hinshaw pipelines providing interstate service subject to the Commission's Natural Gas Act (NGA) section 1(c) jurisdiction pursuant to blanket

---

<sup>1</sup> *Contract Reporting Requirements of Intrastate Natural Gas Companies*, Order No. 735, 75 FR 29404, FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 31,310 (2010) (Order No. 735 or Final Rule).

<sup>2</sup> Under section 2(16) of the NGPA, 15 U.S.C. 3301(16), the term "intrastate pipeline" may refer to all entities engaged in natural gas transportation under section 311 of the NGPA or section 1(c) of the NGA. For consistency, this Final Rule will also use the terms "transportation," "pipeline," and "shippers" to refer inclusively to storage activity (except where noted).

<sup>3</sup> 15 U.S.C. 3372.

certificates issued under § 284.224 of the Commission's regulations.<sup>4</sup> Order No. 735 sought to bring the less stringent transactional reporting requirements for section 311 and Hinshaw pipelines closer in line with the reporting requirements for interstate pipelines, without imposing unduly burdensome requirements on the pipelines. Specifically, Order No. 735 revised § 284.126(b) of the Commission's regulations and replaced Form No. 549 – Intrastate Pipeline Annual Transportation Report with the new Form No. 549D, so as to (1) increase the reporting frequency from annual to quarterly, (2) include certain additional types of information and cover storage transactions as well as transportation transactions,<sup>5</sup> (3) establish a procedure for Form No. 549D to be filed in a uniform electronic format and posted on the Commission's web site, and (4) hold that those reports must be public and may not be filed with information redacted as privileged. Order No. 735 also modified Commission policy concerning periodic reviews

---

<sup>4</sup> Section 1(c) of the NGA exempts from the Commission's NGA jurisdiction those pipelines which transport gas in interstate commerce if (1) they receive natural gas at or within the boundary of a state, (2) all the gas is consumed within that state, and (3) the pipeline is regulated by a state Commission. This exemption is referred to as the Hinshaw exemption after the Congressman who introduced the bill amending the NGA to include section 1(c). *See ANR Pipeline Co. v. Federal Energy Regulatory Comm'n*, 71 F.3d 897, 898 (1995) (briefly summarizing the history of the Hinshaw exemption).

<sup>5</sup> This Final Rule does not eliminate or revise 18 CFR 284.126(c) and the corresponding Form No. 537, which require a semi-annual storage report.

of the rates charged by section 311 and Hinshaw pipelines to extend the cycle for such reviews from 3 years to 5 years.

2. In this order, the Commission addresses requests for rehearing or clarification of Order No. 735. Five requests for rehearing or clarification of Order No. 735 were timely filed, by Arkansas Oklahoma Gas Corporation (AOG), Enstor Operating Company, LLC (Enstor), Enogex LLC (Enogex), Jefferson Island Storage & Hub, L.L.C. (Jefferson), and the Texas Pipeline Association (TPA). As discussed below, we largely affirm Order No. 735, granting a limited number of rehearing requests and clarifying the order.<sup>6</sup>

### **I. Background**

3. NGPA section 311 authorizes the Commission to allow intrastate pipelines to transport natural gas “on behalf of” interstate pipelines or local distribution companies served by interstate pipelines “under such terms and conditions as the Commission may prescribe.”<sup>7</sup> NGPA section 601(a)(2) exempts transportation service authorized under NGPA section 311 from the Commission’s NGA jurisdiction. Congress adopted these provisions in order to eliminate the regulatory barriers between the intrastate and

---

<sup>6</sup> The Appendix to this order includes a static PDF version of the draft revised Form No. 549D. The Appendix will not be included in the *Federal Register*, but is available on the Commission’s eLibrary site. The draft revised form is being submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval.

<sup>7</sup> 15 U.S.C. 3371(c).

interstate markets and to promote the entry of intrastate pipelines into the interstate market. After the adoption of the NGPA, the Commission authorized Hinshaw pipelines to apply for NGA section 7 certificates, authorizing them to transport natural gas in interstate commerce in the same manner as intrastate pipelines may do under NGPA section 311.<sup>8</sup>

4. Subpart C of the Commission's Part 284 open access regulations (18 CFR 284.121-126) implements the provisions of NGPA section 311 concerning transportation by intrastate pipelines. Those regulations require that intrastate pipelines performing interstate service under NGPA section 311 must do so on an open access basis.<sup>9</sup> However, as described in Order No. 735, the Commission has not imposed on intrastate pipelines all of the Part 284 open access transportation requirements imposed on interstate pipelines, consistent with the NGPA's goal of encouraging intrastate pipelines to provide interstate service.<sup>10</sup> Thus, the Commission does not require intrastate pipelines

---

<sup>8</sup> *Certain Transportation, Sales, and Assignments by Pipeline Companies not Subject to Commission Jurisdiction Under Section 1(c) of the Natural Gas Act*, Order No. 63, FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 30,118, at 30,824-25 (1980).

<sup>9</sup> See 18 CFR 284.7(b), 284.9(b), and 284.122.

<sup>10</sup> See *Associated Gas Distributors v. FERC*, 824 F.2d 981, 1002-1003 (D.C. Cir. 1987) (*Associated Gas Distributors*); *Mustang Energy Corp. v. Federal Energy Regulatory Comm'n*, 859 F.2d 1447, 1457 (10<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1988), *cert. denied*, 490 U.S. 1019 (1988); see also *EPGT Texas Pipeline*, 99 FERC ¶ 61,295 (2002).

to offer firm open access service, or comply with the requirements of Order No. 636, such as capacity release and flexible receipt and delivery points.<sup>11</sup> Section 284.224 of the Commission's regulations provides for the issuance of blanket certificates to Hinshaw pipelines to provide open access transportation service "to the same extent that, and in the same manner" as intrastate pipelines are authorized to perform such service by Subpart C.

5. The Commission currently has less stringent transactional reporting requirements for NGPA section 311 intrastate pipelines and Hinshaw pipelines, than for interstate pipelines. In Order No. 637,<sup>12</sup> the Commission revised the reporting requirements for interstate pipelines in order to provide more transparent pricing information and to permit more effective monitoring for the exercise of market power and undue discrimination. As adopted by Order No. 637, § 284.13(b) of the Commission's regulations requires

---

<sup>11</sup> *Pipeline Service Obligations, and Revisions to Regulations Governing Self-Implementing Transportation Under Part 284 of the Commission's Regulations; Regulation of Natural Gas Pipelines After Partial Wellhead Decontrol*, Order No. 636-B, 61 FERC ¶ 61,272, at 61,992 n.26 (1992), *order on reh'g*, 62 FERC ¶ 61,007 (1993), *aff'd in part and remanded in part sub nom. United Distribution Cos. v. FERC*, 88 F.3d 1105 (D.C. Cir. 1996), *order on remand*, Order No. 636-C, 78 FERC ¶ 61,186 (1997).

<sup>12</sup> *Regulation of Short-Term Natural Gas Transportation Services and Regulation of Interstate Natural Gas Transportation Services*, Order No. 637, FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 31,091, *clarified*, Order No. 637-A, FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 31,099, *reh'g denied*, Order No. 637-B, 92 FERC ¶ 61,062 (2000), *aff'd in part and remanded in part sub nom. Interstate Natural Gas Ass'n of America v. FERC*, 285 F.3d 18 (D.C. Cir. 2002), *order on remand*, 101 FERC ¶ 61,127 (2002), *order on reh'g*, 106 FERC ¶ 61,088 (2004), *aff'd sub nom. American Gas Ass'n v. FERC*, 428 F.3d 255 (D.C. Cir. 2005).



interstate pipelines to post on their internet websites basic information on each transportation and storage transaction with individual shippers, no later than the first nomination under a transaction. This information includes:

- The name of the shipper
- The contract number (for firm service)
- The rate charged
- The maximum rate
- The duration (for firm service)
- The receipt and delivery points and zones covered
- The quantity of natural gas covered
- Any special terms or details, such as any deviations from the tariff
- Whether any affiliate relationship exists.

6. In addition, § 284.13(e) of the Commission's regulations requires interstate pipelines to file semi-annual reports of their storage injection and withdrawal activities, including the identities of the customers, the volumes injected into and withdrawn from storage for each customer and the unit charge and total revenues received.

7. The Commission has not imposed any daily transactional posting requirement on section 311 and Hinshaw pipelines comparable to the daily posting requirement in Order No. 637. Until Order No. 735, § 284.126(b) of the Commission's regulations only required intrastate pipelines to file annual reports of their transportation transactions with

the Commission, excluding storage transactions. Those reports included the following information:

- The name of the shipper receiving transportation service
- The type of service performed (i.e. firm or interruptible)
- The total volumes transported for the shipper, including for firm service a separate statement of reservation and usage quantities
- Total revenues received for the shipper, including for firm service a separate statement of reservation and usage revenues.

8. Unlike the interstate pipelines' transactional posting requirements adopted by Order No. 637, § 284.126(b) of the Commission's regulations did not require intrastate pipelines to report the rate charged under each contract, the duration of the contract, the receipt and delivery points, and the zones or segments covered by each contract, whether the contract includes any special terms and conditions, or whether there is an affiliate relationship between the pipeline and the shipper.

9. Section 284.126(c) of the Commission's regulations requires section 311 intrastate pipelines and Hinshaw pipelines to file a semi-annual report of their storage activity, within 30 days of the end of each complete storage and injection season. This requirement is substantially the same as the 18 CFR 284.13(e) requirement that interstate pipelines file such semi-annual reports of their storage activity.

10. In November 2008, the Commission denied a request by SG Resources Mississippi, L.L.C. (SGRM), an interstate storage provider with market-based rates, for waiver of the Order No. 637 requirements that interstate pipelines post the rates charged in each transaction no later than first nomination for service. SGRM contended that the Order No. 637 daily posting requirements placed market-based rate interstate storage providers at a competitive disadvantage with market-based rate NGPA section 311 intrastate storage providers, who were subject only to semi-annual storage and annual transportation reporting requirements. The Commission held that the interstate pipeline posting requirements are necessary to provide shippers with the price transparency they need to make informed decisions, and the ability to monitor transactions for undue discrimination and preference.<sup>13</sup> The Commission also found that the requested exemption would be contrary to NGA section 4(c)'s requirement that "every natural gas company . . . keep open . . . for public inspection . . . all rates."<sup>14</sup>

11. However, simultaneously with the denial of SGRM's waiver request, the Commission commenced this proceeding with a Notice of Inquiry (NOI) in order to explore (1) whether the disparate reporting requirements for interstate and intrastate pipelines have an adverse competitive effect on the interstate pipelines and (2) if so,

---

<sup>13</sup> *SG Resources Mississippi, L.L.C.*, 125 FERC ¶ 61,191 (2008) (*SGRM*).

<sup>14</sup> 15 U.S.C. 717c(c).

whether the Commission should modify the reporting requirements for section 311 intrastate pipelines and Hinshaw pipelines in order to make them more comparable to the 18 CFR 284.13(b) posting requirements for interstate pipelines.<sup>15</sup> Based upon the comments received in response to the NOI, the Commission issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NOPR),<sup>16</sup> proposing to revise its transactional reporting requirements for intrastate pipelines. The Commission determined not to impose the full interstate pipeline daily transactional posting requirements on section 311 and Hinshaw pipelines. The Commission was concerned that the burden of a daily internet posting requirement could discourage section 311 and Hinshaw pipelines from performing interstate service, contrary to the purpose of the NGPA. In addition, it did not appear from the comments that there was widespread concern among interstate pipelines that foregoing a daily posting requirement would cause significant adverse competitive effects. However, the Commission proposed increased transactional reporting requirements for section 311 and Hinshaw pipelines in order to provide shippers and the Commission with more timely and useful information concerning the transactions entered into by section 311 and Hinshaw pipelines.

---

<sup>15</sup> *Contract Reporting Requirement of Intrastate Natural Gas Companies*, FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 35,559 (2008) (NOI).

<sup>16</sup> *Contract Reporting Requirements of Intrastate Natural Gas Companies*, FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 32,644 (2009) (NOPR).

12. As adopted by Order No. 735, the increased transactional reporting requirements for section 311 and Hinshaw pipelines are as follows. First, the Commission modified the existing 18 CFR 284.126(b) annual transportation reporting requirement to require section 311 and Hinshaw pipelines to make the report on a quarterly basis. Second, the Commission required that the reports cover storage transactions as well as transportation transactions. Third, Order No. 735 required that the reports must contain the following information on each transaction, aggregated by contract:

- i. The full legal name, and identification number, of the shipper receiving the service, including whether there is an affiliate relationship between the pipeline and the shipper;
- ii. The type of service performed (i.e., firm or interruptible transportation, storage, or other service);
- iii. The rate charged under each contract, specifying the rate schedule/name of service and docket where the rates were approved. The report should separately state each rate component set forth in the contract (i.e., reservation, usage, and any other charges);
- iv. The primary receipt and delivery points covered by the contract, identified by the list of points that the pipeline has published with the Commission, which shall include the industry common code for each point where one has already been established;

- v. The quantity of natural gas the shipper is entitled to transport, store, or deliver under each contract;
  - vi. The duration of the contract, specifying the beginning and ending month and year of the current agreement;
  - vii. Total volumes transported, stored, injected, or withdrawn for the shipper; and
  - viii. Total revenues received for the shipper. The report should separately state revenues received under each rate component.
13. Finally, Order No. 735 established a procedure for the Form No. 549D reports to be filed in a uniform electronic format and posted on the Commission's web site, and held that those reports must be public and may not be filed with information redacted as privileged. The Commission found that these transactional reporting requirements appropriately balanced the need for increased transparency of section 311 and Hinshaw pipeline transactions, while avoiding unduly burdensome requirements that might discourage such pipelines from participating in the interstate market.
14. While Order No. 735 revised the 18 CFR 284.126(b) report to include storage transactions, the Commission continued to require section 311 and Hinshaw pipelines to make the semi-annual storage activity reports currently required by § 284.126(c) of the Commission's regulations. The Commission explained in the NOPR that those reports included information that is not contained in the proposed quarterly transactional reports.

Specifically, § 284.126(c) of the Commission's regulations requires section 311 and Hinshaw pipelines to report total volumes injected into storage during each complete storage injection season and total volumes withdrawn from storage during each complete storage withdrawal season. Such seasonal information is not captured by the new 18 CFR 284.126(b) quarterly transactional reports, because those reports do not correlate with the typical five-month withdrawal and seven-month injection seasons. The Commission also stated that retaining the 18 CFR 284.126(c) semi-annual storage activity report for section 311 and Hinshaw pipelines is consistent with the Commission's existing requirement, in § 284.13(e) of the Commission's regulations, that interstate pipelines also make such semi-annual storage activity reports in addition to posting transactional information pursuant to § 284.13(c) of the Commission's regulations.

## **II. Discussion**

15. For the reasons discussed below, the Commission generally denies rehearing of Order No. 735. However, the Commission does grant rehearing in several respects. First, the Commission removes the requirement that the new quarterly reports include the contract end-date for interruptible transactions. Second, the Commission eliminates the increased per-customer revenue reporting requirements by requiring such revenues to be reported only on an annual basis and excluding storage revenues from the report. Third, the Commission extends the deadline for submitting the quarterly reports from approximately 30 days after the end of the quarter to 60 days. With these modifications,

the Commission reaffirms all other aspects of Order No. 735, including the requirements that the quarterly reports be filed in a uniform electronic format with no information redacted as privileged and be posted on the Commission's web site. Contemporaneously with this order, the Commission is also issuing an NOI in Docket No. RM11-4-000 to consider issues related the existing semi-annual storage reporting requirement for both interstate pipelines and section 311 and Hinshaw pipelines.

16. Below, we first discuss the modifications to Order No. 735 we are making on rehearing. We then turn to the other objections to Order No. 735.

