## APPENDIX S

**Visual Resources** 

## **APPENDIX S: VISUAL RESOURCES**

## **Table of Contents**

Appendix S-1	Sensitive Visual Reso	ource Areas Visible from the Project Area
* *	. Affected Environment, Impacts, and Mitiga	3
Appendix S-2b	Existing Conditions and Visual Simula	tion Imagery for Key Observation Points
Appendix S-3		Maps of Key Observation Points

## **APPENDIX S-1**

Sensitive Visual Resource Areas Visible from the Project Area

# APPENDIX S-1: SENSITIVE VISUAL RESOURCE AREAS VISIBLE FROM THE PROJECT AREA

st of Tables	
able S-1 Sensitive Visual Resource Areas Visible from the Project AreaS-	1

ζ	1	2
	ì	
Ŀ		4

BLM Grayling Lake Wayside

TABLE S-1 Sensitive Visual Resource Areas Visible from the Project Area Proiect Applicable Key Footprint Distance to Project Observation Visible a, b Facility (miles) Points Sensitive Visual Resource Resource Type Project Facility Milepost Prudhoe Bay City/Community Mainline Facilities. 0.0-1.0 4.4 1 Possibly Gas Treatment Facilities North Slope Area Special Use Lands Special Use Land Mainline Facilities. Yes c 0.0-182.5 0 1-7 Gas Treatment Facilities James Dalton Highway Corridor Scenic Byway Mainline Facilities, Yes 0.0-401.0 0 1-15, A-I Gas Treatment Facilities Deadhorse City/Community Mainline Facilities, Possibly 7.0 4.1 Gas Treatment Facilities Yes c 0 2 RST d 450 Hickel Highway Trail Mainline Facilities 62.8 **BLM Sag River Overlook BLM Land** Mainline Facilities 72.5 0.2 None Possibly **BLM Land** Mainline Facilities **BLM Happy Valley Wayside** No 85.7 0.6 None BLM Galbraith Lake Campground **BLM Land** Mainline Facilities Yes 142.9 2.0 3, 4 **ANWR** NWR Mainline Facilities. 144.1 4.3 3, 4 Yes Gas Treatment Facilities **BLM Atigun Pass Wayside BLM Land** Mainline Facilities Yes 169.9 0 5 **BLM Farthest North Spruce Wayside BLM Land** Mainline Facilities Yes 178.9 0 7 BLM Sukakpak Mountain Wayside **BLM Land** Mainline Facilities Yes 212.5 0.1 None Trail 8 RST 254 Wiseman-Chandalar Mainline Facilities Yes c 218.7 0 Trail RST 899 Hammond River Trail Mainline Facilities Possibly 227.0 - 228.00.7 None Wiseman Community Mainline Facilities Possibly 230.1 0.5 None 0 9 **BLM Marion Creek Campground BLM Land** Mainline Facilities Yes 236.7 RST 9 Coldfoot-Chandalar Lake Trail Mainline Facilities Yes c 241.2 0.2 A, 10, 11 RST 591 Coldfoot-Junction #49 (east route) Trail Mainline Facilities Yes c 241.3 0.2 A, 10, 11 RST 262 Caro-Coldfoot Trail Mainline Facilities Yes c 241.5 0.2 A, 10, 11 RST 209 Bettles-Coldfoot Trail Mainline Facilities 249.5 0.2 None Yes RST 1611 Bergman - Cathedral Mountain Trail Mainline Facilities Yes 249.9 0.4 None RST 412 Slate Creek Trail Mainline Facilities Yes c 255.5 0 None

Mainline Facilities

266.7

Possibly

0.4

None

**BLM Land** 

TABLE S-1
Sensitive Visual Resource Areas Visible from the Project Area

Sensitive Visual Resource	Resource Type	Project Facility	Project Footprint Visible <sup>a, b</sup>	Milepost	Distance to Project Facility (miles)	Applicable Key Observation Points
BLM Gobblers Knob Wayside	BLM Land	Mainline Facilities	Possibly	282.0	0.7	В
BLM Arctic Circle Wayside	BLM Land	Mainline Facilities	No	297.8	2.2	С
BLM Finger Mountain Wayside	BLM Land	Mainline Facilities	Yes	314.8	0.1	D, E
BLM 86-Mile Wayside	BLM Land	Mainline Facilities	Yes	326.8	0.6	F
BLM 60-Mile Campground	BLM Land	Mainline Facilities	Possibly	352.6	0.2	None
BLM Visitor Contact Station and Yukon Crossing Wayside	BLM Land	Mainline Facilities	Possibly	356.5	0.7	None
RST 468 Hunter Creek-Livengood	Trail	Mainline Facilities	Yes <sup>c</sup>	400.6	0.2	15
Livengood	City/Community	Mainline Facilities	Possibly <sup>e</sup>	401.0	4.3	15
Tanana Valley State Forest	State Forest	Mainline Facilities	Yes	407.7 - 430.9 447.9 - 454.6	0	None
Minto Flats SGR	SGR	Mainline Facilities	Yes	430.9 – 441.1 454.6 – 468.5	0	None
RST 70 Ester-Dunbar	Trail	Camp/Pipe storage yard	Yes	454.6	1.7	None
RST 66 Dunbar-Brooks Terminal	Trail	Mainline Facilities	Yes <sup>c</sup>	454.6	0	15
RST 1595 Dunbar-Minto-Tolovana	Trail	Mainline Facilities	Yes <sup>c</sup>	455.9	0.3	None
Parks Highway	Scenic Byway	Mainline Facilities	Yes	471.0 - 472.2 498.5 - 675.5	0	16-35 37-40, 44, 45, J-Q, S-V
RST 152 Nenana-Tanana (Serum Run)	Trail	Mainline Facilities	Yes	471.6	0.2	18
RST 264 Old Mail Trail (Nenana-Minto)	Trail	Mainline Facilities	Yes	471.6	0.2	18
RST 346 Nenana-Kantishna	Trail	Mainline Facilities	Yes <sup>c</sup>	473.8	0.4	None
Nenana	City/Community	Mainline Facilities	Yes	473.4	0.7	19-22
RST 345 Kobi-McGrath (via Nikolai & Big River)	Trail	Mainline Facilities	Yes <sup>c</sup>	497.3	0.2	None
RST 119 Kobi-Bonnifield Trail to Tatlanika Creek	Trail	Mainline Facilities	Yes	497.5	1.4	None
RST 343 Kobi-Kantishna	Trail	Mainline Facilities	Yes <sup>c</sup>	498.1	0.3	None
RST 491 Rex-Roosevelt	Trail	Mainline Facilities	Yes <sup>c</sup>	498.1	0.3	None
RST 344 Lignite-Kantishna	Trail	Mainline Facilities	Yes <sup>c</sup>	523.1	0.2	None
RST 340 Lignite-Stampede	Trail	Mainline Facilities	Yes <sup>c</sup>	523.2	0.2	None

TABLE S-1
Sensitive Visual Resource Areas Visible from the Project Area

Sensitive Visual Resource	Resource Type	Project Facility	Project Footprint Visible <sup>a, b</sup>	Milepost	Distance to Project Facility (miles)	Applicable Key Observation Points
Dry Creek Site	Interagency Land Management Area Park	Mainline Facilities	Yes	525.0	1.0	24, 25
RST 709 Healy-Diamond Coal Mine Dirt Road	Trail	Mainline Facilities	Yes <sup>c</sup>	527.0	0.1	27
Healy	City/Community	Mainline Facilities	Yes	524.5	1.9	24, 25
Healy	City/Community	Camp/Pipe storage yard	Yes	524.5	0.5	None
Garner	City/Community	Mainline Facilities	Yes	529.2	0.3	J
Nenana River Gorge & McKinley Village Special Use Area	Special Use Land	Mainline Facilities	Yes <sup>c</sup>	532.2 – 537.5	0	28, 29, K-M
DNPP	NPP	Mainline Facilities	Yes	532.2 – 586.0	0.1	28-34, K-M, 62-68
RST 625 Cantwell Small Tracts Road (Lovers Lane)	Trail	Mainline Facilities	Yes <sup>c</sup>	566.5	0.2	34, 35
Cantwell	City/Community	Mainline, Facilities Camp/ Pipe storage yard	Yes	566.5	1.1	34, 35
RST 707 Windy Creek Trails (Cantwell)	Trail	Camp/Pipe storage yard	Yes	568.0	1.3	None
Summit	City/Community	Mainline Facilities	Yes	575.0	0.3	None
Broad Pass	City/Community	Mainline Facilities	Possibly	584.0	1.6	None
Denali State Park	State Park	Mainline Facilities	Yes	609.0 - 646.9	0	37, 38, O-S
Talkeetna Recreation River	SRR	Mainline Facilities	Possibly	663.0	4.2	42
RST 331 Talkeetna-Iron Creek	Trail	Mainline Facilities	Possibly	663.5	5.3	None
Sunshine	City/Community	Mainline Facilities, Pipe storage yard	Yes	677.0	4.2	None
RST 1691 Herning Trail-Question Creek	Trail	Mainline Facilities	Possibly	677.0	4.4	None
Kroto Creek and Moose Creek SRR	SRR	Mainline Facilities	Yes	703.9 – 707.4	0	None
Nancy Lake State Recreation Area	State Recreation Area	Mainline Facilities	Possibly	710.0	7.4	None
Little Susitna Recreation River	SRR	Mainline Facilities	Possibly	721.0	11.4	None
RST 198 Susitna-McDougal	Trail	Mainline Facilities	Yes <sup>c</sup>	721.1	0.4	None
INHT	NHT <sup>d</sup>	Mainline Facilities	Yes	723.5	0	46, 47

TABLE S-1

Sensitive Visual Resource Areas Visible from the Project Area

Sensitive Visual Resource	Resource Type	Project Facility	Project Footprint Visible <sup>a, b</sup>	Milepost	Distance to Project Facility (miles)	Applicable Key Observation Points
RST 199 Knit-Sustina	Trail	Mainline Facilities	Yes <sup>c</sup>	723.5	0	46, 47
RST 149 Nancy Lake-Susitna	Trail	Mainline Facilities	Possibly	724.5	1.0	46, 47
Alexander Creek State Recreation River	SRR	Mainline Facilities	Yes	727.3 – 728.6	0	None
RST 126 Lakeview-McDougal	Trail	Mainline Facilities	No <sup>e</sup>	728.0	13.6	None
Susitna Flats SGR	SGR	Mainline Facilities	Yes	737.3 – 752.3	0	None
RST 1862 Beluga Indian Trail	Trail	Mainline Facilities	Yes <sup>c</sup>	751.5	0.5	None
RST 200 Susitna-Tyonek	Trail	Mainline Facilities	Yes <sup>c</sup>	766.2	0	None
Kenai NWR	NWR	Mainline Facilities, LNG Plant	Yes	793.3	5.1	None
Nikiski	City/Community	Mainline Facilities, LNG Plant	Yes	813.0	0.4	None
Kenai	City/Community	Mainline Facilities, LNG Plant	Possibly	818.0	9.3	52
Ridgeway	City/Community	Mainline Facilities, LNG Plant	Possibly	818.0	13.8	53
Salamatof	City/Community	Mainline Facilities, LNG Plant	Yes	818.0	4.5	None
The Pillars - Kenai River Special Management Area	Interagency Land Management Area Park	LNG Plant	Yes	818.0	13.3	None

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Visibility based on DEM does not account for vegetation present that could reduce visibility.

b Visibility from the Project footprint, determined with line-of-sight analysis with ESRI ArcGIS desktop analysis in areas with sufficient DEM availability.

c Recreational resource crosses or overlaps the Mainline Facilities.

NHT = National Historic Trail; RST = Revised Statute 2477 Trail

DEM not complete from Project feature to community.

## **APPENDIX S-2a**

Affected Environment, Impacts, and Mitigation Measures at Key Observation Points

# APPENDIX S-2a: AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT, IMPACTS, AND MITIGATION MEASURES AT KEY OBSERVATION POINTS

<b>70</b> 0 1		•	$\sim$	
1 2	hie	Λt	( 'An	tents

Acronyms and	d Abbreviations	S-v
	ECTED ENVIRONMENT, IMPACTS, AND MITIGATION MEASURES ERVATION POINTS	
S-2.1.1	Gas Treatment Facilities	S-5
	S-2.1.1.1 KOP 1: Colleen Lake	
S-2.1.2	Point Thomson Unit Gas Transmission Line	S-7
S-2.1.3	Prudhoe Bay Unit Gas Transmission Line	
S-2.1.4	Mainline Facilities	
	S-2.1.4.1 KOP 2: Dalton Highway Wayside	
	S-2.1.4.2 KOP 3: Galbraith Lake Campground (view north)	
	S-2.1.4.3 KOP 4: Galbraith Lake Campground (view southeast)	
	S-2.1.4.4 KOP 5: Atigun Pass	S-12
	S-2.1.4.5 KOP 6: Base of Atigun Pass	S-13
	S-2.1.4.6 KOP 7: Atigun Pass Pullout	S-14
	S-2.1.4.7 KOP 8: Wiseman-Chandalar Trail	S-15
	S-2.1.4.8 KOP 9: Marion Creek Campground	
	S-2.1.4.9 KOP 10: Arctic Interagency Visitor Center (view southeast)	S-18
	S-2.1.4.10 KOP 11: Arctic Interagency Visitor Center (view northeast)	
	S-2.1.4.11 KOP A: Coldfoot Camp	
	S-2.1.4.12 KOP B: Gobblers Knob Rest Area	
	S-2.1.4.13 KOP C: Arctic Circle Campground	
	S-2.1.4.14 KOP D: Finger Mountain Wayside (view northwest)	S-24
	S-2.1.4.15 KOP E: Finger Mountain Wayside (view southeast)	
	S-2.1.4.16 KOP F: 86 Mile Overlook	
	S-2.1.4.17 KOP 12: Yukon River Camp	
	S-2.1.4.18 KOP 13: Yukon River	
	S-2.1.4.19 KOP 14: Five Mile Camp	
	S-2.1.4.20 KOP G: Hess Creek Bridge	
	S-2.1.4.21 KOP H: Hess Creek Pullout	
	S-2.1.4.22 KOP I: Hess Creek Overlook	
	S-2.1.4.23 KOP 15: Elliott Highway	
	S-2.1.4.24 KOP 16: George Parks Highway Pullout	
	S-2.1.4.25 KOP 17: George Parks Highway (view north)	
	S-2.1.4.26 KOP 18: George Parks Highway (view east)	
	S-2.1.4.27 KOP 19: Tanana River (south)	
	S-2.1.4.28 KOP 20: Tanana River (north)	
	S-2.1.4.29 KOP 21: Nenana City School (view northwest)	
	S-2.1.4.30 KOP 22: Nenana City School (view southwest)	
	S-2.1.4.31 KOP 23: Dry Creek Site	
	S-2.1.4.32 KOP 24: Tri-Valley School (view southwest)	
	S-2.1.4.33 KOP 25: Tri-Valley School (view south)	
	S-2.1.4.34 KOP 26: Otto Lake	
	S-2.1.4.35 KOP 27: Otto Lake Road	
	5-2.1.7.30 KOF J. Delian KV Fark and Multi	3-40

	S-2.1.4.37 KOP 28: Nenana River Bridge	
	S-2.1.4.38 KOP 29: Fox Creek Crossing	. S-50
	S-2.1.4.39 KOP K: McKinley Chalet Resort	. S-50
	S-2.1.4.40 KOP L: Denali Princess Wilderness Lodge	. S-52
	S-2.1.4.41 KOP M: Grande Denali Lodge	. S-53
	S-2.1.4.42 KOP 30: Denali National Park and Preserve Wilderness Access Center.	. S-54
	S-2.1.4.43 KOP 31: George Parks Highway-Carlo Creek	. S-55
	S-2.1.4.44 KOP 32: Nenana River (view east)	
	S-2.1.4.45 KOP 33: Nenana River (view south)	. S-58
	S-2.1.4.46 KOP 34: Cantwell School (east)	. S-59
	S-2.1.4.47 KOP 35: Cantwell School (south)	. S-60
	S-2.1.4.48 KOP 36: Windy Creek Trail	
	S-2.1.4.49 KOP N: George Parks Highway-MP 170.8	
	S-2.1.4.50 KOP O: Upper Troublesome Creek Trailhead	
	S-2.1.4.51 KOP P: Lower Troublesome Creek Trailhead	
	S-2.1.4.52 KOP 37: Mt. McKinley Princess Wilderness Lodge (view southwest)	
	S-2.1.4.53 KOP 38: Denali State Park Viewpoint	
	S-2.1.4.54 KOP Q: Denali State Park Viewpoint South	
	S-2.1.4.55 KOP R: Denali State Park Visitor Center	
	S-2.1.4.56 KOP S: Mt. McKinley Princess Wilderness Lodge (view northwest)	
	S-2.1.4.57 KOP 39: George Parks Highway-MP 131.2	
	S-2.1.4.58 KOP 40: George Parks Highway-MP 131	
	S-2.1.4.59 KOP T: George Parks Highway-MP 130.6	
	S-2.1.4.60 KOP U: George Parks Highway-MP 121.7	
	S-2.1.4.61 KOP V: Petersville Road	
	S-2.1.4.62 KOP 41: Talkeetna Railroad Depot	
	S-2.1.4.63 KOP 42: Susitna and Talkeetna Rivers	S-77
	S-2.1.4.64 KOP 43: Alaska Railroad	
	S-2.1.4.65 KOP 44: Susitna Valley High School (view north)	
	S-2.1.4.66 KOP 45: Susitna Valley High School (view west)	
	S-2.1.4.67 KOP 46: Susitna-Rainy Pass Trail	
	S-2.1.4.68 KOP 47: Iditarod National Historic Trail	
	S-2.1.4.69 KOP 2018-1: Healy Compressor Station	
	S-2.1.4.70 KOP 2018-2: Ray River Compressor Station	
	S-2.1.4.71 KOPs 2018-3 Through 2018-7: George Parks Highway Crossings 1–5	
	S-2.1.4.71 KOPS 2018-3 Through 2018-7. George Parks Fighway Crossings 1–3 S-2.1.4.72 KOP 2018-8: Denali Park Road	
	S-2.1.4.72 KOF 2018-8: Delian Faix Road	
	S-2.1.4.74 KOP 2018-10: Alaska Railroad Above Horseshoe Lake	
	S-2.1.4.75 KOP 2018-11: Mt. Healy Overlook Trail Summit	
	S-2.1.4.76 KOP 2018-12: Triple Lakes Trail	
	S-2.1.4.77 KOP 2018-13: Nenana River Pedestrian Bridge	
0.4.5	S-2.1.4.78 KOP 2018-14: South of Parks Highway MP 236	
S-2.1.5	Liquefaction Facilities	
	S-2.1.5.1 KOP 48: Trading Bay Beach	
	S-2.1.5.2 KOP 49: Nikiski North Star Elementary School (view north)	
	S-2.1.5.3 KOP 50: Nikiski North Star Elementary School (view west)	
	S-2.1.5.4 KOP 51: Escape Route Road.	
	S-2.1.5.5 KOP 52: Kaleidoscope Charter School	
	S-2.1.5.6 KOP 53: Pillars Boat Launch	
	S-2.1.5.7 KOP 54: Mt. Redoubt Church	S-100