**A. Changes to the Quarterly Reporting Requirement**

**1. Interruptible Contract End-Dates**

17. Section 284.126(b)(1)(vi) of the Commission's regulations, as adopted by Order No. 735, requires that section 311 and Hinshaw pipelines include in their quarterly transactional reports the duration of each active contract for both firm and interruptible service, including the beginning and ending date. Before Order No. 735, 18 CFR 284.126(b) did not require this information from intrastate pipelines. Currently 18 CFR 284.13(b)(1)(v) requires interstate pipelines to post the duration of firm contracts but 18 CFR 284.13(b)(2) has no similar requirement to post the duration of interruptible contracts. In addition, 18 CFR 284.13(c)(2)(iv) requires interstate pipelines to report the effective and expiration dates for firm transportation and storage contracts quarterly as



part of their Index of Customers, but not for interruptible contracts.<sup>17</sup> Neither the interstate nor intrastate semi-annual storage reports require this information.

18. On rehearing, Enstor objects to the requirement that section 311 and Hinshaw pipelines reveal the ending dates of their interruptible storage contracts, including contracts for park and loan service. Enstor states that it enters into separate contracts for each interruptible transaction and that the end date of those transactions is commercially sensitive. Despite the time lag between the execution of a contract and its ultimate disclosure in a quarterly report, the ending date of an interruptible transaction may still be in the future when the quarterly report is filed. Enstor states that knowledge of the forward month when a parking or lending transaction will end will enable other market participants to recreate the storage position of individual Enstor customers. Enstor states that, as a result, a potential storage customer interested in a short-term parking arrangement customer will be able to “lowball” Enstor based on its knowledge of Enstor’s inventory and pricing information. Enstor argues that requiring market-based intrastate pipelines to reveal this information, while not imposing a similar requirement on interstate pipelines, results in unduly disparate treatment of the two types of

---

<sup>17</sup> 18 CFR 284.13 (c)(2)(iv) (2010, prior to effective date of Order No. 735).

pipelines.<sup>18</sup> Enstor urges the Commission to remove the requirement to report the end-date of interruptible transactions in order to maintain its policy in Order No. 735 of equalizing NGA and section 311/Hinshaw reporting requirements. Enogex makes a similar argument from a theoretical perspective, arguing that the expansion of the reporting requirements would indirectly impose a greater burden on section 311 companies than on interstate pipelines which, it argues, is contrary to the intent of the NGPA.<sup>19</sup>

19. The Commission will revise 18 CFR 284.126 (b)(1)(vi) so that section 311 and Hinshaw pipelines are only required to report contract end-dates for firm transportation and firm storage contracts, not for interruptible contracts. Because interstate pipelines are not required to report the end-dates of their interruptible transactions, imposing such a requirement on section 311 and Hinshaw pipelines is contrary to Order No. 735's purpose of making the reporting requirements for the two sets of pipelines more similar. The absence of such a reporting requirement for interstate pipelines does not appear to have hampered the ability of the Commission and other interested parties to monitor the market for undue discrimination. Moreover, some pipelines, unlike Enstor, do not enter

---

<sup>18</sup> None of the commenters on the NOPR objected specifically to the proposal to require the ending dates of interruptible contracts to be reported, although they did raise concerns generally about commercially sensitive data.

<sup>19</sup> Enogex at 16.

into separate contracts for each interruptible transaction, but rather enter into a single master interruptible contract under which multiple individual transactions may occur. In such circumstances, the end-date of the interruptible contract is of limited significance.

## **2. Customer Revenues**

20. Before Order No. 735, § 284.126(b) of the Commission's regulations required section 311 and Hinshaw pipelines to report, on an annual basis, the actual revenues collected from each transportation customer, not including storage.<sup>20</sup> The Commission does not currently require interstate pipelines to report revenues received from each customer for non-storage services. Both the interstate and intrastate semi-annual storage reports, however, do require reporting of the revenues received from each storage customer during storage injection and withdrawal seasons.<sup>21</sup> Section 284.126(b)(1)(viii) of the Commission's regulations, as adopted by Order No. 735, requires section 311 and Hinshaw pipelines to report the total revenues received from each shipper on a quarterly basis for both transportation and storage.

21. In its rehearing request, Enstor urges the Commission to exempt storage providers with market-based rates from the requirement to report per-customer revenues publicly. Among other arguments, Enstor points out that interstate storage providers are not

---

<sup>20</sup> 18 CFR 284.126(b)(4) (2010, prior to effective date of Order No. 735).

<sup>21</sup> 18 CFR 284.13(e)(5), 284.126(c)(5).

required to report this information in their daily web site postings. Enstor therefore asserts that, in this respect, the new quarterly reports required by Order No. 735 actually require more information from intrastate than interstate storage providers, contrary to the Commission's stated intent of bringing the intrastate and interstate reporting requirements more in line with each other. Enstor asserts that the requirement would put intrastate storage providers at a competitive disadvantage to interstate storage providers. Enstor also states that while such customer-by-customer revenue information is included in the semi-annual storage reports of both interstate and intrastate pipelines, Enstor and other pipelines file such reports subject to a request for privileged treatment.

22. We grant rehearing in part on this issue, and will revise 18 CFR 284.126(b)(1)(viii) and the analogous lines of Form No. 549D so as to (1) collect per-customer revenue information only on an annual basis and (2) exclude storage revenues from the report. This will return the per-customer revenue reporting requirement to the *status quo* before Order No. 735. As a result, section 311 and Hinshaw storage providers will not be subject to any greater per-customer revenue reporting requirement than interstate pipelines. Both sets of pipelines will continue to be required to report per-customer revenues for storage services in the semi-annual storage reports, required by 18 CFR 284.126(c)(5) for section 311 and Hinshaw pipelines and by 18 CFR 284.13(e)(5) for interstate pipelines. In a contemporaneous NOI, the Commission is requesting comments on whether the existing semi-annual storage reporting requirements

for both interstate pipelines and section 311 and Hinshaw pipelines should be modified.

The issue of whether any change is warranted in the current per-customer storage revenue reporting requirement, including the confidentiality of that information, will be considered in that proceeding.

23. The Commission recognizes that the requirement that section 311 and Hinshaw pipelines report annual non-storage revenues imposes a greater reporting requirement on those pipelines, than on interstate pipelines. Interstate pipelines are not required to make any report of per-customer non-storage revenues. However, that is a reporting disparity that exists in the Commission's current regulations. The Commission relies on the existing annual reports of per-customer non-storage revenues to verify information submitted by section 311 and Hinshaw pipelines in their rate cases, and therefore finds that such information should continue to be collected. In addition, the rehearing applicants do not appear to have significant concerns about the commercial sensitivity of non-storage revenue information. Rather, they are primarily concerned that making storage revenue public on a quarterly basis could place storage providers with market-based rates at a competitive disadvantage against their shippers who could use the relatively fresh revenue information to seek lower prices than they might otherwise obtain.

### **3. Quarterly Reporting Deadlines**

24. Order No. 735 required that each quarterly report be filed on the first day of the month one month after the end of the relevant quarter, or roughly 30 days from the end of each quarter. Jefferson and TPA both urge the Commission to extend the due dates for filing quarterly reports. Both parties argue that Form No. 549D is much more detailed than previous reports, and thus will require more time to compile. TPA notes that some pipelines' measurement and accounting systems are designed to only send invoices 30 days after the end of the service month, and so they could not file reports so soon.

Jefferson seeks a 90-day window between the close of the reporting period and the date when the report is due; TPA seeks a 60-day window.

25. The Commission will revise 18 CFR 284.126(b)(2) so as to provide a roughly 60-day window. While the Commission has used 30-day windows for other natural gas pipeline reports,<sup>22</sup> Form No. 549D is fairly detailed and may require more time to complete. It may be more comparable in this sense to the Form No. 3-Q quarterly financial report, which uses a 60-day window.<sup>23</sup> Accordingly, 18 CFR 284.126(b)(2) is

---

<sup>22</sup> Intrastate pipelines must file semi-annual storage reports within 30 days of the end of each complete storage injection and withdrawal season. 18 CFR 284.126(c).

<sup>23</sup> Natural gas companies that file a FERC Form 2 must file the FERC Form 3-Q within 60 days after the reporting quarter, and companies that file a FERC Form 2-A must file the FERC Form 3-Q within 70 days. 18 CFR 260.300(b)(vii), (c)(vii).

amended to state that the quarterly Form No. 549D report for the period January 1 through March 31 must be filed on or before June 1; the quarterly report for the period April 1 through June 30 must be filed on or before September 1; the quarterly report for the period July 1 through September 30 must be filed on or before December 1; and the quarterly report for the period October 1 through December 31 must be filed on or before March 1.

**B. Justification for Increased Transparency Required by the Rule**

26. Order No. 735 adopted increased transactional reporting requirements for section 311 and Hinshaw pipelines in order to provide greater transparency to the market.<sup>24</sup> The Commission found such transparency to be necessary so shippers can make informed purchasing decisions, and also to permit both shippers and the Commission to monitor actual transactions for evidence of possible abuse of market power or undue discrimination. The Commission found that the existing reporting requirements in 18 CFR 284.126 were inadequate for this purpose. For example, the annual reports of transportation transactions required by existing 18 CFR 284.126(b) did not include (1) the rates charged by the pipeline under each contract, (2) the receipt and delivery points and zones or segments covered by each contract, (3) the quantity of natural gas the shipper is entitled to transport, store, or deliver, (4) the duration of the contract, or

---

<sup>24</sup> Order No. 735, FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 31,310 at P 73-79.

(5) whether there is an affiliate relationship between the pipeline and the shipper.

Similarly, the semi-annual storage reports required by existing 18 CFR 284.126(c) do not include the rates charged by the storage provider in each contract, the duration of each contract, or whether there is an affiliate relationship between the storage provider and its customer.

27. Order No. 735 found that all this information is necessary to allow the Commission, shippers, and others to determine the extent to which particular transactions are comparable to one another for purposes of monitoring for undue discrimination. For example, contracts for service on different parts of a pipeline system or with different durations may not be comparable to one another. The additional information required to be reported by the Final Rule is also necessary to allow shippers to make informed decisions about their capacity purchases. Shippers need to know the price paid for capacity over a particular path to enable them to decide, for instance, how much to offer for the specific capacity they seek.

28. Order No. 735 also held that, as a matter of policy, section 311 and Hinshaw pipelines must file the new quarterly transactional reports as public in order to achieve the Final Rule's purpose of improving transparency, monitoring discrimination, and fostering efficient markets. The Commission recognized the concern of some pipelines that disclosure of commercially sensitive information would enable a shipper to know what the pipeline is charging other shippers and thus prevent the pipeline from being able



to negotiate the best price for the services it offers. However, the Commission found that its requirement that the reports be filed quarterly would permit a significant delay between contract execution and disclosure, and that delay should temper any potential adverse effects from disclosure.

29. Order No. 735 concluded that public disclosure of all information in the quarterly reports is necessary to permit all market participants to monitor the market and detect undue discrimination. The Commission also stated that it expects and hopes that market participants will use the information from these reports in order to educate themselves about market conditions. Regardless of any adverse effect on individual entities, public disclosure will improve the market as a whole by improving efficiency and competition.

30. On rehearing, Enogex and Enstor contend that the Commission has failed to support the increased transactional reporting and public disclosure requirements which Order No. 735 imposes on section 311 pipelines. In general, they contend that (1) the Commission lacks statutory authority under the NGPA to impose these requirements on section 311 pipelines, (2) these requirements will harm section 311 storage providers with market based rates, (3) the Commission has failed to show that there is an industry problem which these requirements will ameliorate, and (4) these requirements impose unnecessary burdens on section 311 pipelines. For the reasons set forth below, we find these contentions unpersuasive and reaffirm the Final Rule.

### 1. Statutory Authority to Require Public Disclosure

31. In discussing its statutory authority for the increased reporting and public disclosure requirements of Order No. 735, the Commission first addressed its statutory authority with respect to Hinshaw pipelines. The Commission pointed out that it regulates the interstate services of Hinshaw pipelines under the NGA.<sup>25</sup> NGA section 4(c) requires that “under such rules and regulations as the Commission may prescribe, every natural gas company shall . . . keep open for public inspection . . . all rates . . . together with all contracts which in any manner affect or relate to such rates.” While the NGA gives the Commission some discretion with respect to how to provide for the disclosure of rate schedules and contracts, clearly the public disclosure of rate schedules and related contracts, in some manner, is required.<sup>26</sup> Therefore, Order No. 735 concluded that its requirement that the quarterly reports of Hinshaw pipelines be posted without any information redacted was simply carrying out NGA section 4(c)’s requirement for public disclosure of rate and contract information “under such rules and regulations as the Commission may prescribe.” The Commission also pointed out that NGA section

---

<sup>25</sup> *Consumers Energy Co. v. FERC*, 226 F.3d 777 (6<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2000) (holding that the Commission must comply with the requirements of NGA section 5 in order to require a Hinshaw pipeline to modify its rates for interstate service).

<sup>26</sup> *SGRM*, 125 FERC ¶ 61,191 at P 23 (quoting Order No. 637-A, FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 31,099 at 31,614).

23(a)(1) directs the Commission “to facilitate price transparency in markets for the sale or transportation of physical natural gas in interstate commerce.”<sup>27</sup>

32. Order No. 735 then turned to the Commission’s statutory authority with respect to section 311 pipelines. The Commission recognized that the NGPA does not contain an express public disclosure provision similar to NGA section 4(c). However, the Commission stated that NGPA section 311(c) authorizes the Commission to prescribe the “terms and conditions” under which intrastate pipelines perform interstate service. Order No. 735 concluded that requiring NGPA section 311 pipelines to publicly disclose transactional information for the purpose of allowing shippers and others to monitor NGPA section 311 transactions for undue discrimination is well within the Commission’s broad conditioning authority under section 311(c).<sup>28</sup>

33. Enogex and Enstor do not contest the Commission’s authority under NGA section 4(c) to require Hinshaw pipelines to report and publicly disclose all the information in the quarterly reports adopted by Order No. 735. However, they contend that imposing these requirements on section 311 pipelines goes beyond the Commission’s conditioning

---

<sup>27</sup> 15 U.S.C. 717t-2(a)(1). *See* Energy Policy Act of 2005, Pub. L. No. 109-58, section 316 (Natural Gas Market Transparency Rules), 119 Stat. 594 (2005).

<sup>28</sup> *See, e.g., Associated Gas Distributors*, 824 F.2d at 1015-18 (affirming the Commission’s use of Section 311(c) to require intrastate pipelines to permit their interstate sales customers to convert to transportation-only service).

authority under NGPA section 311(c). Enogex points out that the purpose of the NGPA is to allow intrastate pipelines to compete in the interstate transportation market without bearing the burden of full NGA regulation. It asserts that, when coupled with the existing triennial rate review requirement for section 311 pipelines and other reporting requirements, the new quarterly reporting and disclosure requirements of Order No. 735 would regulate section 311 pipelines on a level nearly equivalent to the regulatory oversight to which interstate pipelines are subject under the NGA. Enstor contends that, in *Associated Gas Distributors*,<sup>29</sup> the court held that the Commission's exercise of its NGPA section 311(c) conditioning authority should conform to the overall purposes of the NGPA, namely "to assure adequate supplies of natural gas at fair prices." Enstor contends that Order No. 735 failed to explain how the new quarterly reports will accomplish that goal.

34. The Commission finds that requiring section 311 pipelines to report and disclose the information contained in the quarterly reports required by Order No. 735, as amended in the preceding sections of this order, is well within the Commission's conditioning authority under NGPA section 311(c). The information contained in these quarterly reports is basic information concerning the terms of the section 311 pipelines' contracts

---

<sup>29</sup> 824 F.2d 981 at 1017-18.

with their shippers.<sup>30</sup> In the NGA and the Federal Power Act (FPA), Congress required the Commission to provide for the public disclosure of the rates and contracts of interstate gas and oil pipelines and public utilities.<sup>31</sup> Public disclosure of jurisdictional contracts is thus at the heart of each statute adopted by Congress prior to the NGPA for regulating the rates, terms, and conditions of entities subject to our jurisdiction.

35. The NGPA does not set forth a comprehensive scheme for Commission regulation of interstate service provided by intrastate pipelines in the manner of the NGA or FPA. Rather, it delegates to the Commission broad authority “by rule or order [to] authorize any intrastate pipeline to transport natural gas on behalf of[] any interstate pipeline[ or] local distribution company served by any interstate pipeline.”<sup>32</sup> Consistent with that broad authorization, section 311(c) provides that “Any authorization granted under this section shall be under such terms and conditions as the Commission may prescribe.”