## **List of Tables**

Table S-2-1	KOP 1: Colleen Lake	S-6
Table S-2-2	KOP 2: Dalton Highway Wayside	S-8
Table S-2-3	KOP 3: Galbraith Lake Campground (view north)	S-9
Table S-2-4	KOP 4: Galbraith Lake Campground (view southeast)	S-11
Table S-2-5	KOP 5: Atigun Pass	
Table S-2-6	KOP 6: Base of Atigun Pass	S-13
Table S-2-7	KOP 7: Atigun Pass Pullout	S-15
Table S-2-8	KOP 8: Wiseman-Chandalar Trail	
Table S-2-9	KOP 9: Marion Creek Campground	S-17
Table S-2-10	KOP 10: Arctic Interagency Visitor Center (view southeast)	
Table S-2-11	KOP 11: Arctic Interagency Visitor Center (view northeast)	
Table S-2-12	KOP A: Coldfoot Camp	
Table S-2-13	KOP B: Gobblers Knob Rest Area	
Table S-2-14	KOP C: Arctic Circle Campground	
Table S-2-15	KOP D: Finger Mountain Wayside (view northwest)	
Table S-2-16	KOP E: Finger Mountain Wayside (view southeast)	
Table S-2-17	KOP F: 86 Mile Overlook	
Table S-2-18	KOP 12: Yukon River Camp	S-27
Table S-2-19	KOP 13: Yukon River	S-28
Table S-2-20	KOP 14: Five Mile Camp	S-29
Table S-2-21	KOP G: Hess Creek Bridge	S-31
Table S-2-22	KOP H: Hess Creek Pullout	S-32
Table S-2-23	KOP I: Hess Creek Overlook	S-33
Table S-2-24	KOP 15: Elliot Highway	S-34
Table S-2-25	KOP 16: George Parks Highway Pullout	S-35
Table S-2-26	KOP 17: George Parks Highway (view north)	
Table S-2-27	KOP 18: George Parks Highway (view east)	
Table S-2-28	KOP 19: Tanana River (north)	S-38
Table S-2-29	KOP 20: Tanana River (south)	S-40
Table S-2-30	KOP 21: Nenana City School (view northwest)	S-41
Table S-2-31	KOP 22: Nenana City School (view southwest)	S-42
Table S-2-32	KOP 23: Dry Creek Site	S-42
Table S-2-33	KOP 24: Tri-Valley School (view southwest)	S-43
Table S-2-34	KOP 25: Tri-Valley School (view south)	S-44
Table S-2-35	KOP 26: Otto Lake	S-45
Table S-2-36	KOP 27: Otto Lake Road	S-46
Table S-2-37	KOP J: Denali RV Park and Motel	S-47
Table S-2-38	KOP 28: Nenana River Bridge	S-48
Table S-2-39	KOP 29: Fox Creek Crossing	S-50
Table S-2-40	KOP K: McKinley Chalet Resort	
Table S-2-41	KOP L: Denali Princess Wilderness Lodge	S-52
Table S-2-42	KOP M: Grand Denali Lodge	
Table S-2-43	KOP 30: Denali National Park and Preserve Wilderness Access Center	S-54
Table S-2-44	KOP 31: George Parks Highway – Carlo Creek	S-55
Table S-2-45	KOP 32: Nenana River (view east)	
Table S-2-46	KOP 33: Nenana River (view south)	S-58
Table S-2-47	KOP 34: Cantwell School (east)	S-59
Table S-2-48	KOP 35: Cantwell School (south)	S-60
Table S-2-49	KOP 36: Windy Creek Trail	S-61

Table S-2-50	KOP N: George Parks Highway – MP 170.8	S-62
Table S-2-51	KOP O: Upper Troublesome Creek Trailhead	S-64
Table S-2-52	KOP P: Lower Troublesome Creek Trailhead	S-65
Table S-2-53	KOP 37: Mt. McKinley Princess Wilderness Lodge (view southwest)	
Table S-2-54	KOP 38: Denali State Park Viewpoint	S-67
Table S-2-55	KOP Q: Denali State Park Viewpoint South	
Table S-2-56	KOP R: Denali State Park Visitor Center	S-69
Table S-2-57	KOP S: Mt. McKinley Princess Wilderness Lodge (view northwest)	S-70
Table S-2-58	KOP 39: George Parks Highway – MP 131.2	S-70
Table S-2-59	KOP 40: George Parks Highway – MP 131	
Table S-2-60	KOP T: George Parks Highway – MP 130.6	S-73
Table S-2-61	KOP U: George Parks Highway – MP 121.7	
Table S-2-62	KOP V: Petersville Road	
Table S-2-63	KOP 41: Talkeetna Railroad Depot	S-77
Table S-2-64	KOP 42: Susitna and Talkeetna Rivers	
Table S-2-65	KOP 43: Alaska Railroad	S-78
Table S-2-66	KOP 44: Susitna Valley High School (view north)	S-79
Table S-2-67	KOP 45: Susitna Valley High School (view west)	S-80
Table S-2-68	KOP 46: Susitna – Rainy Pass Trail	
Table S-2-69	KOP 47: Iditarod National Historic Trail	S-81
Table S-2-70	KOP 2018-1: Healy Compressor Station	S-82
Table S-2-71	KOP 2018-2: Ray River Compressor Station	S-83
Table S-2-72	KOP 2018-3 Through 2018-7: George Parks Highway Crossings 1-5	
Table S-2-73	KOP 2018-8: Denali Park Road	
Table S-2-74	KOP 2018-9: Government Hill	S-87
Table S-2-75	KOP 2018-10: Railroad Above Horseshoe Lake	S-88
Table S-2-76	KOP 2018-11: Mt. Healy Overlook Trail Summit	S-89
Table S-2-77	KOP 2018-12: Triple Lakes Trail	S-90
Table S-2-78	KOP 2018-13: Nenana River Pedestrian Bridge	S-91
Table S-2-79	KOP 2018-14: South of Parks Highway – MP 236	S-92
Table S-2-80	KOP 48: Trading Bay Beach	S-93
Table S-2-81	KOP 49: Nikiski North Star Elementary School (view north)	S-94
Table S-2-82	KOP 50: Nikiski North Star Elementary School (view west)	S-95
Table S-2-83	KOP 51: Escape Route Road	
Table S-2-84	KOP 52: Kaleidoscope Charter School	
Table S-2-85	KOP 53: Pillars Boat Launch	
Table S-2-86	KOP 54: Mt. Redoubt Church	S-100

## **List of Figures**

(See appendix S-2b)

#### **ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS**

**Acronym Definition** 

ADNR Alaska Department of Natural Resources

AGDC Alaska Gasline Development Corporation

liquefied natural gas

CPP Corridor Partnership Plan

DEM Digital Elevation Model

DMT directional micro-tunneling

DNPP Denali National Park and Preserve

GTP Gas Treatment Plant

KOP key observation points

MLV mainline valve

MP milepost

LNG

NA not applicable

Parks Highway George Parks Highway

Project Alaska LNG Project

SVRA sensitive visual resource area

TAPS Trans Alaska Pipeline System

## S-2.1 AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT, IMPACTS, AND MITIGATION MEASURES AT KEY OBSERVATION POINTS

Appendix S-2a provides a detailed description of the view from, and visual resource management characteristics of, each key observation point (KOP) for the Alaska LNG Project (Project)—including scenic quality, visual sensitivity, and visual resource inventory class—along with the components of each of these characteristics, such as form, line, color, and texture. Appendix S-2b provides existing-conditions imagery and simulated views of future conditions from 30 KOPs. Appendix S-2a and S-2b do not include analyses, imagery, and simulations of KOPs 23, F, R, and 46 through 48, which were not surveyed due to lack of accessibility.

Appendix S-3 includes a series of maps showing the locations of sensitive visual resource areas (SVRA) in the analysis area. These maps show Project elements, KOPs, and other relevant information. Potential visibility of the Project from SVRAs was initially determined using line-of-sight analyses based on available Digital Elevation Model (DEM) data, which took into account topography and distance to the Project features.

Descriptions of the proposed facilities and the planned construction schedule for the Project are provided in section 2.0 of the environmental impact statement.

#### S-2.1.1 GAS TREATMENT FACILITIES

#### S-2.1.1.1 KOP 1: Colleen Lake

#### **Affected Visual Environment**

KOP 1 (see table S-2-1) is in Deadhorse and is the view looking north across Colleen Lake near the northern terminus of the Dalton Highway at Airport Way (see figure S-2-1a). The flat, still waters of the lake mirror the colors of the sky. The lake and flat landforms contrast with the geometric forms of the industrial structures clustered irregularly along the distant lakeshore. Industrial structures in close proximity to the viewpoint reduce the scenic quality of the area. Vegetation consists of low plants in rough clumps. The dominant color in the area is provided by the vegetation, which ranges from green and brown with some seasonal yellows and reds. Nearby structures consist primarily of white, gray, and tan metal structures. No trees are visible from this KOP.

The Gas Treatment Facilities would be about 7.5 miles northwest of this KOP, which is the closest point in the area accessible to the public where Project facilities could be viewed. From this point, access to the Project occurs through the Prudhoe Bay Unit, which is largely secured as an area designated for oil and gas development. Visitors or individuals seeking recreational opportunities typically would not be permitted beyond this KOP.

#### **Project Activities Generating Impacts**

The Gas Treatment Facilities would be about 7.5 miles from KOP 1 on the opposite shore of Colleen Lake. Figures S.2.1-1b and S.2.1-1c show simulated future visual conditions from KOP 1.

TABLE S-2-1				
KOP 1: Colleen Lake				
KOP: 1	KOP: 1 Date: 8/28/15			
Visual Resource Inventory Class: III				
Location: Northing 7795319.096, Easting	672139.477			
Distance from nearest proposed Project e	lement(s): about 7.5 miles	s from the Gas Trea	atment Facilities	
Approximate Pipeline Milepost: 10				
Ecoregion: Arctic Coastal Plain				
Scenic Quality Classification: C Overall Sensitivity Rating: L			ating: L	
Landscape Description				
Landform/Water	Vegetation		Structure	
Form: irregular, blocky foreground; low, flat background and water	Form: short, low, rough	1	Form: horizontal, vertical, circular, geometric	
Line: curving, irregular, simple	Line: horizontal, continuous, simple, irregular		Line: horizontal, vertical, circular, geometric	
Color: brown, gray	Color: some green, brown, seasonal yellow/red		Color: browns, grays	
Texture: uneven, random	Texture: clumped, med	lium, patchy	Texture: clumped, smooth, ordered	

#### **Visual Impacts During Construction**

Project construction would not create visible changes to the landform or water during construction. The landform is generally horizontal and flat; thus, Project construction would create no noticeable contrast. No changes to vegetation would be noticeable during construction due to the distance between the KOP and the Gas Treatment Facilities; therefore, construction would introduce weak to no contrast for vegetation. As shown on figure S-2-1b, Gas Treatment Facilities construction would introduce some horizontal and vertical lines and rectilinear forms on the distant horizon, but at a distance of 7.5 miles, these lines and forms would introduce weak contrast. The Project's geometric forms visible from KOP 1 would be similar in size, scale, color, and texture to those found on the distant shorelines and those immediately adjacent to the KOP at the terminus of the Dalton Highway. Gas Treatment Facilities construction would introduce new sources of artificial nighttime light, but the industrial area surrounding the Gas Treatment Facilities has extensive existing lights, and the additional lights for the Gas Treatment Facilities would not add appreciably to the amount of lighting in the view. Although some tourists use the area, oil and gas industry workers are the primary viewers from this location. For these reasons, construction of the Gas Treatment Facilities would have low visual impacts at KOP 1.

#### **Visual Impacts During Operation**

Project operation would not create visible changes to the landform or water during operation. The landform is generally horizontal and flat; thus, Project operation would create no noticeable contrast. No changes to vegetation would be noticeable during operation due to the distance between the KOP and the Gas Treatment Facilities; therefore, contrast for vegetation would be weak to none. As shown on figure S-2-1c, Gas Treatment Facilities operation would introduce some horizontal and vertical lines and rectilinear forms on the distant horizon, but at a distance of 7.5 miles, these lines and forms would introduce weak contrast. The Gas Treatment Plant (GTP) would likely introduce tan and gray colors, which would be similar to the colors already visible on the landscape; therefore, operation of the GTP would introduce weak color contrast. The Project facilities visible from KOP 1 would have smooth textures. The existing horizon also appears smooth; therefore, Project operation would introduce weak texture contrast. The geometric forms of the Gas Treatment Facilities would be similar in size, scale, color, and texture to those found on the distant shorelines and those immediately adjacent to the KOP at the terminus of the Dalton Highway.

AGDC did not evaluate the potential size and frequency of visible condensation plumes from the Gas Treatment Facilities. In a study of plumes associated with compressor stations and heater stations, AGDC concluded that condensation plumes would be visible during 2 to 26 percent of daylight hours, with a maximum plume height of 130 to 430 feet (41 to 132 meters) and a maximum plume length of 130 to 1,540 feet (39 to 470 meters). Based on this information, we conclude that condensation plumes from the Liquefaction Facility would be potentially visible from KOP 1. These plumes would be similar in character to plumes generated by other oil and gas activity near Deadhorse.

The Gas Treatment Facilities would introduce new sources of artificial nighttime light, but the industrial area surrounding the GTP has extensive existing lights and the additional lights for the GTP would not add appreciably to the amount of lighting in the view. Although some tourists use the area, oil and gas industry workers are the primary viewers from this location. For these reasons, operation of the Gas Treatment Facilities would have low visual impacts at KOP 1.

#### **Mitigation Measures**

To reduce the impact of added artificial lighting and help minimize impacts on dark skies, lighting for the GTP would be the minimum required for safety and security for nighttime activities during construction and operation of the Gas Treatment Facilities. During operation of the GTP, Alaska Gasline Development Corporation (AGDC) would orient all permanent lighting downward; shield the lighting to eliminate off-site spill; and use timers or motion-activated sensors for all lighting. For all permanent buildings at the GTP, AGDC would minimize the use of smooth reflective surfaces and use non-contrasting colors.

#### S-2.1.2 POINT THOMSON UNIT GAS TRANSMISSION LINE

No KOPs have been identified for the Point Thomson Unit Gas Transmission Line because it would not be visible from any public viewing locations.

#### S-2.1.3 PRUDHOE BAY UNIT GAS TRANSMISSION LINE

No KOPs have been identified for the Prudhoe Bay Unit Gas Transmission Line because it would not be visible from any public viewing locations.

#### **S-2.1.4 MAINLINE FACILITIES**

#### S-2.1.4.1 KOP 2: Dalton Highway Wayside

#### **Affected Visual Environment**

KOP 2 (see table S-2-2) is at the 355-Mile Wayside on the Dalton Highway. The wayside consists of a gravel parking area, small bathroom, and informational panel (the latter two are at the west end of the parking area). The view is flattened looking southwest toward the gentle slope of the next ridge (see figure S-2-2a). Vegetation consists of brown, tan, and green grasses with visible seasonal reds. The rolling landforms block the views from the Dalton Highway. There are no structures or water present in the view from KOP 2, although the gravel parking area, restroom, and informational panel behind this viewpoint influence the scenery quality.

Information can be found in AGDC's "Project Note: Analysis of Visible Condensation Plumes from Compressor and Heater Stations" (Accession No. 20170616-5204) on the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's website at http://www.ferc.gov. Using the "eLibrary" link, select "Advanced Search" from the eLibrary menu and enter the 20170616-5204 in the "Numbers: Accession Number" field.

TABLE S-2-2				
KOP 2: Dalton Highway Wayside				
KOP: 2	Date: 8/28/15			
Visual Resource Inventory Class: III				
Location: Northing 7707328.445, Easting 668937.432				
Distance from proposed activity: about 0.7 mile from the Ma	inline Pipeline			
Approximate Milepost: 65				
Ecoregion/Subregion: Arctic Foothills				
Scenic Quality Classification: C Overall Sensitivity Rating: M				
Landscape Description				
Landform/Water	Vegetation	Structure		
Form: rolling foreground and background, regular, curving	Form: short, regular	Form: NA		
Line: soft, curving, horizontal, flowing	Line: soft, continuous	Line: NA		
Color: brown, tan, gray	Color: brown, tan, green; red and yellow seasonal	Color: NA		
Texture: medium to fine, patchy, spare Texture: uniform, patchy by type Texture: NA				
NA = Not applicable				

#### **Project Activities Generating Impacts**

AGDC would install the Mainline Facilities belowground on a ridge about 0.7 mile east of KOP 2 using conventional cut and fill techniques. Mainline Pipeline construction in areas adjacent to this KOP would occur during the winter when the KOP experiences low public use. Due to fieldwork scheduling, however, AGDC obtained baseline photography for KOP 2 during the summer, which is when public access is at its peak.

#### **Visual Impacts during Construction**

Project construction would introduce weak to no landform contrast, and would leave form, line, and color unchanged (see figure S-2-2b). Project construction could introduce some medium-rough textures during soil movement and the potential addition of granular fill over the cut. Project construction would result in weak changes in form, line, color, and vegetation texture due to the presence of horizontal forms and lines in vegetation from clearing, and the introduction of tan and light green horizontal lines following clearing. Changes in structure during construction would be short term and temporary. Due to the high sensitivity rating, Project construction would have moderate visual impacts at KOP 2.

#### **Visual Impacts During Operation**

Project operation would introduce weak to no landform contrast, and would leave form, line, and color unchanged (see figure S-2-2c). Project operation could introduce some medium-rough textures due to the potential addition of granular fill over the Mainline Pipeline right-of-way. Project operation would result in weak changes in form, line, color, and vegetation texture due to the presence of horizontal forms and lines in vegetation from clearing, and the introduction of tan and light green horizontal lines following clearing. Project operation would introduce no contrast in structure and would introduce some long-term to permanent vegetation contrast, although implementation of the Project Revegetation Plan would reduce this contrast. Recreational use of this area is somewhat low due to its far northern location, but due to the high sensitivity rating, Project operation would have moderate visual impacts at KOP 2.

#### **Mitigation Measures**

To minimize permanent visual impacts associated with right-of-way clearing and temporary impacts during the construction phase, AGDC would use previously disturbed areas during construction and would implement the Project Revegetation Plan. Additionally, construction at this location in winter would avoid visual conflicts with tourists.

#### S-2.1.4.2 KOP 3: Galbraith Lake Campground (view north)

#### **Affected Visual Environment**

KOP 3 (see table S-2-3) is on the road to Galbraith Campground, looking northeast (see figure S-2-3a). The foreground is gently sloping and the horizon is almost horizontal to the north. To the northeast and east are jagged and irregular mountains. Vegetation is minimal and colors are light green and brown with seasonal yellows. At the time of the site visit, vegetation was sparse against the snow. The road to the campground slopes, curves, and disappears into the horizon.

TABLE S-2-3			
KOP 3: Galbraith Lake Campground (view north)			
KOP: 3 Date: 8/28/15			
Visual Resource Inventory Class: III			
Location: Northing 7597685.66, Easting 643652.292			
Distance from proposed activity: about 0.4 mile from Galb	raith C	amp and the pipe storage yard	
Approximate Milepost: 144			
Ecoregion/Subregion: Arctic Foothills			
Scenic Quality Classification: A Overall Sensitivity Rating: H			
Landscape Description			
Landform/Water	Vegetation St		Structure
Form: sloping to flat middleground, rugged background	Form: little to none		Form: sloping, horizontal
Line: foreground smooth, background smooth to rugged	Line: simple Line: regular		Line: regular
Color: browns, gray	Color: light green, brown, yellow seasonally		

#### **Project Activities Generating Impacts**

The Project includes a 120-bed work camp and pipe storage yard located about 0.4 mile north of KOP 3. The simulation of KOP 3 depicts the proposed work camp and pipe storage yard on the road to Galbraith Campground.