Given that public disclosure of contracts has been a fundamental aspect of the

---

<sup>30</sup> Enogex and Enstor do not object to the requirement to state whether the shipper is an affiliate of the pipeline. While the amended requirement to report annual non-storage revenues collected from each customer goes beyond reporting contract terms, both Enogex and Enstor are primarily concerned with Order No. 735’s effect on storage providers with market-based rates. Therefore, the removal of the requirement that storage revenues be reported addresses their concern with respect to the per-customer revenue reporting requirement in the Order No. 735 reports.

<sup>31</sup> NGA section 4(c); FPA section 205(c).

<sup>32</sup> NGPA section 311(a)(2)(A).

Commission's regulation of all the entities subject its jurisdiction, the Commission finds that requiring section 311 pipelines to report and disclose the terms of their contracts is well within the broad authority Congress delegated to us to determine under what terms intrastate pipelines may perform interstate transportation service.

36. The Commission has recognized throughout this proceeding that Congress intended in the NGPA to encourage intrastate pipelines to participate in the interstate transportation market by enabling them to do so without bearing the burden of full Commission regulation under the NGA.<sup>33</sup> Contrary to Enogex, the reporting requirements adopted in Order No. 735 are substantially less burdensome than the reporting requirements we have imposed on interstate pipelines regulated under the NGA. The Commission requires interstate pipelines to maintain internet websites and post the terms of each contract before the first nomination for service under that contract. By contrast, this rule does not require section 311 pipelines to maintain an internet website. Order No. 735 only requires section 311 pipelines to make quarterly reports of the terms of their contracts. Moreover, in this order we have extended the deadline for each report from 30 days after the end of the quarter to 60 days after the end of the quarter. In addition, while the reports must be filed in a standardized electronic format, the

---

<sup>33</sup> *Mustang Energy Corp. v. Federal Energy Regulatory Comm'n*, 859 F.2d 1447, 1457 (10<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1988), *cert. denied*, 490 U.S. 1019 (1988); *see also EPGT Texas Pipeline*, 99 FERC ¶ 61,295 (2002).

Commission has developed the electronic form in a PDF format and an XML Schema that, upon OMB approval, will be available to download from the FERC website and save to a user's computer desktop.

37. In *Associated Gas Distributors*,<sup>34</sup> the court affirmed the Commission's use of its NGPA section 311(c) conditioning authority to impose conditions necessary to assure that section 311 intrastate pipelines do not engage in undue discrimination. The court also stated that "Section 311 itself states no explicit standards for the exercise of the power, but the overall purposes of the NGPA provide a standard – somewhat amorphous to be sure – against which we can and must measure the Commission decision."<sup>35</sup> The court further stated that the Supreme Court had declared that the NGPA's "aim . . . was to assure adequate supplies of natural gas at fair prices."<sup>36</sup> Order No. 735's requirement that section 311 pipelines report and disclose transactional information is consistent with this goal, because it will make the market operate more efficiently. The Commission has consistently held that disclosure of transactional information "will benefit the market as a whole, by improving efficiency and competition. Buyers of services need good

---

<sup>34</sup> 824 F.2d 981 at 1002-1003.

<sup>35</sup> *Id.* at 1016 (citation omitted).

<sup>36</sup> *Id.* at 1017, quoting *Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corp. v. State Oil & Gas Board*, 474 U.S. 409, 421 (1986).

information in order to make good choices among competing capacity offerings. Without the provision of such information, competition suffers.”<sup>37</sup> Similarly, in Order No. 2001, adopting the Electric Quarterly Reports (EQRs) required of public utilities, the Commission held,

[W]e believe that disclosure will promote competition and make the market operate more efficiently. . . . [E]asy access to contract and transaction data will give customers a basis on which to compare a variety of suppliers and monitor for market power and anti-competitive behavior. This information will allow customers to reap further benefits from open access transmission by giving them improved tools to use in making buying decisions. In addition, the Commission hopes that making this information more understandable and accessible will promote competition and confidence in the fairness of the market.<sup>38</sup>

38. Our statutory authority to require section 311 pipelines to report and disclose transactional information is buttressed by section 23(a)(1) of the NGA, adopted by EPCRA 2005. That section directs the Commission to “facilitate price transparency in markets for the . . . transportation of physical natural gas in interstate commerce, having due regard for the public interest, the integrity of those markets, fair competition, and the

---

<sup>37</sup> Order No. 637-A, FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 31,099 at 31,614-615.

<sup>38</sup> *Revised Public Utility Filing Requirements*, Order No. 2001, FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 31,127, P 44-46, 74-85, 104-117, *reh’g denied*, Order No. 2001-A, 100 FERC ¶ 61,074, P 13-17, 30-35, *reh’g denied*, Order No. 2001-B, 100 FERC ¶ 61,342, *order directing filing*, Order No. 2001-C, 101 FERC ¶ 61,314 (2002), *order directing filing*, Order No. 2001-D, 102 FERC ¶ 61,334 (2003).



protection of consumers.” This provision applies to all natural gas transportation in interstate commerce, and thus applies to section 311 pipelines as well as pipelines subject to our NGA jurisdiction. Thus, requiring the Order No. 735 quarterly reports by section 311 pipelines to be public is specifically in keeping with this directive.

## **2. Harm to Storage Providers with Market-based Rates**

39. Both Enogex and Enstor argue that the Commission should not require market-based storage companies such as themselves to report information publicly. Enogex argues that “its ability to capture rates that are truly market-based will be severely compromised if non-section 311 competitors have access to the rates Enogex is charging and will charge under specific storage service agreements.”<sup>39</sup> Enogex asserts that it must compete with unregulated intrastate pipelines providing purely intrastate service that are not subject to any disclosure requirements. It asserts that Order No. 735 places it at a competitive disadvantage to such pipelines, because the purely intrastate pipelines will have access to a section 311 pipeline’s rate and customer information, while the section 311 pipeline will not have access to comparable information concerning the intrastate pipeline.

40. Enogex contends that this is contrary to the directives of NGA section 23. Enogex contends that Order No. 735’s public disclosure requirement violates the requirement of

---

<sup>39</sup> Enogex at 13.

section 23(a)(1) that the Commission have due regard for the integrity of markets and fair competition. It also points out that section 23(b)(1) requires the Commission to exempt from disclosure information that would be detrimental to the operation of an effective market, and section 23(b)(2) requires the Commission to “seek to ensure that consumers and competitive markets are protected from the adverse effects of potential collusion or other anti-competitive behaviors that can be facilitated by untimely public disclosure of transaction-specific information.”

41. Enstor claims that reporting such commercially sensitive information would distort the markets and discourage infrastructure development. Enstor’s primary concern is that Order No. 735 requires section 311 and Hinshaw storage providers with market-based rates to disclose information which interstate storage providers are not required to disclose, specifically the end-date of interruptible transactions and revenue collected from each customer. Enstor asserts that disclosure of this information will place section 311 and Hinshaw storage providers at a competitive disadvantage with interstate pipelines. Enstor asserts that despite the fact there will be a considerable “time lag between the execution of a contract and its ultimate disclosure in a quarterly report,” the obligation to report nevertheless “will undermine the very business model that Enstor and other like storage providers have used.”<sup>40</sup> Shippers would be able to “recreate the storage

---

<sup>40</sup> Enstor Request for Rehearing at 15.

positions” of their competitors and “gain valuable insight into” others’ market positions, forcing Enstor’s prices downward.<sup>41</sup>

42. The Commission has consistently applied its requirements to report and disclose transactional information to shippers with market-based rates on the ground that such disclosure benefits the overall market, and those benefits outweigh any commercial disadvantages to individual entities in the market. As the Commission held in Order No. 637-A:

The disclosure of greater information regarding capacity transactions is necessary to achieve these dual goals of fostering competition and market monitoring. To foster competition, it is not sufficient merely to ensure there are multiple competitors, there also needs to be good information to enable buyers to make informed choices among the competitors. Difficulty in obtaining information can reduce competition because buyers may not be aware of potential alternatives and cannot compare prices between alternatives. The reporting requirements will expand shippers’ knowledge of alternative offerings by providing more information about the capacity available from the pipeline....<sup>42</sup>

43. Thus, Order No. 637-A concluded that “while disclosure of the transactional information may cause some commercial disadvantage to individual entities, it will benefit the market as a whole, by improving efficiency and competition.”<sup>43</sup> The

---

<sup>41</sup> *Id.*

<sup>42</sup> Order No. 637-A, FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 31,099 at 31,611-2.

<sup>43</sup> *Id.*, at 31,614-615.

Commission reached the same conclusion in Order No. 2001, requiring public utilities to report and disclose similar transactional information. Thus, the requirement that section 311 and Hinshaw pipelines with market-based rates publicly disclose transactional information is consistent with longstanding Commission policy.

44. The Commission also rejects Enogex's contention that Order No. 735's public disclosure requirement violates the requirements of NGA sections 23(a)(1) and 23(b) that any transparency requirements avoid detrimental effects on competitive markets. Enogex appears to read these provisions as requiring the Commission to exempt from public disclosure any information that might have some effect on the competitive position of a particular participant in the natural gas market. However, these provisions only provide that, in requiring public disclosure, the Commission should seek to avoid detrimental effects on the operation of the market as a whole and protect against "potential collusion or other anti-competitive behaviors." As the First Circuit stated in *Town of Concord v. Boston Edison Co.*,

a practice is not "anticompetitive" simply because it harms competitors. After all, almost all business activity, desirable and undesirable alike, seeks to advance a firm's fortunes at the expense of its competitors. Rather, a practice is "anticompetitive" only if it harms the competitive process. It harms that process when it obstructs the achievement of

competition's basic goals – lower prices, better products, and more efficient production methods.<sup>44</sup>

45. Neither Enogex nor Enstor have shown that Order No. 735's public disclosure requirements harm the competitive process or encourage anti-competitive behaviors. Enogex focuses on the fact that intrastate pipelines engaging in purely intrastate business are not subject to similar disclosure requirements. However, this fact does not justify exempting intrastate pipelines from the Order No. 735 disclosure requirements when they perform interstate service. As Order No. 735 clarified, the revised reporting requirements adopted by this rule apply only to a section 311 pipeline's contracts for interstate service, not its purely intrastate contracts. Therefore, section 311 pipelines need not disclose the rates they charge in intrastate transactions. While Enogex asserts that the same customers likely take both intrastate and section 311 services, a contract for section 311 service allows the shipper access to the interstate natural gas markets, while a strictly intrastate contract does not. This fact would generally suggest a contract for section 311 interstate service would have a different value than a contract for purely intrastate service. Thus, a section 311 pipeline's disclosure of pricing information concerning its contracts for interstate service is not necessarily indicative of the pipeline's pricing policies for its purely intrastate services. Moreover, in this order, the Commission is extending the

---

<sup>44</sup> 915 F.2d 17, 21-22 (1st Cir. 1990), *cert. denied*, 499 U.S. 931 (1991).

deadline for the filing of quarterly reports to two months after the end of the relevant quarter. Thus, for example, contracts entered into during the period January through March need not be disclosed until June 1. This allows a delay in disclosure of from two to five months after contract execution, depending upon when in the quarter a contract was entered into, thereby minimizing any harm from disclosure of the contract's terms.

46. In these circumstances, the Commission finds that the benefits to the interstate market of Order No. 735's public disclosure requirements outweigh any harm arising from the fact that there is no similar public disclosure requirement for purely intrastate pipelines. That a state may not have imposed disclosure requirements for services within its jurisdiction should not prevent the Commission from adopting public disclosure requirements for the services within our jurisdiction and thereby providing the interstate market with the benefit of greater transparency.

47. Enstor's primary concern is that Order No. 735 requires section 311 storage providers to disclose certain information that interstate storage providers are not required to disclose, specifically the end-date for interruptible contracts and per-customer revenues. However, we are eliminating that disparity in this order, by removing both requirements from the quarterly reports that section 311 pipelines are required to submit by this Final Rule. As revised, we are confident that the transactional reporting requirements appropriately balance the need for increased transparency of section 311

and Hinshaw pipeline transactions, while avoiding unduly burdensome market distortions that might discourage such pipelines from participating in the interstate market.

### 3. Evidence

48. Citing the standards for reasoned decision-making and abuse of discretion,<sup>45</sup> Enogex argues that the Commission failed to support the Final Rule with any evidence of market abuse requiring an expansion of the scope and frequency of the existing contract reporting requirements. Enogex argues that this is contrary to the D.C. Court's decision in *National Fuel Gas Supply Corp. v. FERC*, where the court reversed a Commission rule on the ground that the Commission was "professing that an order ameliorates a real industry problem but then citing no evidence that there is in fact an industry problem."<sup>46</sup> Enogex claims that the Order No. 735 failed to cite any examples of market abuse, only potential abuse. It also argues that while the Commission seeks to increase transparency, "[i]ncreased transparency in of itself is not a sufficient basis to impose a substantial new reporting burden."<sup>47</sup>

---

<sup>45</sup> Enogex at 5, 7-10 (citing, *inter alia*, Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. 706(2) (A), (E)).

<sup>46</sup> Enogex at 7 (quoting *National Fuel Gas Supply Corp. v. FERC*, 468 F.3d 831, 843 (D.C. Cir 2006) (*National Fuel Gas*)).

<sup>47</sup> Enogex at 9.

49. Enstor makes a similar argument, also citing the court's decision in *National Fuel Gas*, although it only argues for reconsidering the Final Rule "to the extent that it has imposed reporting requirements on intrastate storage providers that provide service at market-based rates under the NPGA."<sup>48</sup> Enstor notes that the record material in the Final Rule concerns allegations about intrastate pipeline transportation, but none "on the part of storage companies," especially those that "do not possess market power."<sup>49</sup> Enstor argues that the Commission's exercise of its conditioning authority under section 311 of the NGPA cannot be justified by "the *potential* for undue discrimination."<sup>50</sup>

50. We disagree with both Enogex and Enstor. In arguing that the Commission must present evidence of market abuses by section 311 pipelines in order to support the new disclosure requirements, Enogex and Enstor miss the point that the purpose of this rule is not solely to minimize market abuses and undue discrimination. As explained above, a primary purpose of this rule is to provide shippers better information about relative prices and other terms of different capacity offerings so that they can make more informed choices among competitors. This will make the market operate more efficiently. As the D.C. Circuit held in *Alabama Power Co.*,

---

<sup>48</sup> Enstor at 3.

<sup>49</sup> Enogex at 9.

<sup>50</sup> Enstor at 10.



Perfect information available to all buyers and sellers is, indeed, one of the conditions of the economic model of “perfect competition,” and where the remaining conditions are satisfied, dissemination of information tends to facilitate prompt adjustment to the market clearing price by all parties to transactions.<sup>51</sup>

51. It is not necessary to present evidence to support the well-accepted principle that better information enables purchasers to make better decisions and improves the overall efficiency of the market. Indeed, in the same *National Fuel Gas* case that Enogex quotes, the court explains that if the Commission seeks to justify a new regulation based solely on theoretical grounds, it may do so.<sup>52</sup> Therefore, increased transparency of transactions subject to the Commission’s jurisdiction in order to help shippers make informed purchasing decisions is a sufficient basis to impose a substantial new reporting duty, regardless of whether some section 311 of Hinshaw pipelines have engaged in market abuses. Indeed, this was a primary ground on which the Commission justified the Final Rule. The Commission argued, and we continue to hold, that the increased transparency that the Final Rule brings should improve the natural gas transportation market’s efficiency.<sup>53</sup>

---

<sup>51</sup> *Alabama Power Co. v. Federal Power Comm’n*, 511 F.2d 383, 391 n.13 (D.C. Cir. 1974).

<sup>52</sup> See *National Fuel Gas*, 468 F.3d at 839.

<sup>53</sup> See Order No. 735, FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 31,310 at P 35.