#### **Visual Impacts During Construction**

Because the landform is flat in form and line, and no water is present, Project construction would create no contrast to the landform or water (see figure S-2-3b). Vegetation clearing for the work camp and pipe storage yard would introduce additional horizontal and linear forms, and the camp and yard would introduce contrasting structures, machinery, and equipment to the viewshed. Added forms would be geometric and cylindrical, adding horizontal and vertical lines, darker colors, and smooth textures to the view. Vegetation removal would not be noticeable from KOP 3, so the Project would introduce low to no visual contrast in vegetation. The new structures, machinery, and equipment would produce moderate to strong contrast.

Overall, the Project facilities would be a dominant element in the landscape and would introduce strong contrast during Project construction. The temporary work camp and pipe storage yard would be in

place for more than 2 years. In addition, the facilities would be adjacent to the campground entry road, and would be highly noticeable to recreationists entering and leaving the campground. Although recreational use of this area is somewhat low due to its far northern location, recreationists would have long-duration views with strong contrast. Therefore, Project construction would have a high impact on visual resources at KOP 3.

#### **Visual Impacts During Operation**

AGDC would remove the temporary facilities, revegetate, and regrade the site to natural contours with the exception of granular pads, which AGDC would leave in place (see figure S-2-3c). Post-construction revegetation of the work camp and pipe storage yard (outside of granular pads) could result in an increase in light green colors early in the operational period as vegetation grows back (i.e., in the first few years following the end of construction). Project operation would introduce weak contrast, except for the granular pad areas, which would introduce moderate contrast. Due to the permanent presence of granular pads, the visual sensitivity of recreationists, and the long duration of views (as described above for the construction phase), Project operation would have moderate visual impacts at KOP 3.

#### **Mitigation Measures**

AGDC would use fencing to screen the area (see figures S-2-3b and e) to minimize impacts on visitors to Galbraith Lake Campground. AGDC additionally would implement several measures to reduce the impact of added artificial lighting at the work camp and pipe storage yard and minimize impacts on dark skies. AGDC would install the minimum lighting required for safety and security for nighttime activities during construction; orient all permanent lighting downward and shield it to eliminate off-site light spill; and use timers or motion-activated sensors for all lighting. Following construction, AGDC would remove the camp and pipe storage yard, and would restore the site (with the exception of granular pads, which would be left in place), thereby reducing visual impacts during operation.

#### S-2.1.4.3 KOP 4: Galbraith Lake Campground (view southeast)

#### Affected Visual Environment

KOP 4 (see table S-2-4) is on the road to Galbraith Campground, looking southeast. The foreground is gently sloping toward Galbraith Lake. The background is jagged and irregular. The minimal vegetation consists of low, light green and brown grasses with yellow occurring seasonally. The horizontal, regular forms of the campground structures create low contrast, while the jagged peaks contrast dramatically with the horizontal lake and flat landforms of the foreground. Other vertical forms in the distance consist of structures associated with the Trans Alaska Pipeline System (TAPS) Pump Station 4.

#### **Project Activities Generating Impacts**

Recreationists at the Galbraith Lake campground and travelers on the Dalton Highway frequently use the area near KOP 4. AGDC would install the Mainline Facilities in this area using various cut and fill techniques. The Mainline Facilities would be to the west, but east of the aboveground TAPS. In this location, TAPS is visible between KOP 4 and the Mainline Facilities. AGDC would build the Galbraith Lake Compressor Station about 3.5 miles southeast adjacent to the TAPS Pump Station 4. AGDC would also construct Mainline valve (MLV) 9 at milepost (MP) 146.2, north of the Galbraith Lake Compressor Station; TAPS is between KOP 4 and MLV 9.

TABLE S-2-4				
KOP 4: Galbraith Lake Campground (view southeast)				
KOP: 4		Date: 8/28/15		
Visual Resource Inventory Class: III				
Location: Northing 7597679.898, Easting 6436	653.898			
Distance from proposed activity: about 3.0 mil MLV 9	es from the Mainlir	ne Pipeline, 3.5 miles from a	compressor station, 3.0 miles from	
Approximate Milepost: 144				
Ecoregion/Subregion: Arctic Foothills	Ecoregion/Subregion: Arctic Foothills			
Scenic Quality Classification: A Overall Sensitivity Rating: H			Н	
Landscape Description				
Landform/Water	Vegetation		Structure	
Form: sloping middleground and foreground; jagged, irregular background	Form: little to none		Form: sloping, horizontal	
Line: foreground smooth, background rugged	Line: simple		Line: regular	
Color: browns, gray	Color: light green, brown, yellow seasonally		Color: brown, gray	
Texture: rough, coarse background, medium-rough foreground	Texture: sparse		Texture: uniform, medium smooth	
MLV = Mainline valve				

#### **Visual Impacts During Construction**

Visitors to the campground and surrounding area could have views of compressor station construction. These activities would appear co-dominant with TAPS Pump Station 4. Intervening topography could partially screen views of compressor station construction. Compressor station construction would therefore introduce small changes in landform, water, vegetation, and structure. Due to the presence of the aboveground TAPS through this area, Mainline Facilities construction would introduce weak contrast; therefore, Project construction would have low visual impacts at KOP 4.

#### **Visual Impacts During Operation**

During operation, the compressor station could be visible from the campground and surrounding area, but would be co-dominant with the TAPS Pump Station 4. Lighting emitted from the compressor station during operation would likely be visible. The compressor station would introduce a new source of artificial light in an area that currently has dark skies and very little lighting. Condensation plumes from the compressor station could also be visible under certain atmospheric conditions (see section S-2.1.1.1). These plumes would be similar in character to plumes generated by TAPS Pump Station 4. Intervening topography could partially screen views of the compressor station; therefore, the compressor station would introduce small changes in landform, water, vegetation, and structure, and present weak contrast. Due to the presence of the aboveground TAPS through this area, Mainline Facilities operation would introduce weak contrast; consequently, Project operation would have low visual impacts at KOP 4.

#### **Mitigation Measures**

While no specific mitigation was proposed for this KOP, AGDC would implement the Project Revegetation Plan to minimize visual impacts associated with right-of-way clearing and temporary impacts during the construction phase. To reduce impacts of nighttime lighting during operation, AGDC would orient all permanent lighting downward; shield it to eliminate off-site light spill; and use timers or motion-activated sensors for all lighting.

#### **S-2.1.4.4 KOP 5: Atigun Pass**

#### **Affected Visual Environment**

KOP 5 (see table S-2-5) includes the view from Atigun Pass, looking north. The landforms adjacent to the road are angular and rocky. The mountains in the middleground are jagged, slightly rounded, hard, and irregular. Vegetation (somewhat obscured by snow during the August 28, 2015 field visit) is sparse and scattered on the slopes. The Dalton Highway traverses the pass and is curving and steep in form. The regularity of the road contrasts with the rugged peaks and is remarkable for the steepness of the grade. KOP 5, at the top of Atigun Pass, is a frequent stop for tourists and recreationists using the Dalton Highway.

TABLE S-2-5				
KOP 5: Atigun Pass				
KOP: 5 Date: 8/28/15				
Visual Resource Inventory Class: III				
Location: Northing 7561530.366, Easting 6	646245.237			
Distance from proposed activity: adjacent to	to Mainline constructio	n		
Approximate Milepost: 170				
Ecoregion/Subregion: Central and Eastern	Brooks Range			
Scenic Quality Classification: A	Scenic Quality Classification: A Overall Sensitivity Rating: H			
Landscape Description				
Landform/Water	Vegetation		Structure	
Form: jagged, slightly rounded, irregular	Form: few		Form: curving, rolling, steep, smooth	
Line: broken, angular, rugged, hard	Line: simple		Line: curving, regular	
Color: browns, grays	Color: light green to brown		Color: brown	
Texture: rough, coarse, random	Texture: sparse, ran	dom	Texture: medium smooth, uniform	

#### **Project Activities Generating Impacts**

AGDC would construct the Mainline Facilities in this area underground directly adjacent to TAPS and the Dalton Highway. Construction would use a variety of cut and fill and granular embankment fill techniques.

#### **Visual Impacts During Construction**

The Mainline Pipeline would introduce flat forms, horizontal lines, brown colors, and rough textures to the landform during construction. The contrast in landform would be less visible during the winter due to snow cover. Vegetation at this location is sparse and low; vegetation clearing would introduce horizontal forms and irregular lines. Construction would introduce geometric forms; additional horizontal and vertical lines; yellow, brown, and black colors; and smooth textures associated with equipment, materials, vehicles, and construction activities. These elements would produce strong contrast, but construction would occur for less than 2 years in this area. Accordingly, Project construction would have low visual impacts at KOP 5.

#### **Visual Impacts During Operation**

Vegetation regrowth in the first few years following construction would increase the light greens and smoother textures visible from KOP 5, generating weak to moderate initial contrast, gradually decreasing to no contrast as vegetation matures during operation. Because the pipeline would be underground, Project structures would create no contrast during operation. For these reasons, Project operation would introduce low to moderate visual impacts at KOP 5.

#### **Mitigation Measures**

To minimize long-term or permanent visual impacts associated with right-of-way clearing and temporary impacts during the construction phase, AGDC would implement the Project Revegetation Plan.

#### S-2.1.4.5 KOP 6: Base of Atigun Pass

#### **Affected Visual Environment**

KOP 6 (see table S-2-6) is at a pullout just below Atigun Pass, facing southwest, where the current TAPS pipeline and proposed Mainline Pipeline route cross under the road, running northeast—southwest. Vegetation is sparse to none (mostly obscured by snow during the August 28, 2015, field visit). Landforms are flat in the foreground and jagged in the background. The Dalton Highway slopes and curves as it traverses Atigun Pass. The dark uniform surface contrasts with the bright, coarse textures of the adjacent mountain slopes.

TABLE S-2-6				
KOP 6: Base of Atigun Pass				
KOP: 6 Date: 8/28/15				
Visual Resource Inventory Class: III				
Location: Northing 7562344.975, Easting 64773	3.11			
Distance from proposed activity: adjacent to Ma	inline construction	1		
Approximate Milepost: 169				
Ecoregion/Subregion: Central and Eastern Broo	ks Range			
Scenic Quality Classification: A	Scenic Quality Classification: A Overall Sensitivity Rating: H			
Landscape Description				
Landform/Water	Vegetation		Structure	
Form: jagged, slightly rounded, irregular	Form: little to no	one	Form: sloping, curving	
Line: broken, hard, angular, rugged	Line: simple		Line: regular, curving	
Color: browns, grays	Color: light green/brown		Color: brown	
Texture: striped, rough, coarse	Texture: sparse, random		Texture: uniform, medium-smooth	

#### **Project Activities Generating Impacts**

KOP 6 is at the base of Atigun Pass, a frequent stop for tourists and recreationists using the Dalton Highway. The Mainline Facilities would be constructed belowground, directly adjacent to TAPS and the Dalton Highway in this area. Construction would use a variety of cut and fill techniques in this area.

#### **Visual Impacts During Construction**

Construction of the Mainline Facilities underground adjacent to the road at KOP 6 would introduce flat forms, horizontal lines, brown colors, and rough textures. Landform contrast would be less visible during the winter due to snow cover. Clearing of existing sparse and low vegetation would add horizontal forms and irregular lines, while pipeline construction would introduce geometric forms; additional horizontal and vertical lines; yellow, brown, and black colors; and smooth textures associated with equipment, materials, vehicles, and construction activities. These elements would produce strong contrast during construction, although construction would occur for less than 2 years in this area. Accordingly, Project construction would have low visual impacts at KOP 6.

#### **Visual Impacts During Operation**

Vegetation regrowth in the first few years following construction would increase the light greens and smoother textures visible from KOP 6, initially generating moderate to high initial contrast but decreasing to weak contrast as vegetation matures during operation. Because the pipeline is proposed to be belowground, Project structures would create no contrast during operation. Therefore, Project operation would have low visual impacts at KOP 6.

#### **Mitigation Measures**

To minimize long-term or permanent visual impacts associated with right-of-way clearing and temporary impacts during the construction phase, AGDC would implement the Project Revegetation Plan.

#### S-2.1.4.6 KOP 7: Atigun Pass Pullout

#### **Affected Visual Environment**

KOP 7 (see table S-2-7) is at a pullout along the Dalton Highway. The pullout is frequently used by Dalton Highway motorists (see figure S-2-4a). The pullout features a large dirt parking area, a restroom, and informational signs. These features are behind the viewpoint but influence the scenic quality in the area. The existing TAPS is visible on the east side of the road. The vegetation consists of low shrubs with some verticals from low deciduous trees, creating a patchy/irregular texture. The landform transitions from flat and horizontal in the foreground to a rolling, moderate middleground and a steep, jagged background. Structures consist of the flat planes of the Dalton Highway. Vegetation provides contrast in form, line, color, and texture in the foreground with mountains in the middleground and background.

#### **Project Activities Generating Impacts**

The Mainline Facilities would cross the Dalton Highway about 0.2 mile south of KOP 7. Construction of the underground pipeline would use various cut and fill techniques in this area. Figure S-2-4b depicts the view after Mainline Pipeline construction.

#### **Visual Impacts During Construction**

Mainline Facilities construction would create strong contrast in landform, adding flat and horizontal forms, horizontal and irregular lines, tan and brown colors, and smooth textures (see figure S-2-4b). While the landform visible from KOP 7 is horizontal, excavation and grading for the Mainline Facilities would create additional or bolder lines and forms in the foreground. Grading and trenching would contrast with existing landforms. Clearing for the Mainline Facilities would create more linear forms and irregular lines in the foreground vegetation and eliminate many of the vertical lines created by the trees directly adjacent to the road, creating a smooth and patchy vegetative landscape rather than a linear one. Pipeline construction would eliminate many of the trees directly adjacent to the road, which supply a majority of the existing light green and yellow color. Shrub and grassland on the hills beyond is primarily dark green, brown, and yellow-to-red seasonally. Structures, machinery, and equipment associated with construction would introduce strong contrast; geometric, cylindrical, vertical, and horizontal forms; horizontal and vertical lines; and yellow, brown, and black colors and smooth textures, all of which would create strong contrast. Overall, Project construction would introduce strong contrast and high visual impact at KOP 7.

TABLE S-2-7				
KOP 7: Atigun Pass Pullout				
KOP: 7	KOP: 7 Date: 8/28/15			
Visual Resource Inventory Class: III				
Location: Northing 7550408.27, Easting 639247.205				
Distance from proposed activity: about 0.2 mile from	Mainline con	struction		
Approximate Milepost: 179				
Ecoregion/Subregion: Central and Eastern Brooks R	ange			
Scenic Quality Classification: A Overall Sensitivity Rating: M				
Landscape Description				
Landform/Water	Vegetation		Structure	
Form: horizontal, flat foreground; rolling, moderate middleground; jagged, steep background	Form: gentle, curing foreground; irregular middleground and background		Form: flat, cylindrical	
Line: horizontal, curving foreground; curving, converging middleground; diagonal, angular background	Line: vertical foreground, and middleground; patchy background		Line: horizontal, curving, cylindrical	
Color: brown, gray foreground and middleground; black, gray, white background	Color: seasonal gold foreground; red, green seasonal middleground; red, green background		Color: gray, tan	
Texture: smooth foreground; rough middleground; smooth background		dered, medium-rough foreground; tchy middleground; smooth background	Texture: smooth, fine	

#### **Visual Impacts During Operation**

During operation, the Mainline Facilities would create strong contrast in landform, adding flat and horizontal forms, horizontal and irregular lines, tan and brown colors, and smooth textures (see figure S-2-4c). Because the Mainline Facilities would be belowground, Project structures would create no long-term contrast during operation. Clearing of the trees and other vegetation would result in strong contrast. Therefore, Project operation would introduce high visual impacts at KOP 7.

#### **Mitigation Measures**

To minimize long-term or permanent visual impacts associated with right-of-way clearing and temporary impacts during the construction phase, AGDC would limit vegetation clearing to the approved construction footprint. AGDC would remove the camp and pipe storage yard, and would implement the Project Revegetation Plan to restore vegetation in the area. Additionally, construction in this area would occur during winter to minimize impacts on tourists and recreationists.

#### S-2.1.4.7 KOP 8: Wiseman-Chandalar Trail

#### Affected Visual Environment

KOP 8 (see table S-2-8) encompasses the view from Dalton Highway at the access to the Wiseman/Chandalar Trail looking east to the mountains. A band of dark green conifers is in the immediate foreground adjacent to the road. A horizontal plane used for gravel is in the middleground. This plane is dominated by the smoother textures of grasses and medium textures of gravels. A band of rough-textured conifers sits at the bottom of the mountains that rise gradually above the gravel storage area. The Dalton Highway and entrance road are regular, horizontal planes in the immediate foreground. The colors of the highway and entrance road are predominately grays and blacks. KOP 8 is adjacent to the Dalton Highway at the access for RS 2477 Trail 254. The area around KOP 8 is currently used for gravel storage.

TABLE S-2-8				
KOP 8: Wiseman-Chandalar Trail				
KOP: 8 Date: 8/27/15				
Visual Resource Inventory Class: III				
Location: Northing 7492362.111, Easting 6344	115.365			
Distance from proposed activity: pipe storage	yard 0.3 mile southeast, Mainline 0.1 mile east	i		
Approximate Milepost: 219				
Ecoregion/Subregion: Central and Eastern Bro	ooks Range			
Scenic Quality Classification: B	Scenic Quality Classification: B Overall Sensitivity Rating: M			
Landscape Description				
Landform/Water	Vegetation	Structure		
Form: horizontal foreground, moderate to rugged background, no water	Form: rough, vertical foreground; strips, dense	Form: flat, horizontal, curving (road)		
Line: regular, straight, horizontal foreground; diagonal, angular, rugged background	Line: verticals, regular, continuous	Line: horizontal, curving		
Color: gray, brown foreground; gray middleground; brown and blue background	Color: dark greens with seasonal yellows and reds, reds dominate hill	Color: gray, tan		
Texture: smooth foreground, medium to rough background	Texture: rough foreground; smooth middleground; uniform, dense, rough background	Texture: medium, uniform		

#### **Project Activities Generating Impacts**

The Project includes a pipe storage yard about 0.3 mile southeast of KOP 8, and the Mainline Pipeline, which would be belowground about 0.1 mile east of KOP 8. Due to thick vegetation and the pipe storage yard's location southeast of the entry road, the pipe storage yard would not be visible from this location, but pipeline construction and the cleared Mainline Pipeline right-of-way would be visible from KOP 8.

#### **Visual Impacts During Construction**

The pipe storage yard would not create any contrasts to the landform since it would not be visible from KOP 8. Mainline Pipeline construction would introduce contrasting forms, lines, colors, and textures to the landscape. Grading would contribute geometric and horizontal forms, horizontal lines, brown and tan colors, and medium-rough textures to the landform, resulting in moderate contrast due to the horizontal qualities of the current landform and the dense vegetation adjacent to the road. Mainline Pipeline construction a short distance from the road would create contrast in vegetation, while vegetative clearing would introduce horizontal forms, irregular lines, light green colors, and patchy textures. Belowground construction of the Mainline Pipeline would require machinery and materials that would introduce rectangular and cylindrical forms; horizontal and irregular lines; yellow, brown, and gray colors; and smooth textures to the landscape. These activities would result in strong short-term contrast in form, line, color, and texture. Grading of the Mainline Pipeline right-of-way would introduce moderate landscape contrast, while clearing would result in strong vegetation contrast. Overall, equipment use and pipe storage during construction would create strong contrast. Although contrast during construction would be strong, Mainline Pipeline construction in this location would take less than 2 years. For these reasons, Project construction would have low visual impacts at KOP 8.