52. The Commission is also requiring the new quarterly transactional reports in order to permit both shippers and the Commission to monitor section 311 and Hinshaw pipelines' jurisdictional interstate transactions for evidence of possible abuse of market power or undue discrimination. As previously discussed, public disclosure of the terms of jurisdictional contracts in order to ensure against undue discrimination among shippers is a standard part of the regulatory regimes established by the NGA and the FPA, in which Congress has directed the Commission to require such public disclosure. Therefore, the Commission does not believe that requiring the same method of enabling shippers and the Commission to monitor the contracts of section 311 pipelines for abuse of market power and undue discrimination requires the establishment of a record showing extensive abuses by section 311 pipelines. This is particularly the case since section 23 of the NGA now directs the Commission to "facilitate price transparency in markets for the . . . transportation of physical natural gas in interstate commerce," including such transportation by section 311 pipelines. In any event, the comments in this proceeding do indicate that the preexisting reporting regime was not performing as well as it could be. For example, the Final Rule noted that "Clayton Williams provides a detailed narrative suggesting that it could have pursued allegations that a pipeline has been engaging in unlawful business practices, if only it had more publicly available information to support

its allegation” as evidence in favor of improved reporting requirements.<sup>54</sup> Given this testimony alleging concerns with the preexisting reporting regime, plus the Commission’s theoretical framework suggesting that increased transparency would improve conditions in the transportation and storage market, we find the Final Rule adequately justified.

53. With regard to Enstor’s argument that these concerns do not apply to market-based storage, we remind Enstor that a Commission finding that a service provider lacks market power should not be read to mean that its shippers are at no risk of undue discrimination or other unlawful practices. “It is even more critical for the Commission to review pricing when the Commission is relying on competition to regulate rates, rather than scrutinizing the underlying cost of service.”<sup>55</sup>

54. The court’s decision in *National Fuel Gas* addressed a different type of rule than is at issue here. In that case, the Commission adopted a rule modifying its Standards of Conduct governing natural gas pipelines’ interactions with their marketing affiliates so that the Standards of Conduct would also apply to non-marketing affiliates. These

---

<sup>54</sup> Order No. 735, FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 31,310 at P 28.

<sup>55</sup> *Revisions to Uniform System of Accounts, Forms, Statements, and Reporting Requirements for Natural Gas Companies*, Order No. 581, 60 FR 53019, 53051, FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 31,026 (1995), *order on reh’g*, Order No. 581-A, FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 31,032 (1996).

included producers, processors, and local distribution companies who might not hold any capacity on their affiliated pipeline. The purpose of the rule was to guard against pipelines giving non-marketing affiliates undue preference or other market abuses by non-marketing affiliates. The Standards of Conduct required that the affiliates function independently and limit the information that may be shared among them.

55. In reversing the Commission, the court first emphasized that vertical integration between a pipeline and its affiliates produces benefits for consumers. The court stated that both the sharing of information between pipelines and affiliates and integration of functions have efficiency benefits. Therefore, the court found that the Commission cannot impede vertical integration between a pipeline and its affiliates without adequate justification. The court found that the Commission had not provided such justification either by presenting evidence of market abuses by non-marketing affiliates or providing a sufficient explanation of a theoretical danger that pipelines will favor their non-marketing affiliates.

56. The rule at issue here differs from the rule at issue in *National Fuel Gas* in a number of respects. First, as already discussed, the purpose of this rule is not limited to preventing certain types of market abuses, as was the case with the rule in *National Fuel Gas*; rather a primary purpose of this rule also is to provide all market participants better information in order to make informed purchasing decisions, and thereby improve the efficiency of the market. Second, this rule does not impede activities by pipelines (or

their affiliates) which the courts have found to create market efficiencies and thus benefit consumers, such as the vertical integration at issue in *National Fuel Gas*. To the contrary, as discussed above, the courts have found that public disclosure of contract terms generally benefits the overall market and consumers. Third, the public disclosure requirements adopted in Order No. 735 apply only to pipelines and transactions directly subject to our jurisdiction under the NGA and NGPA and do not affect the corporate structure of entities, such as non-marketing affiliates, not directly subject to our jurisdiction. Finally, the instant rule is carrying out Congress's directives in NGA section 4 to require public disclosure of Hinshaw pipelines' jurisdictional contracts and in NGA section 23 to provide for price transparency of all interstate transportation transactions. For these reasons, the Commission finds that our adoption of Order No. 735 is not inconsistent with *National Fuel Gas*.

57. Accordingly, we find sufficient cause to apply the Final Rule to both cost-based and market-based transactions.

#### **4. Insufficiency of Periodic Rate Review**

58. Enogex further argues that "the Commission erred in concluding that the new Form No. 549D is necessary to meet its statutory obligation to ensure that rates for section 311 service are 'fair and equitable', in view of the fact that it already requires a

triennial rate review requirement.”<sup>56</sup> Enogex claims the “the adequacy of the triennial rate review requirement is evident,” and even more stringent than NGA rate review “because interstate pipelines are no longer subject to a periodic rate review.”<sup>57</sup> Therefore, Enogex argues, the reporting requirement is unnecessary.

59. We reject Enogex’s bare assertions about periodic rate review. The triennial rate review requirement does not render Order No. 735’s increased reporting requirements unnecessary. The primary purposes of the two requirements are different. The Commission requires section 311 pipelines with cost-based rates to make periodic rate filings so that the Commission can review whether the pipeline’s maximum rates applicable to all transactions continue to be fair and equitable. In those rate review proceedings, the Commission determines whether the pipeline’s maximum rates allow it to collect revenues in excess of its cost-of-service, and, if so, the Commission may require a reduction in the pipeline’s maximum rates. Thus, the focus of a rate review filing is on the pipeline’s generally applicable maximum rates, not the rates charged in individual transactions.

60. By contrast, the primary purpose of the Order No. 735 reporting requirements is to enable individual shippers to make more informed decisions as to the prices they agree to

---

<sup>56</sup> Enogex at 6.

<sup>57</sup> Enogex at 9-10.

pay in their own individual transactions, including with market-based rate pipelines that are not subject to the periodic rate review requirement. The reporting requirements also allow better monitoring by the Commission and shippers for instances of undue discrimination among shippers, a matter not generally addressed in rate review proceedings. While periodic rate review is necessary in order to ensure that a pipeline's generally applicable maximum rates are fair and equitable, it does not accomplish the goals of this rulemaking. For that purpose, it is necessary to require public reports of the terms of the individual contracts a pipeline enters into with each of its shippers, which the Commission and market participants may review in order to detect and mitigate against possible abuse of market power or undue discrimination. Such reporting does not occur in a periodic rate review filing.

## **5. Burden**

61. Enogex also argues that the Commission should not have implemented the Final Rule “after acknowledging that the new quarterly report would be unduly burdensome when coupled with the periodic cost of service rate review requirement,”<sup>58</sup> and that the Commission “erred in concluding that the new ... requirement would not be unduly burdensome from a cost and administrative standpoint.”<sup>59</sup> Enogex refers to Form

---

<sup>58</sup> Enogex at 19.

<sup>59</sup> Enogex at 6.

No. 549D's "seventy five data elements" as an "extraordinary level of detail," and claims that "because Enogex may provide for both Section 311 and intrastate services in a single contract, existing contract methods ... may have to be ... modified in order to report the required information."<sup>60</sup> Finally, Enogex argues that "the Commission inadvertently demonstrated that the triennial rate review requirement is more than sufficient," and urges that Commission to "reverse course and terminate this rulemaking proceeding, even if this results in the triennial rate review requirement being reinstated."<sup>61</sup>

62. Enogex misstates the record in claiming that the Commission found "that the new quarterly report would be unduly burdensome when coupled with the periodic cost of service rate review requirement."<sup>62</sup> Rather, the Commission stated that it "is sensitive to concerns that the improved reporting requirements could prove too burdensome, when considered in aggregation with other burdens such as triennial rate review."<sup>63</sup> In other words, the Commission reduced the periodic rate review requirement not because it was obligated to do so by the undue burden standard, but because the Commission was exercising its discretion to lessen pipelines' overall burden of complying with all the

---

<sup>60</sup> Enogex at 18.

<sup>61</sup> Enogex at 19.

<sup>62</sup> Enogex at 19.

<sup>63</sup> Order No. 735, FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 31,310 at P 96.



Commission's various regulatory requirements. We also reject Enogex's implication of burden by the fact that there are seventy-five data elements. Burden is more properly weighed by the content of the data requested. The first eighteen of those data elements, for instance, are little more than the company filling out its name and contact information; using numerous but smaller data elements is useful for making the completed form more amenable to electronic searches.

63. Furthermore, as the Commission has explained, its regulatory oversight is not merely limited to reviewing rate filings. In order to carry out our "responsibility to ensure rates and charges are fair and equitable... it is important for rates charged to be reported" as well.<sup>64</sup> The Commission seeks to empower shippers "to determine the extent to which particular transactions are comparable to one another"<sup>65</sup> in order to protect themselves from undue discrimination. The previous reporting requirements in 18 CFR 284.126, for both transportation and storage, were inadequate for providing potential shippers with sufficient information to make well informed purchasing decisions. We also note that while other parties on rehearing request changes to specific elements or argue for special attention to certain market sectors, Enogex is alone among all Respondents in arguing that filing the new reports would be unduly burdensome. The

---

<sup>64</sup> Order No. 581, 60 FR 53019 at 53,050-51; FERC Stats. & Regs. at 31,501.

<sup>65</sup> NOPR, FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 32,644 at 19.

benefits to the functioning of the market, by ensuring transportation and storage customers are aware of the actual prices charged just as American customers have long come to expect in the retail sector, far outweigh Enogex's inchoate claims of undue administrative burden.

**C. Identification of Receipt Points**

64. AOG urges the Commission to amend or clarify Order No. 735's requirement, codified at 18 CFR 284.126 (b)(1)(iv), that Respondents must state the primary receipt points covered by each contract that is reported on Form No. 549D. AOG is a small local distribution company for a ten-county rural area along the Arkansas-Oklahoma border. Its system includes roughly 400 production wells, which ordinarily serve AOG's non-jurisdictional distribution customers. When demand is not at peak, AOG delivers excess production gas to the interstate markets under an Order No. 63 blanket certificate.<sup>66</sup> AOG states that the current set-up of its system does not allow it to identify the receipt point of each transaction.<sup>67</sup> AOG argues that even if it could, "the information would be

---

<sup>66</sup> See *Arkansas Oklahoma Gas Corp.*, 33 FERC ¶ 61,197, at 61,401-02 (1985) (An Order No. 63 blanket certificate "permits a local distribution company that is served by an interstate pipeline . . . to sell and transport gas in interstate commerce under the same conditions as apply to those transactions when engaged in by intrastate pipelines under sections 311 and 312 of the NGPA.").

<sup>67</sup> AOG's request includes an affidavit from its president elaborating on its specific factual circumstances, along with a system map.

meaningless” because AOG does not deliver to the interstate markets directly; rather, all five of its delivery points interconnect with third-party gathering systems that would not be covered by the reporting requirements.<sup>68</sup>

65. Because of the high difficulty and limited usefulness of tracking receipt points on such a system, AOG recommends that the Commission clarify the receipt point reporting requirement. AOG requests that:

respondents, such as AOG, who perform basically a gathering service, are located in a production area, have hundreds of wells attached to their system, deliver only production gas to other gathering facilities ..., and are physically unable to identify a receipt point for each transaction be [allowed] to designate ‘production pool’ as the receipt point in their quarterly reports.<sup>69</sup>

66. AOG’s request is narrowly tailored to its own circumstances, which appear to be quite rare. Accordingly, we find that this request does not justify a modification of the generally applicable reporting requirements adopted in this rulemaking proceeding. However, AOG may re-file its request in a separate docket, and request a case-specific waiver of the 18 CFR 284.126 (b)(1)(iv) requirement to identify individual receipt points based on its own circumstances. While we do not anticipate many other pipelines to

---

<sup>68</sup> AOG at 9.

<sup>69</sup> AOG at 7.

qualify for waivers, the Commission will consider other requests for waiver on a case-by-case basis, as the moving party's individual circumstances so warrant.

**D. Identification of Shippers**

67. TPA argues that, “[t]he Commission erred by requiring Section 311 and Hinshaw pipelines to identify shippers by D-U-N-S number in Form No. 549D.”<sup>70</sup> TPA claims that the Commission has not explained why an identification number is useful, given that pipelines must report publicly the names of its shippers.

68. We affirm that “standardized shipper identification is not unduly burdensome in comparison to the benefit to the Commission and market participants of being certain of the true identity of a pipeline's shippers.”<sup>71</sup> For some persons interested in reading Form No. 549D data, TPA may be correct that the shipper's full legal name will be sufficient. For entities using the data to engage in market research, however, a standardized identification number is necessary for at least two reasons. First, identification numbers facilitate the process of creating reliable, robust databases, which in turn help market participants to gain the most value out of the information in these public reports. Without standard identification numbers, a small typographic change, such as referring to “Shipper A, LLC” as “Shipper A, L.L.C.,” could be misinterpreted by a computer system

---

<sup>70</sup> TPA at 3, 7.

<sup>71</sup> Order No. 735, FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 31,310 at P 61.

as two different entities. Second, identification numbers greatly reduce the administrative burden (both to Respondents and to all readers of the reports) in the common situation where a shipper changes its legal name but is otherwise the same entity. Identification numbers allow for data from before and after the shipper's name change to be considered properly as part of a continuous set, without the need for the Respondent to engage in tedious manual intervention. Accordingly, all Respondents are required to use a standard shipper identification number for Form No. 549D.

69. TPA also argues that while Respondents "can ask their shippers to obtain D-U-N-S numbers, they have no authority to require them to do so and should not be held accountable for a shipper's failure to obtain a D-U-N-S number."<sup>72</sup> We disagree. As a general matter, it would be fair and equitable for a pipeline to include in its Statement of Operating Conditions a requirement that shippers must provide the pipeline with information that is necessary in order to comply with any state or federal reporting requirements. Currently, assignment of a D-U-N-S number is free for all entities required to register with the federal government by a regulatory agency.<sup>73</sup> The Commission and

---

<sup>72</sup> TPA at 8.

<sup>73</sup> See *Electric Quarterly Report Submission Software Users Guide* at 11-12 (January 2008), available at <http://www.ferc.gov/docs-filing/eqr/soft-tools/userguide.pdf>. See also Dun & Bradstreet website, <http://fedgov.dnb.com/webform>.

the North American Energy Standards Board have been requiring shipper D-U-N-S numbers for years in the Index of Customers without serious complaint.<sup>74</sup>

**E. Prior Period Adjustment and Inactive Contracts**

70. TPA asks the Commission to clarify how prior period adjustments should be reported. Since “volumetric measurement is an inexact science,”<sup>75</sup> TPA argues, inevitably pipelines will discover measurement errors in prior records of volumes shipped, injected, or withdrawn. TPA states that it is not clear how to report these adjustments on Form No. 549D. TPA recommends that Respondents should report a prior period adjustment as part of the data for the quarter when it is discovered rather than revising previously reported data, which TPA claims is how pipelines book such adjustments for accounting purposes.

71. The Commission clarifies that Form No. 549D reports should reflect the data on the billing statements to customers. If a pipeline’s billing policy for prior period adjustments is to revise the prior bill, then that pipeline should resubmit its Form No. 549D for that prior quarterly time period. If, however, a pipeline’s billing policy for prior period adjustments is to bill for the quarter when the discrepancy is discovered, as

---

<sup>74</sup> See *Instruction Manual for Electronic Filing of the Index of Customers*, OMB Form No. 1902-0169 at 5 (June 2000), available at <http://www.ferc.gov/docs-filing/forms/form-549b/elec-inst.pdf>.

<sup>75</sup> TPA at 9.

TPA suggests, then that pipeline should submit the adjusted data as part of its upcoming report rather than revising prior reports. Either way, the Form No. 549D data should match the data in the pipeline's own billing systems, so as to reduce the pipeline's recordkeeping burden and also to avoid systemic discrepancies in the event of an audit. Furthermore, in order to aid Respondents who may need to correct a previous Form No. 549D report for any reason, the Commission will insert a Field 3A in the report, in which Respondents may provide a short explanation of why they are resubmitting a prior report.

72. TPA also requests that the Commission clarify how Respondents should handle contracts that were not active during a given quarter. TPA cites the appendix to the Final Rule as explaining that "pipelines that did not provide any interstate services to any shipper" at all need only fill out the initial fields in Form No. 549D, and not the remainder of the form.<sup>76</sup> TPA states that it is unclear, however, how to respond if "gas flows under some contracts but not others," and recommends that pipelines only "report the contracts where [jurisdictional] gas flowed."<sup>77</sup>

---

<sup>76</sup> TPA at 10.

<sup>77</sup> *Id.*

73. The Commission grants the requested clarification. Respondents need not include in their quarterly reports any contracts for which no Commission-jurisdictional gas has flowed in that quarter.

**F. Semi-Annual Storage Report**

74. Enogex, Jefferson, and TPA all argue that the semi-annual storage report (Form No. 537, required by 18 CFR 284.126(c)) will be duplicative and burdensome as soon as section 311 and Hinshaw pipelines begin reporting on Form No. 549D. They argue that since the Commission “will be able to distill all of the relevant information presently reported on the existing Form No. 537 from the new Form No. 549D,”<sup>78</sup> there is “no justification for”<sup>79</sup> collecting “this duplicative storage activity information in dissimilar formats.”<sup>80</sup> Accordingly, they urge the Commission to eliminate the semi-annual storage report.

75. While there is substantial overlap between Form No. 537 and Form No. 549D, it remains unclear whether the new quarterly report renders the semi-annual storage report obsolete. The semi-annual storage report collects certain information that the quarterly reports do not. This includes the volumes actually injected and withdrawn during the

---

<sup>78</sup> TPA at 6.

<sup>79</sup> Enogex at 20.

<sup>80</sup> Jefferson at 8.



injection and withdrawal seasons. In addition, as discussed above, the quarterly reports required by this rule will not require per-customer revenue data to be reported.

Moreover, since the semi-annual reporting periods are tied to the injection and withdrawal season, the time periods covered also do not correspond precisely to two Form No. 549D quarterly reports. Thus, we will not eliminate Form No. 537 at this time.

76. However, we find that the Commission should reconsider the utility of the semi-annual storage reports for interstate and intrastate storage companies. As Enogex, Jefferson, and TPA argue, the Commission should seek to eliminate truly duplicative reporting requirements. Throughout this rulemaking proceeding, the Commission has also sought to standardize and equalize reporting requirements for interstate and intrastate providers wherever it is warranted. Thus, any consideration of abolishing or reforming the intrastate semi-annual storage report should be accompanied by a similar review of the interstate semi-annual storage report. Finally, the semi-annual storage reports are now anomalous among Commission reports in their respondents' liberal use of requests for privileged treatment, which has recently led to calls that these reports be made public. Accordingly, simultaneously with this order, the Commission is issuing a Notice of Inquiry under a separate docket, Docket No. RM11-4-000, to explore reforms to the semi-annual storage reporting requirements for interstate and intrastate storage companies.

**G. Effective Date and Technical Workshop**

77. Jefferson argues on rehearing that the Commission should delay the effective date of Order No. 735 from April 1, 2011 until October 1, 2011. Jefferson also requests that the Commission hold a technical workshop at least 6 months before the effective date, and post the XML Schema as soon as possible. Jefferson argues that since the Commission has not yet posted a version of the XML Schema that is compatible for electronic submission, it cannot yet determine the procedures that it will use to collect data and compile its first report.

78. The draft revisions to Form No. 549D<sup>81</sup> are being submitted to OMB for review and approval. Above in this order, we have pushed back the due date of the first quarterly report under the new regulations from May 1, 2011 to June 1, 2011. A print only version of the PDF form is provided in the Appendix to this order, and Commission Staff will post the XML Schema and fillable PDF to the FERC website as soon as available and permitted by OMB. We consider this to be sufficient advance notice, considering that Jefferson is the only pipeline to have expressed concern on rehearing that the effective date is too soon. We also direct Commission Staff to hold a technical workshop on issues of implementation, the time and date of which will be announced in a

---

<sup>81</sup> The previous Form No. 549D was approved under OMB Control No. 1902-0253.

separate notice in this docket after we receive OMB approval of the revised Form No. 549D.<sup>82</sup>

### **III. Information Collection Statement**

79. OMB regulations require that OMB approve certain reporting, recordkeeping, and public disclosure (collections of information) imposed by an agency.<sup>83</sup> The information collection requirements included in Commission Order No. 735 for Form No. 549D were approved under OMB Control No. 1902-0253. This order further revises the requirements in order to retract the increased requirements for contract end dates and per-customer revenue, to more clearly state the obligations imposed in Order No. 735, and to extend the reporting deadlines. Because the Commission has made “substantive or material modifications” to the information collection requirement, we will submit Form No. 549D to OMB for review and approval under the Paperwork Reduction Act.<sup>84</sup>

80. The Commission identifies the information provided under Part 284 as contained in FERC Form No. 549D. The Commission solicited comments on the need for this

---

<sup>82</sup> The technical workshop shall be to discuss implementation of the draft reporting requirements. The technical workshop will not address legal or policy issues that are more appropriately raised through requests for rehearing or clarification, including any changes to the form, instructions, and definitions that would require OMB approval, nor will it address the semi-annual storage reports.

<sup>83</sup> 5 CFR 1320.

<sup>84</sup> See 44 U.S.C. 3507(h)(3).

information, whether the information would provide useful transparency, ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected, and any suggested methods for minimizing Respondents' burden. Where commenters raised concerns that information collection requirements would be burdensome to implement, the Commission has addressed those concerns above in this order. The Commission does not change its burden estimate from that provided in Order No. 735.<sup>85</sup>

81. Interested persons may obtain information on the reporting requirements by contacting the following: Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE, Washington, DC 20426 [Attention: Ellen Brown, Office of the Executive Director]

e-mail: [DataClearance@ferc.gov](mailto:DataClearance@ferc.gov), Phone: (202) 502-8663, Fax: (202) 273-0873.

For submitting comments concerning the collection of information, please send your comments to the Commission and to: Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, 725 17<sup>th</sup> Street, NW, Washington, DC 20503, [Attention: Desk Officer for the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission] Phone: (202) 395-4638, Fax: (202) 395-7285. Due to security concerns, comments should be sent electronically to OMB at the following e-mail address:

[oir\\_submission@omb.eop.gov](mailto:oir_submission@omb.eop.gov). Please reference OMB Control No. 1902-0253 and the docket number of this order in your submission.

---

<sup>85</sup> See Order No. 735, FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 31,310 at P 106-108.

#### **IV. Environmental Analysis**

82. The Commission is required to prepare an Environmental Assessment or an Environmental Impact Statement for any action that may have a significant adverse effect on the human environment.<sup>86</sup> The Commission has categorically excluded certain actions from these requirements as not having a significant effect on the human environment.<sup>87</sup> The actions taken here fall within categorical exclusions in the Commission's regulations for rules that are corrective; clarifying or procedural; for information gathering, analysis, and dissemination; and for sales, exchange, and transportation of natural gas that requires no construction of facilities.<sup>88</sup> Therefore an environmental review is unnecessary and has not been prepared in this rulemaking.

#### **V. Regulatory Flexibility Act**

83. The Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 (RFA)<sup>89</sup> generally requires a description and analysis of final rules that will have significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The Commission is not required to make such analysis if proposed regulations would not have such an effect. For the reasons stated in Order

---

<sup>86</sup> Order No. 486, *Regulations Implementing the National Environmental Policy Act*, 52 FR 47897 (Dec. 17, 1987), FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 30,783 (1987).

<sup>87</sup> 18 CFR 380.4.

<sup>88</sup> See 18 CFR 380.4(a)(2)(ii), 380.4(a)(5), and 380.4(a)(27).

<sup>89</sup> 5 U.S.C. 601-612.

No. 735,<sup>90</sup> the Commission certifies that this Final Rule's amendments to the regulations will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities.

## **VI. Document Availability**

84. In addition to publishing the full text of this document in the Federal Register, except for the Appendix, the Commission provides all interested persons an opportunity to view and/or print the contents of this document, including the Appendix, via the Internet through FERC's Home Page (<http://www.ferc.gov>) and in FERC's Public Reference Room during normal business hours (8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. Eastern time) at 888 First Street, NE, Room 2A, Washington DC 20426.

85. From FERC's Home Page on the Internet, this information is available on eLibrary. The full text of this document is available on eLibrary in PDF and Microsoft Word format for viewing, printing, and/or downloading. To access this document in eLibrary, type the docket number excluding the last three digits of this document in the docket number field. The report and instructions also will be made available through the Commission's Forms page, <http://www.ferc.gov/docs-filing/forms.asp>, upon approval by OMB.

86. User assistance is available for eLibrary and the FERC's website during normal business hours from FERC Online Support at 202-502-6652 (toll free at 1-866-208-3676)

---

<sup>90</sup> See Order No. 735, FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 31,310 at P 111.

Docket No. RM09-2-001

- 60 -

or email at [ferconlinesupport@ferc.gov](mailto:ferconlinesupport@ferc.gov), or the Public Reference Room at (202) 502-8371, TTY (202) 502-8659. E-mail the Public Reference Room at [public.referenceroom@ferc.gov](mailto:public.referenceroom@ferc.gov).

**VII. Effective Date**

87. These further revisions to the reporting regulations will be effective April 1, 2011, the same date as in the Final Rule. The quarterly report for transactions occurring during the period January 1, 2011 through March 31, 2011, must be filed on or before June 1, 2011. The Commission has determined that this rule is not a “major rule” as defined in section 351 of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996.

List of subjects in 18 CFR Part 284

Continental shelf, Natural gas, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

By the Commission.

( S E A L )

Nathaniel J. Davis, Sr.,  
Deputy Secretary.

In consideration of the foregoing, the Commission amends Part 284, Chapter I, Title 18, *Code of Federal Regulations*, as amended at 75 FR 29404 on May 26, 2010, as follows.

**PART 284 – CERTAIN SALES AND TRANSPORTATION OF NATURAL GAS UNDER THE NATURAL GAS POLICY ACT OF 1978 AND RELATED AUTHORITIES**

1. The authority citation for Part 284 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 15 U.S.C. 717-717w, 3301-3432; 42 U.S.C. 7101-7352; 43 U.S.C. 1331-1356

2. In § 284.126, as amended at 75 FR 29404 on May 26, 2010, paragraphs (b)(1)(vi), (b)(1)(viii), and (b)(2) are revised to read as follows:

**§ 284.126 Reporting requirements.**

\* \* \* \* \*

(b) \* \* \*

(1) \* \* \*

(vi) The duration of the contract, specifying the beginning and (for firm contracts only) ending month and year of the current agreement;

\* \* \* \* \*

(viii) Annual revenues received for each shipper, excluding revenues from storage services. The report should separately state revenues received under each component, and need only be reported every fourth quarter.

(2) The quarterly Form No. 549D report for the period January 1 through March 31



Docket No. RM09-2-001

- 62 -

must be filed on or before June 1. The quarterly report for the period April 1 through June 30 must be filed on or before September 1. The quarterly report for the period July 1 through September 30 must be filed on or before December 1. The quarterly report for the period October 1 through December 31 must be filed on or before March 1.

\* \* \* \* \*



**Federal Energy Regulatory Commission**  
 FERC Form 549D for year & quarter:

**Respondent Header Information**

1	Filing Company - Legal Name of Intrastate/ Hinshaw Company		10	Filing Company - Contact E-Mail Address	
2	FERC designated "Company Identifier"		11	Filing Company - Street Address	
3	Report is Original or Resubmission	Select...	12	Filing Company - City	
3a	Explanation for Resubmission		13	Filing Company - State	
4	Submission Date		14	Filing Company - Zip	
5	Report is to confirm if transporter performed transportation of natural gas this quarter	Select...	15	Filing Company - Country Name	
6	Filing Calendar Year & Quarter		16	Signing Official Title	
7	Filing Company - Contact Name		17	Signing Official Signature	
8	Filing Company - Contact Title		18	Date Signed	
9	Filing Company - Contact Phone No.				

Shipper & Contract Information (Receiving Service):								Add Shipper	Delete Shipper				
19	Shipper Name:		20	Shipper ID #:		21	Affiliate (Yes/No):	Select...					
22	Contract ID #:		23	Service Type:	Select...	24	Begin date:		25	End date (if Firm):		Add Contract	Delete Contract

Service Information								Add Service	Delete Service
26	Type of Service Performed	Select...	30	Primary Receipt Point Industry Common Code					
26a	Other (Specify)		30a	Additional Names					
27	Rate Schedule Designation		31	Primary Delivery Point Name					
28	Docket where rates were approved		31a	Primary Delivery Point Name - Additional Names					
29	Primary Receipt Point Name		32	Primary Delivery Point Industry Common Code					
29a	Primary Receipt Point Name Additional Names		32a	Primary Delivery Point Industry Common Code Additional Names					

Rates Data							
33	Contract Reservation Rate - Peak Day		44	Contract Usage Rate - Injected (Rate Units)			
34	Contract Reservation Rate - Peak Day (List of Discounted Rates)		45	Contract Usage Rate - Withdrawn			
35	Contract Reservation Rate - Peak Day (Rate Units)		46	Contract Usage Rate - Withdrawn (List of Discounted Rates)			
36	Contract Reservation Rate - Annual Volume		47	Contract Usage Rate - Withdrawn (Rate Units)			
37	Contract Reservation Rate - Annual Volume (List of Discounted Rates)		48	Contract Usage Rate – Storage (Parking) /Lending Service [Initial Day or Single One-Part Rate]			
38	Contract Reservation Rate - Annual Volume (Rate Units)		49	Contract Usage Rate – Storage (Parking) /Lending Service [Initial Day or Single One-Part Rate] (List of Discounted Rates)			
39	Contract Usage Rate -Transported (Rate Units)		50	Contract Usage Rate – Storage (Parking) /Lending Service [Consecutive Days]			
40	Contract Usage Rate - Transported (List of Discounted Rates)		51	Contract Usage Rate – Storage (Parking) /Lending Service [Consecutive Days] (List of Discounted Rates)			
41	Contract Usage Rate - Transported (Rate Units)		52	Contract Usage Rate – Storage (Parking) / Lending Service (Rate Units)			
42	Contract Usage Rate - Injected		53	Other Contract Charges			
43	Contract Usage Rate - Injected (List of Discounted Rates)						

**Shipper & Contract Information** (continued from previous page):

19	Shipper Name:		22	Contract ID #:	
----	---------------	--	----	----------------	--

**Billing Units Data**

54	Contract Reservation Quantity - Peak Day Delivery		61	Usage Quantity - Volumes Injected (Billing Units)	
55	Contract Reservation Quantity - Peak Day Delivery (Billing Units)		62	Usage Quantity - Volumes Withdrawn	
56	Contract Reservation Quantity - Annual Volume		63	Usage Quantity - Volumes Withdrawn (Billing Units)	
57	Contract Reservation Quantity - Annual Volume (Billing Units)		64	Usage Quantity - Storage (Parking)/ Lending Service for Initial Day or Total for Service with Single One-Part Rate	
58	Usage Quantity - Volumes Transported		65	Usage Quantity - Storage (Parking)/ Lending Service for Consecutive Days of Service	
59	Usage Quantity - Volumes Transported (Billing Units)		66	Usage Quantity - Storage (Parking)/ Lending Service (Billing Units)	
60	Usage Quantity - Volumes Injected		67	Usage Quantity for Other Charges	

**Annual Revenue Data (not including storage)**

68	Reservation Revenues related to Peak Day Delivery		71	Revenues related to Other transportation services	
69	Reservation Revenues related to Annual Volume		72	Total Revenues	
70	Reservation Revenues related to Volumes Transported				

**Appendix**  
**Form No. 549D in Docket No. RM09-2-001**

**Quarterly Transportation and Storage Report For  
Intrastate Natural Gas and Hinshaw Pipelines**

A.	Form No. 549D Data Dictionary and Reporting Units.....	2
B.	Instructions for Reporting Data .....	13
C.	Reporting Units for From No. 549D Data Dictionary and Instructions .....	22
D.	List of Commenters and Abbreviations.....	24

**A. Form No. 549D Data Dictionary and Reporting Units.** (Note: all field numbers correspond to Form No. 549D data fields)

Field No.	Field Category	Field Sub-Category	Field ID	Field Name	Required (Y/N)	Format	Example	Definition	Reg. (Commission Requirement) *
1	CO ID DATA	COMPANY	CID_Comp anyName	Filing Company- Legal Name of Intrastate/ Hinshaw Company	Y	Unrestricted text (100 characters)	Acme Intrastate Pipeline Company	Name of the company filing this report.	
2	CO ID DATA	COMPANY	CID_Comp any_ID	FERC designated "Company Identifier"	Y	Text - 6 digits beginning with letter "C".	C00001	FERC designated "Company Identifier" for filing company that was obtained from FERC.	
3	CO ID DATA	REPORT ID	CID_Orgin_Resubmit	Report is Original / Resubmission	Y	O-Original R-Resubmission	O	Identify if the report is an Original or a Resubmission.	
3a	CO ID DATA	REPORT ID	CID_Resubmit_Expln	Report is Original / Resubmission	N	Unrestricted text (100 characters)	Correcting error in shipper 12345's IT billing units.	Explain the reason for the resubmission of the report.	
4	CO ID DATA	REPORT ID	CID_SubmitDate	Submission Date	Y	MM/DD/YYYY	06/01/2011	Date entered in month, day, and year format where the first 2 numbers represent the date, the 3rd and 4th numbers represent the month, and the 5th through 8th numbers represent the year.	
5	CO ID DATA	REPORT ID	CID_Transport_Y_N	Report is to confirm if transporter performed transportation of natural gas this quarter.	Y	Y-Yes N-No	Y	Identify if the filer transported gas under § 284.122 during the quarter, if yes complete all fields as necessary, if no complete the through field 18.	
6	CO ID DATA	REPORT ID	CID_Filing_Qtr	Filing Calendar Year & Quarter	Y	YYYY-QN	2011Q1	The first 4 numbers represent the year (e.g., 2010). The last 2 digits represent the designation of the quarter (e.g., Q1= 1st quarter or January through March; Q2= 2nd quarter or April through June; Q3= 3rd quarter or July through September; or Q4 = 4th quarter or October through December.)	
7	CO ID DATA	CONTACT	CID_ContactName	Filing Company- Contact Name	Y	Unrestricted text (50 characters)	Ms. Responder	Name of the person who electronically files and will answer questions regarding the filing.	
8	CO ID DATA	CONTACT	CID_ContactTitle	Filing Company- Contact Title	Y	Unrestricted text (50 characters)	Director Regulatory Affairs	Title of the contact identified in field #7.	
9	CO ID DATA	CONTACT	CID_ContactPhone	Filing Company- Contact Phone No.	Y	Unrestricted text (20 characters)	(713) 555-1212	Phone Number for the filing contact identified in field #7.	