#### **Visual Impacts During Operation**

The pipe storage yard would not be visible from KOP 8 and therefore would not create any contrasts to the landform. During operation, the Mainline Pipeline would introduce contrasting forms, lines, colors, and textures to the landscape. The cleared Mainline Pipeline right-of-way a short distance from the road

would create strong contrasts for vegetation. Grading for the Mainline Pipeline right-of-way would create moderate contrast to the landform. Due to the moderate contrast created by the landform changes and strong contrast produced by the cleared Mainline Pipeline right-of-way and permanent granular pad, Project operation would have high visual impacts at KOP 8.

#### **Mitigation Measures**

To minimize long-term or permanent visual impacts associated with right-of-way clearing and temporary impacts during the construction phase, AGDC would implement the Project Revegetation Plan. Additionally, construction in this area would occur during winter to minimize impacts on recreationists and tourists.

#### S-2.1.4.8 KOP 9: Marion Creek Campground

#### **Affected Visual Environment**

KOP 9 (see table S-2-9) is at the entrance/exit of Marion Creek Campground, facing west toward the Dalton Highway (see figure S-2-5a). The campground is frequently used by recreationists and tourists on the Dalton Highway. The campground access road is a flat, horizontal plane bisected by the Dalton Highway. In the middleground, rolling hills frame the view of jagged, snow-covered peaks in the background. Colors in the area range from light to dark greens of the low shrubs interspersed by darker greens of the black spruce along the road. The strong verticals of the black spruce in the foreground and the jagged peaks in the background contrast with the smoother, horizontal forms of the road and valley floor.

#### **Project Activities Generating Impacts**

AGDC would install the Mainline Pipeline belowground across the campground entry road using conventional trenching. Figure S-2-5-b depicts the view during construction.

TABLE S-2-9				
KOP 9: Marion Creek Campground				
KOP: 9	9 Date: 8/27/15			
Visual Resource Inventory Class: III				
Location: Northing 7469553.603, Easting 622064.728	}			
Distance from proposed activity: adjacent to Mainline	construction			
Approximate Milepost: 237				
Ecoregion/Subregion: Central and Eastern Brooks Range				
Scenic Quality Classification: A Overall Sensitivity Rating: M				
Landscape Description				
Landform/Water	Vegetation	Structure		
Form: flat, horizontal foreground; moderate, gentle middleground; rugged, bold, distinct background	Form: regular, vertical, diverse foreground; solid, vertical, middleground; sparse background	Form: flat, horizontal		
Line: horizontal, simple foreground; angular, converging, complex middleground; jagged, angular, diagonal background	Line: vertical, regular foreground and middleground; smooth background	Line: horizontal, straight, hard, smooth		
Color: browns, grays	Color: mostly dark green, some light green; red and yellow seasonally	Color: gray, brown		
Texture: medium-grain foreground; coarse patches middleground; coarse, matte background	Texture: rough, clumped foreground; rough, continuous, dense middleground; stippled, sparse background	Texture: smooth, ordered, matte		

#### **Visual Impacts During Construction**

Mainline Facilities construction would introduce moderate landform contrast. Grading would create more flat, horizontal forms and lines, and would add more brown colors and fine to smooth textures (see figure S-2-5b). Vegetation clearing would create linear forms and irregular lines. Construction equipment and machinery would create strong, short-term contrast for structures, adding geometric and cylindrical forms; vertical and horizontal lines; yellow, brown, and black colors; and smooth to coarse textures. Overall, Project construction would introduce moderate to strong contrast and high visual impacts at KOP 9.

#### **Visual Impacts During Operation**

Mainline Facilities operation would introduce flat, horizontal forms and lines, resulting in moderate contrast in landform (see figure S-2-5c). Right-of-way grading would result in some contrast to the existing landforms, but right-of-way vegetation maintenance would create long, linear forms and straight lines, creating strong contrast during operation. Vegetation regrowth following construction could initially add light green colors and patchier textures until vegetation matures. Because the cleared right-of-way would create strong contrast, be highly noticeable for sensitive viewers, and be permanent (i.e., the Project's operational life), Project operation would have high visual impacts at KOP 9.

#### **Mitigation Measures**

To minimize long-term or permanent visual impacts associated with right-of-way clearing and temporary impacts during the construction phase, AGDC would limit vegetation cutting to the approved construction footprint. AGDC would implement the Project Revegetation Plan to restore vegetation. Additionally, construction in this area would occur during winter to minimize impacts on tourists and recreationists.

#### S-2.1.4.9 KOP 10: Arctic Interagency Visitor Center (view southeast)

#### **Affected Visual Environment**

KOP 10 (see table S-2-10) is in front of the Arctic Interagency Visitor Center, facing southeast. The foreground is a flat, horizontal plane covered with lighter-colored birches and darker-green conifers. In the middleground, rolling peaks have sparse outcroppings with seasonal red and gold colors. Jagged, dark blue/brown peaks rise in the background, contrasting with the smooth textures of the middleground. The parking lot with its flat, horizontal lines, smooth textures, and muted grays and browns contrasts with but does not dominate the landscape.

#### **Project Activities Generating Impacts**

AGDC would construct a camp and pipe storage yard in Coldfoot, about 0.4 mile southeast of KOP 10. AGDC would also construct the Coldfoot Compressor Station about 1.0 mile north of KOP 10, while the Mainline Pipeline would be built about 0.6 mile east of KOP 10. All Project facilities would be on the opposite side of the Dalton Highway from the Arctic Interagency Visitor Center.

TABLE S-2-10					
KOP 10:	KOP 10: Arctic Interagency Visitor Center (view southeast)				
KOP: 10	Date: 8/27/15				
Visual Resource Inventory Class: III					
Location: Northing 7462278.335, Easting 6	521362.935				
Distance from proposed activity: about 0.4	mile from the camp and pipe storage yard	about 0.8 mile from the Mainline Pipeline			
Approximate Milepost: 242					
Ecoregion/Subregion: Central and Eastern	Brooks Range				
Scenic Quality Classification: B	nic Quality Classification: B Overall Sensitivity Rating: H				
Landscape Description	·				
Landform/Water	Vegetation	Structure			
Form: flat foreground, curving to jagged background	Form: diverse, numerous in foreground; low, regular background	Form: flat, curving			
Line: regular, horizontal foreground; curving to rugged background	Line: vertical foreground; simple, flowing background	Line: horizontal, curving			
Color: brown to blue	Color: light to dark green, brown to red seasonally	Color: brown, gray			
Texture: medium, even foreground; sparse, rough background	Texture: rough, even, dense foreground; even, uniform, medium background	Texture: smooth, ordered			

#### **Visual Impacts During Construction and Operation**

The curving entryway to the visitor center and existing dense vegetation would fully screen views of the camp, pipe storage yard, and Mainline Facilities from both the Dalton Highway and KOP 10; therefore, Project construction would introduce no contrast or changes to landform, water, vegetation, or structure. Lighting emitted from the compressor station during both construction and operation would likely be visible.

The compressor station would introduce a new source of artificial light, and could produce visible condensation plumes under certain atmospheric conditions (see section S-2.1.1.1) in an area that currently has dark skies, very little lighting, and no facilities that produce plumes; therefore, Project construction and operation would have moderate visual impacts at KOP 10.

#### **Mitigation Measures**

To reduce the impact of lighting and minimize impacts on dark skies, lighting for construction and operation of the compressor station would be the minimum required for safety and security for nighttime activities. To reduce impacts of nighttime lighting during operation, AGDC would orient all permanent lighting downward, shield it to eliminate off-site light spill, and use timers or motion-activated sensors for all lighting.

#### S-2.1.4.10 KOP 11: Arctic Interagency Visitor Center (view northeast)

#### **Affected Visual Environment**

KOP 11 (see table S-2-11) is in front of the Arctic Interagency Visitor Center, facing east-northeast. The flatness of the parking lot contrasts with the rolling hills and angular, rugged background. Vegetation provides additional contrast in the foreground with regular verticals from black spruces and birches. The colors of the vegetation in the foreground and background are primarily light to dark green, with some seasonal yellow and red. The snow-covered peaks in the background bring additional variety to the viewshed in their form, color, and irregularity.

TABLE S-2-11			
KOP 11: Arctic Interagency Visitor Center (view northeast)			
KOP: 11	KOP: 11 Date: 8/27/15		
Visual Resource Inventory Class: III			
Location: Northing 7462283.227, Easting 6213	66.801		
Distance from proposed activity: about 0.8 mile 0.8 mile from MLV 15 (at the compressor station		le from the Mai	inline Pipeline, about
Approximate Milepost: 242			
Ecoregion/Subregion: Central and Eastern Brooks Range			
Scenic Quality Classification: A	Overall Sensitivity Rating: H		
Landscape Description			
Landform/Water	Vegetation		Structure
Form: flat foreground, curving to angular/rugged background	Form: diverse, numerous foreground; low, solid to patchy background		Form: flat, curving
Line: horizontal, regular foreground; curving to rugged background	Line: vertical foreground, simple to broken background		Line: horizontal, curving
Color: browns and grays	Color: light to dark green, yellow to red seasonally Color: brow		Color: brown, gray
Texture: uniform, medium foreground; patchy, rough background	Texture: random, dense, and medium-coarse to stippled and sparse Texture: smooth,		Texture: smooth, ordered

#### **Project Activities Generating Impacts**

AGDC would construct a camp and pipe storage yard in Coldfoot, about 0.4 mile southeast of KOP 10. AGDC would also construct the Coldfoot Compressor Station about 1.0 mile north of KOP 10, while the Mainline Pipeline would be built about 0.6 mile east of KOP 10. All Project facilities would be on the opposite side of the Dalton Highway from the Arctic Interagency Visitor Center.

#### **Visual Impacts During Construction and Operation**

The curving entryway to the visitor center and existing dense vegetation would fully screen views of the camp, pipe storage yard, and Mainline Facilities from both the Dalton Highway and KOP 10; therefore, Project construction would introduce no contrast or changes to landform, water, vegetation, or structure. Lighting emitted from the compressor station during both construction and operation would likely be visible. The compressor station would introduce a new source of artificial light in an area that currently has dark skies and very little lighting; therefore, Project operation would have moderate visual impacts at KOP 11.