Field No.	Field Category	Field Sub-Category	Field ID	Field Name	Required (Y/N)	Format	Example	Definition	Reg. (Commission Requirement) *
10	CO ID DATA	CONTACT	CID_ContactEmail	Filing Company- Contact E-Mail Address	Y	Unrestricted text (100 characters)	c.responder@acmeintrastate.com	E-Mail address for the contact identified in field #7.	
11	CO ID DATA	COMPANY	CID_ContactStreetAddress	Filing Company- Street Address	Y	Unrestricted text (150 characters)	1111 Sam Houston Blvd.	Address for the filing company identified in field #1.	
12	CO ID DATA	COMPANY	CID_ContactCity	Filing Company- City	Y	Unrestricted text (50 characters)	Houston	City for the filing company identified in field #1.	
13	CO ID DATA	COMPANY	CID_ContactState	Filing Company- State	Y	Restricted text (2 characters)	TX	State for the filing company identified in field #1.	
14	CO ID DATA	COMPANY	CID_ContactZip	Filing Company- Zip	Y	Restricted text (10 characters)	77201	Zip for the filing company identified in field #1.	
15	CO ID DATA	COMPANY	CID_ContactCountry	Filing Company- Country Name	Y	Unrestricted text (50 characters)	USA	Country for the filing company identified in field #1.	
16	CO ID DATA	SIGNATORY	CID_ContactSignTitle	Signing Official Title	Y	Unrestricted text (50 characters)	Sr. Vice President Marketing & Regulatory Affairs	Title of the company officer who attests to the accuracy of the filing.	§284.126(b)(viii)
17	CO ID DATA	SIGNATORY	CID_ContactSignName	Signing Official Signature	Y	Unrestricted text (50 characters)	S. Jones	Typing in the name of the signing official is an acceptable electronic signature in accordance with 18 CFR §385.2005(c) of the Commission's regulations.  Name of the company officer who attests to the accuracy of the filing by confirming that "I am duly authorized to verify, execute, and file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission the foregoing Quarterly Intrastate Report and that the statements and facts contained therein are true, correct, and accurate to the best of my knowledge."	§284.126(b)(viii)
18	CO ID DATA	SIGNATORY	CID_ContactSignDate	Date Signed	Y	MM/DD/YYYY	06/01/2011	Date entered in month, day, and year format where the first 2 numbers represent the date, the 3rd and 4th numbers represent the month, and the 5th through 8th numbers represent the year.	§284.126(b)(viii)
19	SHIPPER ID DATA	SHIPPER DATA	SD_Name	Name of Shipper Receiving Service	Y	Unrestricted text (100 characters)	Acme Intrastate Marketing Group, LLC	Enter full legal name of the shipper.	§284.126(b)(i)

Field No.	Field Category	Field Sub-Category	Field ID	Field Name	Required (Y/N)	Format	Example	Definition	Reg. (Commission Requirement) *
20	SHIPPER ID DATA	SHIPPER DATA	SD_ID_No	Shipper Identification Number	Y	Unrestricted text (9 characters)	NN-NNN-NNNN	Shipper ID - Use the unique Data Universal Numbering System (D-U-N-S®) Number assigned by the Dun & Bradstreet Corporation and accepted by the North American Energy Standards Board (NAESB) as the common code for the shipper.	§284.126(b)(i)
21	SHIPPER ID DATA	SHIPPER DATA	SD_Affiliate_Y_N	Affiliate (Y/N)	Y	Y-Yes N-No	Y	Companies or persons that directly or indirectly, through one or more intermediaries, control, or are controlled by, or under common control of the company in question.	§284.126(b)(i)
22	SHIPPER ID DATA	SHIPPER DATA	SD_Contract_No	Contract Identification Number	Y	Unrestricted text (20 characters)	123-ASD-538	Number that is assigned by the pipeline/ storage company to the shipper	§284.126(b)(i)
23	SHIPPER ID DATA	TYPE OF SERVICE PERFORMED	SD_Firm_Interrupter	Firm / Interruptible	Y	F-Firm I-Interruptible	F	Service on a firm basis means that the service is not subject to a prior claim by another customer or another class of service and receives the same priority as any other class of firm service. Service on an interruptible basis means that the capacity used to provide the service is subject to a prior claim by another customer or another class of service and receives a lower priority than such other class of service.	§284.126(b)(ii); §284.7 and §284.9
24	SHIPPER ID DATA	SHIPPER DATA	SD_Contract_BegDate	Beginning date of current contract term	Y	YYYY-QN	2011Q1	The first 4 numbers represent the year (e.g., 2010). The last 2 digits represent the designation of the quarter (e.g., Q1= 1st quarter or January through March; Q2= 2nd quarter or April through June; Q3= 3rd quarter or July through September; or Q4 = 4th quarter or October through December.)	§284.126(b)(vi)



Field No.	Field Category	Field Sub-Category	Field ID	Field Name	Required (Y/N)	Format	Example	Definition	Reg. (Commission Requirement) *
25	SHIPPER ID DATA	SHIPPER DATA	SD_Contract_EndDate	Ending date of current contract term	Required if field #23 is F for Firm Service	YYYY-QN	2011Q1	The first 4 numbers represent the year (e.g., 2010). The last 2 digits represent the designation of the quarter (e.g., Q1= 1st quarter or January through March; Q2= 2nd quarter or April through June; Q3= 3rd quarter or July through September; or Q4 = 4th quarter or October through December.)	§284.126(b)(vi)
26	SHIPPER ID DATA	TYPE OF SERVICE PERFORMED	SD_TypeService	Type of Service Performed - Transportation / Storage / Parking-Lending / Other (Specify)	Y	T-Transportation S-Storage P/L-Parking /Lending O-Other (Specify)	T	Other includes wheeling, hub services, imbalance services, pooling service, title transfer tracking service, penalties, surcharges or other charges, etc.	§284.126(b)(iii)
27	SHIPPER ID DATA	TYPE OF SERVICE PERFORMED	SD_RateSchedule	Rate Schedule Designation	Y	Unrestricted text (100 characters)	FTS	The Rate Schedule designation should be readily identifiable in the Statement of Operating Conditions. Examples of Rate Schedule designation: FTS=Firm Transportation Service; FSS=Firm Storage Service; ITS=Interruptible Transportation Service; ISS=Interruptible Storage Service; P=Parking Service; L=Lending Service; and Other.	§284.126(b)(iii)
28	SHIPPER ID DATA	TYPE OF SERVICE PERFORMED	SD_RateDocket	Docket where rates were approved	Y	Unrestricted text (20 characters)	PR98-12-001	FERC docket number where rates were approved. For Intrastate pipelines the designation is usually PR or SA.	§284.126(b)(iii)
29	SHIPPER ID DATA	RECEIPT / DELIVERY POINTS	SD_ReceiptPt_Name	Primary Receipt Point Name	Y	Unrestricted text (100 characters)	Acme Hill Ranch Plant	The term "Receipt Point" shall mean either (a) a Headstation, (b) the Point of Injection/Withdrawal, or (c) a point on Pipeline's Pipeline System that Shipper and Pipeline shall agree upon, where Gas enters facilities owned by Transporter, and is metered. If more than one primary receipt point in an individual rate schedule category, indicate each additional point in a comment field 29a.	§284.126(b)(iv)
29a	SHIPPER ID DATA	RECEIPT / DELIVERY POINTS	SD_ReceiptPt_Name-Add	Primary Receipt Point Name – Additional Names	N	Unrestricted text (1000 characters)	Acme Hill Ranch Plant 2	If more than one primary receipt point in an individual rate schedule category, indicate each additional point in this comment field.	§284.126(b)(iv)

Field No.	Field Category	Field Sub-Category	Field ID	Field Name	Required (Y/N)	Format	Example	Definition	Reg. (Commission Requirement) *
30	SHIPPER ID DATA	RECEIPT / DELIVERY POINTS	SD_ReceiptPt_CCode	Primary Receipt Industry Common Code	Y	Unrestricted text (9 characters)	NNNNN-NNNN	Point Identification Code - Use the North American Energy Standards Board/Petroleum Information Corporation (NAESB/PI) Data Reference Number, a one-to-nine digit number also assigned by IHS (successor to PI). If more than one primary delivery point in an individual rate schedule category, indicate each additional point in a comment field 30a.	§284.126(b)(iv)
30a	SHIPPER ID DATA	RECEIPT / DELIVERY POINTS	SD_ReceiptPt_CCode-Add	Primary Receipt Industry Common Code – Additional Names	N	Unrestricted text (100 characters)	NNNNN-NNN2	If more than one primary delivery point in an individual rate schedule category, indicate each additional point in this comment field.	§284.126(b)(iv)
31	SHIPPER ID DATA	RECEIPT / DELIVERY POINTS	SD_DeliveryPt_Name	Primary Delivery Point Name	Y	Unrestricted text (100 characters)	Mid-Atlantic Interstate Pipeline Co.-Acme Interconnect	The term "Delivery Point" shall mean either (a) a Headstation, (b) the Point of Injection/Withdrawal, or (c) a point on Pipeline's Pipeline System that Shipper and Pipeline shall agree upon, where Gas exits facilities owned by Pipeline, and is metered. If more than one primary delivery point in an individual rate schedule category, indicate each additional point in a comment field 31a.	§284.126(b)(iv)
31a	SHIPPER ID DATA	RECEIPT / DELIVERY POINTS	SD_DeliveryPt_Name-Add	Primary Delivery Point Name – Additional Names	N	Unrestricted text (1000 characters)	Middle Sunbelt Interstate Pipeline Co.-Acme Interconnect	If more than one primary delivery point in an individual rate schedule category, indicate each additional point in a this comment field 31.	§284.126(b)(iv)
32	SHIPPER ID DATA	RECEIPT / DELIVERY POINTS	SD_DeliveryPt_CCode	Primary Delivery Industry Common Code	Y	Unrestricted text (9 characters)	NNNNN-ACMA	Point Identification Code - Use the North American Energy Standards Board/Petroleum Information Corporation (NAESB/PI) Data Reference Number, a one-to-nine digit number also assigned by IHS (successor to PI). If more than one primary delivery point in an individual rate schedule category, indicate each additional point in a comment field.	§284.126(b)(iv)

Field No.	Field Category	Field Sub-Category	Field ID	Field Name	Required (Y/N)	Format	Example	Definition	Reg. (Commission Requirement) *
32a	SHIPPER ID DATA	RECEIPT / DELIVERY POINTS	SD_DeliveryPt_CCCode-Add	Primary Delivery Industry Common Code – Additional Names	Y	Unrestricted text (100 characters)	MDSUN-ACMA	If more than one primary delivery point in an individual rate schedule category, indicate each additional point in this comment field.	§284.126(b)(iv)
33	RATES	CONTRACT RESERVATION RATES	RRes_Peak	Contract Reservation Rate - Peak Day	Required if field #23 is F-Firm	Number with up to 6 decimals.	7.50	The rate charged under the current contract to reserve peak day capacity . If there is more than one rate for the transaction, provide the weighted average rate and include the various rates in field #34.	§284.126(b)(iii)
34	RATES	CONTRACT RESERVATION RATES	RRes_Peak_Discount	Contract Reservation Rate - Peak Day (List of Discounted Rates)	Required if field #33 is an average rate.	Unrestricted text (1,000 characters)	n/a	If there is more than one rate for the transaction, provide a list of all rates in this field.	§284.126(b)(iii)
35	RATES	CONTRACT RESERVATION RATES	RRes_Peak_Unit	Contract Reservation Rate - Peak Day (Rate Units)	Required if field #33 is filled out.	See Column (1) of Appendix E – Reporting Units.	¢/Mcf-day	The rate unit for the Peak Day Contract Reservation Rate.	§284.126(b)(iii)
36	RATES	CONTRACT RESERVATION RATES	RRes_Annual	Contract Reservation Rate - Annual Volume	May be required if field #23 is F-Firm	Number with up to 6 decimals.		The rate charged under the current contract to reserve annual volume capacity. If there is more than one rate for the transaction, provide the weighted average rate and include the various rates in field #37.	§284.126(b)(iii)
37	RATES	CONTRACT RESERVATION RATES	RRes_Annual_Discount	Contract Reservation Rate - Annual Volume (List of Discounted Rates)	Required if field #36 is an average rate.	Unrestricted text (1,000 characters)		If there is more than one rate for the transaction, provide a list of all rates in this field.	§284.126(b)(iii)
38	RATES	CONTRACT RESERVATION RATES	RRes_Annual_Unit	Contract Reservation Rate - Annual Volume (Rate Units)	Required if field #36 is filled out.	See Column (2) of Appendix E – Reporting Units.		The rate unit for the Annual Volume Contract Reservation Rate.	§284.126(b)(iii)
39	RATES	CONTRACT USAGE RATES	RUse_Transport	Contract Usage Rate - Transported	At least one of the fields # 39, 42, 45, or 48 is required.	Number with up to 6 decimals.	1.00	The rate charged under the current contract for transportation service. If there is more than one rate for the transaction, provide the weighted average rate and include the various rates in field #40.	§284.126(b)(iii)
40	RATES	CONTRACT USAGE RATES	RUse_Transport_Discount	Contract Usage Rate - Transported (List of Discounted Rates)	Required if field #39 is an average rate.	Unrestricted text (1,000 characters)	n/a	If there is more than one rate for the transaction, provide a list of all rates in this field.	§284.126(b)(iii)
41	RATES	CONTRACT USAGE RATES	RUse_Transport_Unit	Contract Usage Rate - Transported (Rate Units)	Required if field #39 is filled out.	See Column (3) of Appendix E – Reporting Units.	¢/Mcf	The rate unit for the Transported Contract Usage Rate.	§284.126(b)(iii)

Field No.	Field Category	Field Sub-Category	Field ID	Field Name	Required (Y/N)	Format	Example	Definition	Reg. (Commission Requirement) *
42	RATES	CONTRACT USAGE RATES	RUse_Inj	Contract Usage Rate - Injected	At least one of the fields # 39, 42, 45, or 48 is required.	Number with up to 6 decimals.		The rate charged under the current contract for injection service. If there is more than one rate for the transaction, provide the weighted average rate and include the various rates in field #43.	§284.126(b)(iii)
43	RATES	CONTRACT USAGE RATES	RUse_Inj_Discount	Contract Usage Rate - Injected (List of Discounted Rates)	Required if field #42 is an average rate.	Unrestricted text (1,000 characters)		If there is more than one rate for the transaction, provide a list of all rates in this field.	§284.126(b)(iii)
44	RATES	CONTRACT USAGE RATES	RUse_Inj_Unit	Contract Usage Rate - Injected (Rate Units)	Required if field #42 is filled out.	See Column (4) of Appendix E – Reporting Units.		The rate unit for the Injected Contract Usage Rate.	§284.126(b)(iii)
45	RATES	CONTRACT USAGE RATES	RUse_Withdraw	Contract Usage Rate - Withdrawn	At least one of the fields # 39, 42, 45, or 48 is required.	Number with up to 6 decimals.		The rate charged under the current contract for withdrawal service. If there is more than one rate for the transaction, provide the weighted average rate and include the various rates in field #46.	§284.126(b)(iii)
46	RATES	CONTRACT USAGE RATES	RUse_Withdraw_Discount	Contract Usage Rate - Withdrawn (List of Discounted Rates)	Required if field #45 is an average rate.	Unrestricted text (1,000 characters)		If there is more than one rate for the transaction, provide a list of all rates in this field.	§284.126(b)(iii)
47	RATES	CONTRACT USAGE RATES	RUse_Withdraw_Unit	Contract Usage Rate - Withdrawn (Rate Units)	Required if field #45 is filled out.	See Column (5) of Appendix E – Reporting Units.		The rate unit for the Withdrawn Contract Usage Rate.	§284.126(b)(iii)
48	RATES	CONTRACT USAGE RATES	RUse_Park_First	Contract Usage Rate – Storage (Parking) /Lending Service [Initial Day or Single One-Part Rate]	At least one of the fields # 39, 42, 45, or 48 is required.	Number with up to 6 decimals.		The rate charged under the current contract for storage (parking) / lending service. If there is more than one rate for the transaction, provide the weighted average rate and include the various rates in field #49.	§284.126(b)(iii)
49	RATES	CONTRACT USAGE RATES	RUse_Park_First_Discount	Contract Usage Rate – Storage (Parking) /Lending Service [Initial Day or Single One-Part Rate] (List of Discounted Rates)	Required if field #48 is an average rate.	Unrestricted text (1,000 characters)		If there is more than one rate for the transaction, provide a list of all rates in this field.	§284.126(b)(iii)
50	RATES	CONTRACT USAGE RATES	RUse_Park_Second	Contract Usage Rate – Storage (Parking) /Lending Service [Consecutive Days]	N	Number with up to 6 decimals.		The usage rate charged under the current contract for storage / lending service for each consecutive day of service. If there is more than one rate for the transaction, provide the weighted average rate and include the various rates in a comment field.	§284.126(b)(iii)

Field No.	Field Category	Field Sub-Category	Field ID	Field Name	Required (Y/N)	Format	Example	Definition	Reg. (Commission Requirement) *
51	RATES	CONTRACT USAGE RATES	RUse_Park_Second_Discount	Contract Usage Rate – Storage (Parking) /Lending Service [Consecutive Days] (List of Discounted Rates)	Required if field #50 is an average rate.	Unrestricted text (1,000 characters)		If there is more than one rate for the transaction, provide a list of all rates in this field.	§284.126(b)(iii)
52	RATES	CONTRACT USAGE RATES	RUse_Park_Unit	Contract Usage Rate – Storage (Parking) /Lending Service (Rate Units)	Required if field #48 is filled out.	See Column (6) of Appendix E – Reporting Units.		The rate unit for the Storage (Parking) / Lending Service Contract Usage Rate.	§284.126(b)(iii)
53	RATES	CONTRACT USAGE RATES	RUse_Other	Other Contract Charges	N	Unrestricted text (1,000 characters)		Include any additional charges billed under the current contract not included above. Explain in this comment field.	§284.126(b)(iii)
54	BILLING UNITS	RESERVATION QUANTITIES	BURes_Peak	Contract Reservation Quantity - Peak Day Delivery	N	Number with up to 3 decimals.	1,500	The level of peak capacity reserved under the current contract. Volumes should not be adjusted for discounts.	§284.126(b)(v)
55	BILLING UNITS	RESERVATION QUANTITIES	BURes_Peak_Unit	Contract Reservation Quantity - Peak Day Delivery (Billing Units)	Required if field #54 is filled out.	See Column (7) of Appendix E – Reporting Units.	Mcf-day	The billing unit for the contract reservation rate for peak day capacity.	§284.126(b)(v)
56	BILLING UNITS	RESERVATION QUANTITIES	BURes_Annual	Contract Reservation Quantity - Annual Volume	N	Number with up to 3 decimals.		The maximum level of annual volume reserved under the current contract. Volumes should not be adjusted for discounts.	§284.126(b)(v)
57	BILLING UNITS	RESERVATION QUANTITIES	BURes_Annual_Unit	Contract Reservation Quantity - Annual Volume (Billing Units)	Required if field #56 is filled out.	See Column (8) of Appendix E – Reporting Units.		The billing unit for the contract reservation rate for annual volume capacity.	§284.126(b)(v)
58	BILLING UNITS	USAGE QUANTITIES	BUUse_Transport	Usage Quantity - Volumes Transported	At least one of the fields # 58, 60, 62, or 64 is required.	Number with up to 3 decimals.	101,250	The level of transportation usage reported for the quarter. Volumes should not be adjusted for discounts.	§284.126(b)(vii)
59	BILLING UNITS	USAGE QUANTITIES	BUUse_Transport_Unit	Usage Quantity - Volumes Transported (Billing Units)	Required if field #58 is filled out.	See Column (9) of Appendix E – Reporting Units.	Mcf	The billing unit for the contract usage rate for gas transported.	§284.126(b)(vii)
60	BILLING UNITS	USAGE QUANTITIES	BUUse_Inj	Usage Quantity - Volumes Injected	At least one of the fields # 58, 60, 62, or 64 is required.	Number with up to 3 decimals.		The level of gas injection reported for the quarter. Volumes should not be adjusted for discounts.	§284.126(b)(vii)
61	BILLING UNITS	USAGE QUANTITIES	BUUse_Inj_Unit	Usage Quantity - Volumes Injected (Billing Units)	Required if field #60 is filled out.	See Column (10) of Appendix E – Reporting Units.		The billing unit for the contract usage rate for gas injected.	§284.126(b)(vii)

Field No.	Field Category	Field Sub-Category	Field ID	Field Name	Required (Y/N)	Format	Example	Definition	Reg. (Commission Requirement) *
62	BILLING UNITS	USAGE QUANTITIES	BUUse_Withdraw	Usage Quantity - Volumes Withdrawn	At least one of the fields # 58, 60, 62, or 64 is required.	Number with up to 3 decimals.		The level of gas withdrawal reported for the quarter. Volumes should not be adjusted for discounts.	§284.126(b)(vii)
63	BILLING UNITS	USAGE QUANTITIES	BUUse_Withdraw_Unit	Usage Quantity - Volumes Withdrawn (Billing Units)	Required if field #62 is filled out.	See Column (11) of Appendix E – Reporting Units.		The billing unit for the contract usage rate for gas withdrawn.	§284.126(b)(vii)
64	BILLING UNITS	USAGE QUANTITIES	BUUse_Park_First	Usage Quantity - Storage (Parking) / Lending Service for Initial Day or Total for Service with Single One-Part Rate	At least one of the fields # 58, 60, 62, or 64 is required.	Number with up to 3 decimals.		The daily average level of gas using the storage (parking) / lending service for the initial day of service or the total if there is a one-part rate. Volumes should not be adjusted for discounts.	§284.126(b)(vii)
65	BILLING UNITS	USAGE QUANTITIES	BUUse_Park_Second	Usage Quantity - Storage (Parking) / Lending Service for Consecutive Days of Service	N	Number with up to 3 decimals.		The daily average level of gas using the storage (parking) / lending service for consecutive days of service. Volumes should not be adjusted for discounts.	§284.126(b)(vii)
66	BILLING UNITS	USAGE QUANTITIES	BUUse_Park_Unit	Usage Quantity - Storage (Parking) /Lending Service (Billing Units)	Required if field #64 is filled out.	See Column (12) of Appendix E – Reporting Units.		The billing unit for the contract usage rate for gas storage (parking) / lending service.	§284.126(b)(vii)
67	BILLING UNITS	USAGE QUANTITIES	BUUse_Other	Usage Quantity for Other Charges	N	Unrestricted text (1,000 characters).		The amount of billing units associated with Other Charges. Explain in this comment field.	§284.126(b)(vii)
68	ANNUAL REVENUES	RESERVATION REVENUES	RevRes_Peak	Reservation Revenues related to Peak Day Delivery	File every 4 <sup>th</sup> quarter. Only required if field 26 is filled out as T for transport and either field # 33 or 54 is filled out in any of the calendar year's reports.	Whole number with no decimals or commas.	10125	The amount of annual revenue from the peak day contract reservation rate.	§284.126(b)(vii)

Field No.	Field Category	Field Sub-Category	Field ID	Field Name	Required (Y/N)	Format	Example	Definition	Reg. (Commission Requirement) *
69	ANNUAL REVENUES	RESERVATION REVENUES	RevRes_Annual	Reservation Revenues related to Annual Volume	File every 4 <sup>th</sup> quarter. Only required if field 26 is filled out as T for transport and either field # 36 or 56 is filled out in any of the calendar year's reports.	Whole number with no decimals or commas.	-	The amount of annual revenue from the annual volume contract reservation rate.	§284.126(b)(viii)
70	ANNUAL REVENUES	USAGE REVENUES	RevUse_Transport	Usage Revenues related to Volumes Transported	File every 4 <sup>th</sup> quarter. Only required if field 26 is filled out as T for transport and either field # 39 or 58 is filled out in any of the calendar year's reports.	Whole number with no decimals or commas.	1013	The amount of annual revenue from gas transported.	§284.126(b)(viii)

Field No.	Field Category	Field Sub-Category	Field ID	Field Name	Required (Y/N)	Format	Example	Definition	Reg. (Commission Requirement) *
71	ANNUAL REVENUES	OTHER	RevUse_Other	Revenues related to Other transportation services	Only required with 4 <sup>th</sup> quarter filing and only required if any of fields # 49, 51, 65 or 67 is filled out in any of the calendar year's reports.	Whole number with no decimals or commas.	-	The amount of revenue from other transportation service charges.	§284.126(b)(viii)
72	ANNUAL REVENUES	TOTAL REVENUES	Rev_Grand Total	Total Revenues	Y	Whole number with no decimals or commas.	11138	The total amount of annual revenue charged for services as permitted in the SOC for transportation service.	§284.126(b)(viii)



## **B. Instructions for Reporting Data**

The instructions should be used to complete the Form No. 549D. The online fillable version of the form will contain “tool tips” for each field.

### **GENERAL INFORMATION**

#### **I. Purpose**

Form No. 549D is a quarterly regulatory support requirement under 18 CFR §284.126(b) for Natural Gas Policy Act section 311 and Hinshaw pipelines. The reports are designed to collect operational information on transportation and storage services provided to each shipper during a reporting period. This information collection is considered to be a non-confidential public use.

#### **II. Who Must Submit**

Each intrastate pipeline company providing interstate services pursuant to section 311 of the NGPA or Hinshaw pipeline company that provides interstate services pursuant to a blanket certificate issued under § 284.224 of the Commission’s regulations must file a quarterly report with the Commission and the appropriate state regulatory agency. The report must contain the information required by § 284.126(b)(1), for each transportation and storage service provided to each shipper during the preceding calendar quarter under §284.122.

#### **Companies that did not provide any interstate services**

Companies that did not provide any interstate services to a shipper during the preceding quarter must still file the Form No. 549D to make an affirmative statement as such. However, only field numbers 1 through 18 must be completed.

#### **III. Signature of Company Official**

The Commission accepts a typed name as an electronic signature pursuant to 18 CFR § 385.2005(c). For the signature of a company officer, type the name of the officer who will attest to the accuracy and completeness of the submission.

#### **IV. How to Submit**

Respondents have two options for complying with the filing requirements each quarter:

- A. Complete the Fillable PDF Form No. 549D and eFile it to the Commission *or*
- B. Provide the data that is validated against an XML Schema provided by the Commission and eFile it to the Commission.

Respondents do not have to notify the Commission of the option they selected and may change options each quarter. Respondents must select either option A or B when filing.

**A. Respondents who elect to use the Fillable PDF Form 549D must:**

- i. Download Adobe Acrobat Reader version 9.0 or greater.
- ii. Download, complete, and save the Fillable PDF Form No. 549D discussed above to your computer.
- iii. eFile the saved Form No. 549D PDF to the Commission. Paper and email filings will not be accepted.
- iv. Retain one copy of the electronic file and a paper copy for your files.

**B. Respondents who elect to use the XML option must:**

- i. Test and validate their data against the XML Schema provided by the Commission. The XML Schema and detailed instructions for validating the XML data will be posted to the FERC website at <http://www.ferc.gov/docs-filing/forms.asp#549d>.
- ii. eFile the validated XML file and a validated PDF file to the Commission.
- iii. Retain copies of the electronic files and a paper copy for your files.

**V. When to Submit**

Submit Form No. 549D according to the filing dates contained in section 18 CFR §284.126(b)(2) of the Commission's regulations.

Q1- January 1 through March 31 must be filed on or before June 1.

Q2- April 1 through June 30 must be filed on or before September 1.

Q3- July 1 through September 30 must be filed on or before December 1.

Q4- October 1 through December 31 must be filed on or before March 1.

**VI. Where to Send Comments on Public Reporting Burden**

The public reporting burden for the Fillable Form No. 549D is estimated to average 68 person-hours per Respondent for the initial startup and first filing. The XML startup effort would require an additional 128 person-hours. In subsequent filings, the ongoing burden of using the Fillable Form No. 549D and XML is 11 person-hours and 10 person-hours, respectively. These estimates include the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information.

Send comments regarding these burden estimates or any aspect of the collection of information, including suggestions for reducing burden, to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE, Washington, DC 20426 (Attention: Information Clearance Officer, Office of the

Executive Director, email at [DataClearance@ferc.gov](mailto:DataClearance@ferc.gov)); and to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503 (Attention: Desk Officer for the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, email at [oir\\_submission@omb.eop.gov](mailto:oir_submission@omb.eop.gov)). No person shall be subject to any penalty if any collection of information does not display a valid OMB control number (44 U.S.C. 3512 (a)).

## **VII. Reporting Units**

When reporting rate units, use ¢ or \$ depending on the rate amount required in the current contract. Revenues should be reported in whole numbers. See the Data Dictionary and Reporting Units for more information.

## **VII. Resubmissions**

Companies may resubmit their reports to correct data. Resubmissions should be electronically filed in the same manner as the original submission. The filing should be designated as a resubmission in field number 3, coupled with an explanation for the resubmission in field 3a, and the dates changed in field numbers 4 and 18.

## **VIII. Recent Changes to the Form**

As a result of Order No. 735-A, Form No. 549D has been modified as follows:

- i. New field 3a, allowing Respondents to include an explanation in the event of a resubmission.
- ii. Respondents are no longer required to report the end date in field 25 for interruptible services.
- iii. Respondents are no longer required to report per-customer revenues in fields 68-72 for storage services. For all other transportation services, Respondents are now only required to report per-customer revenues on an annual basis in the form filed for the fourth quarter (due every March 1).

## **IX. Questions**

Direct any questions to [form549d@ferc.gov](mailto:form549d@ferc.gov).

### Detailed Instructions for Form No. 549D

The respondent should provide the following information for each shipper for the reporting quarter taking service under §284.122.

Field 1	Enter the full legal name of the Intrastate/ Hinshaw Company providing transportation service.
Field 2	Enter transporter's FERC designated "Company Identifier".
Field 3	State if this submission is an Original or a Resubmission.
Field 3a	Provide an explanation of the reason for the resubmission.
Field 4	State the Submission Date.
Field 5	Confirm if the respondent provided jurisdictional transportation of natural gas this quarter.
Field 6	Provide the year and quarter for this submission.
Field 7	Provide the transporter's contact name for this submission.
Field 8	Provide the title of the contact.
Field 9	Provide the phone number of the contact.
Field 10	Provide the e-mail address of the contact.
Field 11	Enter the transporter's street address.
Field 12	Enter the city in the transporter's address.
Field 13	Enter the state in the transporter's address.
Field 14	Enter the zip in the transporter's address.
Field 15	Enter the country in the transporter's address.
Field 16	Provide the title of the company officer attesting to the accuracy and completeness of this submission.
Field 17	Provide signature of the company officer attesting to the accuracy and completeness of this submission. (Type the name only)
Field 18	Provide the date of the signature.
Field 19	Enter the full legal name of the shipper receiving service.
Field 20	Enter the unique Data Universal Numbering System (D-U-N-S®) Number assigned by the Dun & Bradstreet Corporation and accepted by the North American Energy Standards Board (NAESB) as the common code for the shipper.
Field 21	Designate if the shipper is an affiliate where an affiliate is defined as a company or person that directly or indirectly, through one or more intermediaries, controls, or is controlled by, or under common control, of the company in question.
Field 22	Report the contract number assigned by your company to the shipper.

### Detailed Instructions for Form No. 549D

The respondent should provide the following information for each shipper for the reporting quarter taking service under §284.122.

Field 23	Designate for each transaction if the service being provided to the shipper is on a Firm or Interruptible basis. Service on a firm basis means that the service is not subject to a prior claim by another customer or another class of service and receives the same priority as any other class of firm service (See §284.7 of the Commission's regulations). Service on an interruptible basis means that the capacity used to provide the service is subject to a prior claim by another customer or another class of service and receives a lower priority than such other class of service. (See §284.9)"
Field 24	Report the starting month and year for the current contract / service agreement with the shipper.
Field 25	Report data if transaction is for firm service, e.g., field #23 is "F" for firm service. Report the ending month and year for the current contract / service agreement with the shipper.
Field 26	Report the type of service being provided for the shipper e.g., T = Transportation, S = Storage, PL = Parking / Lending. O = Other. Other includes, imbalance services, pooling transportation, title transfer tracking service, penalties, surcharges or other charges, etc.
Field 27	Report for each transaction the rate schedule designation for each service provided for the shipper. The Rate Schedule designation should be readily identifiable in the Statement of Operating Conditions. Rate schedule designation include FTS = Firm Transportation Service; FSS = Firm Storage Service; ITS = Interruptible Transportation Service; ISS = Interruptible Storage Service; PL = Park and Lend Services and Other
Field 28	Report FERC docket number where rates were approved. For intrastate pipelines the docket prefix is usually PR or SA.
Field 29	Report the Primary Receipt Point for each transaction. The term "Receipt Point" shall mean either (a) a Headstation, (b) the Point of Injection/Withdrawal, or (c) a point on Pipeline's Pipeline System that Shipper and Pipeline shall agree upon, where gas enters facilities owned by Transporter, and is metered. If more than one primary receipt point in an individual rate schedule category, indicate each additional point in comment Field 29a.
Field 29a	If more than one primary receipt point in an individual rate schedule category, indicate each additional point in this comment field.
Field 30	Report the Primary Receipt Point's Point Identification Code - Use the North American Energy Standards Board/Petroleum Information Corporation (NAESB/PI) Data Reference Number, a one-to-nine digit number also assigned by IHS (successor to PI). If more than one primary receipt point in an individual rate schedule category, indicate each additional point in comment Field 30a.

### Detailed Instructions for Form No. 549D

The respondent should provide the following information for each shipper for the reporting quarter taking service under §284.122.

Field 30a	If more than one primary receipt point in an individual rate schedule category, indicate each additional point in this comment field.
Field 31	Report the Primary Delivery Point for each transaction. The term "Delivery Point" shall mean either (a) a Headstation, (b) the Point of Injection/Withdrawal, or (c) a point on Pipeline's Pipeline System that Shipper and Pipeline shall agree upon, where as exits facilities owned by Pipeline, and is metered. If more than one primary delivery point in an individual rate schedule category, indicate each additional point in comment Field 31a.
Field 31a	If more than one primary delivery point in an individual rate schedule category, indicate each additional point in this comment.
Field 32	Report the Primary Delivery Point's Point Identification Code - Use the North American Energy Standards Board/Petroleum Information Corporation (NAESB/PI) Data Reference Number, a one-to-nine digit number also assigned by IHS (successor to PI). If more than one primary delivery point in an individual rate schedule category, indicate each additional point in comment Field 32a.
Field 32a	If more than one primary delivery point in an individual rate schedule category, please indicate each additional point in this comment.
Field 33	Report the contract peak day reservation rate. If there is more than one rate for the transaction, provide the weighted average rate and include a list of all the rates charged in the quarter for this transaction in Field 34.
Field 34	If there was more than one rate for charged for contract peak day reservation rate in this transaction, provide a list of the all the rates charged in the quarter.
Field 35	If using Field 33 report in Field 35 the rate unit for the contract peak day reservation rate as shown in column 1 of Appendix E – Reporting Units.
Field 36	Report the contract annual volume reservation rate. If there is more than one rate for the transaction, provide the weighted average rate and include a list of all the rates charged in the quarter for this transaction in Field 37.
Field 37	If there was more than one rate charged for contract annual volume reservation rate in this transaction, provide a list of all the rates charged in the quarter.
Field 38	If using Field 36 report in Field 38 the rate unit for the contract annual volume reservation rate as shown in column 2 of Appendix E – Reporting Units.
Field 39	Report the contract usage rate for volumes transported. If there is more than one rate for the transaction, provide the weighted average rate and include a list of all the rates charged in the quarter for this transaction in Field 40.
Field 40	If there was more than one rate charged for contract usage rate for volumes transported in this transaction, provide a list of the all rates charged in the quarter.

### Detailed Instructions for Form No. 549D

The respondent should provide the following information for each shipper for the reporting quarter taking service under §284.122.

Field 41	If using Field 39 report in Field 41 the rate unit for the contract usage rate for volumes transported as shown in column 3 of Appendix E – Reporting Units.
Field 42	Report the contract usage rate for volumes injected. If there is more than one rate for the transaction, provide the weighted average rate and include a list of all the rates charged in the quarter for this transaction in Field 43.
Field 43	If there was more than one rate for charged for contract usage rate for volumes injected in this transaction, provide a list of all the rates charged in the quarter.
Field 44	If using Field 42 report in Field 44 the rate unit for the contract usage rate for volumes injected as shown in column 4 of Appendix E – Reporting Units.
Field 45	Report the contract usage rate for volumes withdrawn. If there is more than one rate for the transaction, provide the weighted average rate and include a list of all the rates charged in the quarter for this transaction in Field 46.
Field 46	If there was more than one rate charged for contract usage rate for volumes withdrawn in this transaction, provide a list of all the rates charged in the quarter.
Field 47	If using Field 45 report in Field 47 the rate unit for the contract usage rate for volumes withdrawn as shown in column 5 of Appendix E – Reporting Units.
Field 48	Report the contract usage rate for storage (parking) / lending service for the initial day of service or for a one-part rate. If there is more than one rate for the transaction, provide the weighted average rate and include a list of all the rates charged in the quarter for this transaction in Field 49.
Field 49	If there was more than one rate for charged for contract usage rate for storage (parking) / lending service for the initial day of service or for a one-part rate in this transaction, provide a list of all the rates charged in the quarter.
Field 50	Report the contract usage rate for storage (parking) / lending service for consecutive days of service. If there is more than one rate for the transaction, provide the weighted average rate and include a list of all the rates charged in the quarter for this transaction in Field 51.
Field 51	If there was more than one rate for charged for contract usage rate for storage (parking) / lending service for consecutive days of service in this transaction, provide a list of all the rates charged in the quarter.
Field 52	If using Field 48 and / or Field 50 report in Field 52 the rate unit for the contract usage rate for volumes storage / lending service as shown in column 6 of Appendix E – Reporting Units.
Field 53	Report Other contract charges and explain in this comment field. Include any additional charges billed under the current contract not included above. Include a description of the nature of the Other charges in this comment field.

### Detailed Instructions for Form No. 549D

The respondent should provide the following information for each shipper for the reporting quarter taking service under §284.122.

Field 54	Report the contract reservation quantity for peak day delivery. Volumes should not be adjusted for discounts.
Field 55	If using Field 54 report in Field 55 the billing unit for the contract reservation quantity for peak day delivery as shown in column 7 of Appendix E – Reporting Units.
Field 56	Report the contract reservation quantity for annual volumes. Volumes should not be adjusted for discounts.
Field 57	If using Field 56 report in Field 57 the billing unit for the contract reservation quantity for annual volumes as shown in column 8 of Appendix E – Reporting Units.
Field 58	Report the usage quantity for volumes transported. Volumes should not be adjusted for discounts.
Field 59	If using Field 58 report in Field 59 the billing unit for the usage quantity for volumes transported as shown in column 9 of Appendix E – Reporting Units.
Field 60	Report the usage quantity for volumes injected. Volumes should not be adjusted for discounts.
Field 61	If using Field 60 report in Field 61 the billing unit for the usage quantity for volumes injected as shown in column 10 of Appendix E – Reporting Units.
Field 62	Report the usage quantity for volumes withdrawn. Volumes should not be adjusted for discounts.
Field 63	If using Field 62 report in Field 63 the billing unit for the usage quantity for volumes withdrawn as shown in column 11 of Appendix E – Reporting Units.
Field 64	Report the usage quantity for storage (parking) / lending service for the initial day of service or the total for service with a one-part rate. Volumes should not be adjusted for discounts.
Field 65	Report the usage quantity for storage (parking) / lending service for consecutive days of service. Volumes should not be adjusted for discounts.
Field 66	If using Field 64 and / or Field 65 report in Field 66 the billing unit for the usage quantity for storage (parking) / lending service as shown in column 12 of Appendix E – Reporting Units.
Field 67	Report usage quantity for Other charges. Explain in this comment field. Volumes should not be adjusted for discounts.
Field 68	This field is only required to be completed with the 4 <sup>th</sup> quarter report. Report the amount of annual revenue from the peak day reservation associated with volumes reported on Field 54 from all the current calendar year's reports. If there are no volumes for the current transaction in the 4 <sup>th</sup> quarter you must enter annual revenues and leave blank Fields 33 and 54.



### Detailed Instructions for Form No. 549D

The respondent should provide the following information for each shipper for the reporting quarter taking service under §284.122.

Field 69	This field is only required to be completed with the 4 <sup>th</sup> quarter report. Report the amount of annual revenue from the annual volume reservation associated with volumes reported on Field 56 from all the current calendar year's reports. If there are no volumes for the current transaction in the 4 <sup>th</sup> quarter you must enter annual revenues and leave blank Fields 36 and 56.
Field 70	This field is only required to be completed with the 4 <sup>th</sup> quarter report. Report the amount of annual revenue from transportation service associated with volumes reported on Field 58 from all the current calendar year's reports. If there are no volumes for the current transaction in the 4 <sup>th</sup> quarter you must enter annual revenues and leave blank Fields 39 and 58.
Field 71	This field is only required to be completed with the 4 <sup>th</sup> quarter report. Report the amount of annual revenue for Other Charges associated with volumes reported on Field 67 from all the current calendar year's reports. If there are no volumes for the current transaction in the 4 <sup>th</sup> quarter you must enter annual revenues and leave blank Fields 53 and 67.
Field 72	Report the total amount of annual revenue from charges allowed under the SOC for transportation services for this transaction.

**C. Reporting Units for Form No. 549D Data Dictionary and Instructions**

(Note: this information should be used with the Form No. 549D data dictionary and the instructions for completing the paper copy)

**REPORTING UNITS**  
**Form No. 549D Data Dictionary and Instructions**

**RATE UNITS**

(1) Contract Reservation Rate - Peak Day	(2) Contract Reservation Rate - Annual Volume	(3) Contract Usage Rate - Transported	(4) Contract Usage Rate - Injected	(5) Contract Usage Rate - Withdrawn	(6) Contract Usage Rate - Storage (Parking) / Lending Service
\$/Dth-day	\$/Dth-day	\$/Dth	\$/Dth	\$/Dth	\$/Dth-day
\$/Dth-mo.	\$/Dth-mo.	\$/MMBtu	\$/MMBtu	\$/MMBtu	\$/Dth
\$/Dth	\$/Dth	\$/th	\$/th	\$/th	\$/MMBtu-day
\$/MMBtu-day	\$/MMBtu-day	\$/Mcf	\$/Mcf	\$/Mcf	\$/MMBtu
\$/MMBtu-mo.	\$/MMBtu-mo.	¢/Dth	¢/Dth	¢/Dth	\$/th-day
\$/MMBtu	\$/MMBtu	¢/MMBtu	¢/MMBtu	¢/MMBtu	\$/th
\$/th-day	\$/th-day	¢/th	¢/th	¢/th	\$/Mcf-day
\$/th-mo.	\$/th-mo.	¢/Mcf	¢/Mcf	¢/Mcf	\$/Mcf
\$/th	\$/th				¢/Dth-day
\$/Mcf-day	\$/Mcf-day				¢/Dth
\$/Mcf-mo.	\$/Mcf-mo.				¢/MMBtu-day
\$/Mcf	\$/Mcf				¢/MMBtu
¢/Dth-day	¢/Dth-day				¢/th-day
¢/Dth-mo.	¢/Dth-mo.				¢/th
¢/Dth	¢/Dth				¢/Mcf-day
¢/MMBtu-day	¢/MMBtu-day				¢/Mcf
¢/MMBtu-mo.	¢/MMBtu-mo.				
¢/MMBtu	¢/MMBtu				
¢/th-day	¢/th-day				
¢/th-mo.	¢/th-mo.				
¢/th	¢/th				
¢/Mcf-day	¢/Mcf-day				
¢/Mcf-mo.	¢/Mcf-mo.				
¢/Mcf	¢/Mcf				

**Revenues should be reported in whole numbers.**

**REPORTING UNITS**  
**Form No. 549D Data Dictionary and Instructions**

**BILLING UNITS**

(7) Contract Reservation Rate - Peak Day	(8) Contract Reservation Rate - Annual Volume	(9) Contract Usage Rate - Transported	(10) Contract Usage Rate - Injected	(11) Contract Usage Rate - Withdrawn	(12) Contract Usage Rate - Storage (Parking) / Lending Service
Dth-day	Dth-day	Dth	Dth	Dth	Dth
Dth-mo.	Dth-mo.	MMBtu	MMBtu	MMBtu	MMBtu
Dth	Dth	th	th	th	th
MMBtu-day	MMBtu-day	Mcf	Mcf	Mcf	Mcf
MMBtu-mo.	MMBtu-mo.				
MMBtu	MMBtu				
th-day	th-day				
th-mo.	th-mo.				
th	th				
Mcf-day	Mcf-day				
Mcf-mo.	Mcf-mo.				
Mcf	Mcf				

**D. List of Commenters and Abbreviations**

<b>Commenter</b>	<b>Abbreviation</b>
American Gas Association	AGA
Arkansas Oklahoma Gas Corporation	AOG
Apache Corporation	Apache
Atmos Pipeline-Texas	Atmos
Atmos Cities Steering Committee	Cities
Clayton Williams Energy Inc.	Clayton Williams
Constellation Energy Commodities Group, Inc., and Constellation NewEnergy-Gas Division, LLC	Constellation
Cranberry Pipeline Corporation	Cranberry
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. and Duke Energy Kentucky, Inc.	Duke
Enogex LLC	Enogex
Enstor Operating Company, LLC	Enstor
Jefferson Island Storage & Hub, L.L.C.	Jefferson
ONEOK Gas Transportation, L.L.C., and ONEOK WesTex Transmission, L.L.C.	ONEOK
Texas Alliance of Energy Producers	Texas Alliance
Texas Pipeline Association	TPA
Yates Petroleum and Agave Energy Corporation	Yates

Document Content(s)

RM09-2-001 - Copy.DOC.....1-65

Form 549D for RM09-2-001.PDF.....66-68

Appendix for RM09-2-001.DOC.....69-92