#### **Mitigation Measures**

To reduce the impact of added artificial lighting and minimize impacts on dark skies, lighting for the compressor station would be the minimum required for safety and security for nighttime activities during compressor station construction and operation. To reduce impacts of nighttime lighting during operation, AGDC would orient all permanent lighting downward, shield it to eliminate off-site light spill, and use timers or motion-activated sensors for all lighting.

#### S-2.1.4.11 KOP A: Coldfoot Camp

#### **Affected Visual Environment**

KOP A (see table S-2-12) is at Coldfoot Camp facing east toward a proposed construction camp and pipe storage yard (see figure S-2-6a). Coldfoot Camp is one of a limited number of locations on the Dalton Highway that offers hotel rooms, meals, and gas. As a result, recreationists, tourists, truck drivers,

and other workers traveling along the Dalton Scenic Highway frequently experience the view from KOP A. A majority of the foreground is a dirt parking area with dense vegetation lining the edges. A stream, which resembles a long pond from this vantage point, is on the right (southwest). The stream is surrounded by a grassy area, the south portion of which serves as a landing pad for helicopters. Due to the dense vegetation, no middleground is visible. Scenic, rugged mountains are visible to east and north–northwest.

TABLE S-2-12					
KOP A: Coldfoot Camp					
KOP: A	KOP: A Date: 6/29/16				
Visual Resource Inventory Class: III					
Location: Northing 7462189.4, Easting 62	1812.4				
Distance from proposed activity: 0.1 mile	from camp and 0.1 mile from a pipe storage yard				
Approximate Milepost: 242					
Ecoregion/Subregion: Central and Eastern	n Brooks Range				
Scenic Quality Classification: C Overall Sensitivity Rating: M					
Landscape Description					
Landform/Water	Vegetation	Structure			
Form: flat, rugged foreground; rugged, angular, and sloping background	Form: smooth strip of low vegetation, dense verticals at foreground; continuous, regular background	Form: flat, geometric			
Line: horizontal foreground; curving to diagonal/angular background	Line: horizontals and curving; some verticals in foreground	Line: horizontal, geometric			
Color: tan foreground, tan to brown background	Color: light to dark green	Color: tan, white, yellow, red, blue			
Texture: smooth with some rough portions at foreground and background	Texture: smooth	Texture: smooth			

#### **Project Activities Generating Impacts**

The work camp would be about 0.1 mile northeast, while the pipe storage yard would be about 0.1 mile southeast of KOP A. Figure S-2-6b depicts the camp during Project construction.

#### **Visual Impacts During Construction**

The camp would introduce weak contrast in landform and strong contrast in the form and line of vegetation and structures (see figure S-2-6b). Vegetation removal would create geometric and linear forms, irregular lines, and light greens in the existing vegetation. Project construction would add patchy texture, which would differ from the existing dense line of vegetation along the eastern edge of Coldfoot Camp. This would introduce moderate to strong contrast for vegetation form and line, including during winter (see figure S-2-6e). The pipe storage yard and camp would introduce horizontal and irregular forms and lines, brown to tan colors, and smooth textures to the current landform. The new camp features would be more dominant than the features of the existing Coldfoot structures, and the camp would introduce strong contrast in form, line, color, and texture. Construction equipment would introduce geometric and linear forms, vertical and horizontal lines, smooth textures, and yellow, brown, and gray colors. These elements, along with construction vehicles, would introduce strong contrast for about 6 years, including construction of Mainline Pipeline Spread 2, as well as the Coldfoot Compressor Station; therefore, Project construction would have high visual impacts at KOP A.

#### **Visual Impacts During Operation**

Lighting emitted from the compressor station during operation would likely be visible. The compressor station would introduce a new source of artificial light in an area that currently has dark skies and very little lighting. In addition, condensation plumes from the compressor station could also be visible

under certain atmospheric conditions (see section S-2.1.1.1) in an area where no such plumes exist. AGDC would remove the camp and pipe storage yard after construction, then regrade and restore vegetation at these sites except where granular pads were installed (see figure S-2-6c). Because the granular pads would continue to introduce strong contrast, Project operation would have high visual impacts at KOP A.

#### **Mitigation Measures**

Impacts from the addition of a camp and pipe storage yard would be minimized by using similar colors to those of the existing camp facilities (such as grays and tans) for materials, minimizing vegetation clearing, and restoring vegetation. To reduce the impact of added artificial lighting and minimize impacts on dark skies, AGDC would use the minimum lighting required for safety and security for nighttime activities at the work camp and pipe storage yard during construction. AGDC would remove the camp and pipe storage yard and would implement the Project Revegetation Plan to restore vegetation in the area.

#### S-2.1.4.12 KOP B: Gobblers Knob Rest Area

#### **Affected Visual Environment**

KOP B (see table S-2-13) is at the Gobblers Knob rest area, looking north toward Prospect Camp and the TAPS Pump Station 5. The view is down into the river valley with the highway and TAPS visible crossing the valley in the background. A low ridge in the middleground blocks some of the view into the valley. Due to the ridge, TAPS is not visible where it passes closer to the road (to the east and northeast) but is visible in the middleground moving into the background. Recreationists, tourists, truck drivers, and other workers traveling along the Dalton Highway would experience the view from KOP B.

#### **Project Activities Generating Impacts**

The Mainline Pipeline would be about 0.7 mile east of KOP B at its closest point, while a material site would be about 0.8 mile east of the KOP. The material site and closest portion of the Mainline Pipeline would not be visible due to intervening topography. The Mainline Pipeline would be visible about 2.5 miles north of the KOP where it crosses the valley near TAPS.

TABLE S-2-13						
KOP B: Gobblers Knob Rest Area						
KOP: B		Date: 6/29/16				
Visual Resource Inventory Class: II						
Location: Northing 7405161.9, Easting 602029.4						
Distance from proposed activity: 0.7 mile from the Mainline Pipeline and 0.8 mile from a material site						
Approximate Milepost: 283						
Ecoregion/Subregion: Kokrine-Hodzana Highlands						
Scenic Quality Classification: B		Overall Sensitivity Rating: M				
Landscape Description						
Landform/Water	Vegetation		Structure			
Form: steep slope down in foreground; rolling, gradually sloping middleground; steep to sloping background	Form: regular vertical/horizontal foreground; continuous middleground and background		Form: horizontal, vertical, angular, geometric, linear			
Line: horizontal, curving foreground and middleground; diagonals at background	Line: curves and verticals in foreground; short verticals in middleground; continuous background		Line: horizontal, vertical, diagonals, geometric forms			
Color: tan foreground and middleground; tan to blue background	Color: light to dark green, brown, foreground and middleground; dark green to blue background		Color: tan, gray			
Texture: smooth foreground, middleground, and background	Texture: smooth foreground and background; smooth to coarse middleground		Texture: smooth			

#### **Visual Impacts During Construction**

Due to the distance between the Gobblers Knob rest area and the visible portion of the Mainline Pipeline, Project construction would introduce weak to no contrast in the landform. Mainline Pipeline right-of-way grading and vegetation clearing could introduce weak contrast in line, structure, color, and geometric and linear forms due to vegetation removal and the presence of vehicles and construction equipment. Overall, Project construction would introduce weak contrast and low visual impacts at KOP B.

#### **Visual Impacts During Operation**

Due to the distance between the Gobblers Knob rest area and the visible portion of the Mainline Pipeline, the Project would present weak to no contrast in landform. The cleared right-of-way for the Mainline Pipeline would introduce weak contrast for vegetation as well as some geometric and linear forms. Light green colors created by new growth in the right-of-way would be noticeable as a long, linear form with straight edges and be more apparent immediately following construction until vegetation matures. Overall, Project operation would introduce weak contrast and low visual impacts at KOP B.

#### **Mitigation Measures**

To minimize long-term or permanent visual impacts associated with right-of-way clearing and temporary impacts during the construction phase, AGDC would implement the Project Revegetation Plan.

#### S-2.1.4.13 KOP C: Arctic Circle Campground

#### **Affected Visual Environment**

The Arctic Circle Campground (see table S-2-14) is 0.5 mile from the Dalton Highway and the Arctic Circle rest area and sign. Recreationists and tourists along the Dalton Highway frequently stop at the Arctic Circle sign, while the campground is popular among recreationists. The campground is within an area of dense vegetation that includes black spruce and birch. Both TAPS and the proposed Mainline Pipeline would be 1.5 miles east of the easternmost campsite and would not be visible from this location. The campground is secluded and consists of a dirt loop road with campsites arranged in a radial pattern off the road. The ground is mostly flat and even, with some small slopes that make the road bumpy in places. This KOP is on the northeast side of the campground at the parking area and campsite closest to TAPS and the Project.

TABLE S-2-14						
KOP C: Arctic Circle Campground						
KOP: C		Date: 6/29/16				
Visual Resource Inventory Class: II						
Location: Northing 7383924, Easting 598077.8						
Distance from proposed activity: 1.5 miles from the Mainline Pipeline						
Approximate Milepost: 298						
Ecoregion/Subregion: Kokrine-Hodzana Highlands						
Scenic Quality Classification: C	Overall Sensitivity		ting: M			
Landscape Description						
Landform/Water	Vegetation		Structure			
Form: horizontal, regular, flat to gently sloping	Form: dense, regular, continuous		Form: horizontal, flat			
Line: horizontal, linear	Line: vertical, horizontal		Line: horizontal			
Color: tan, gray	Color: light to dark green		Color: gray, tan			
Texture: flat, smooth	Texture: complex, smooth		Texture: smooth			

#### **Project Activities Generating Impacts**

AGDC would construct the Mainline Pipeline about 1.5 miles from KOP C.

#### **Visual Impacts During Construction and Operation**

Due to distance and intervening vegetation, the Mainline Pipeline would not be visible from KOP C; therefore, Project construction and operation would have no visual impacts at KOP C.

#### **Mitigation Measures**

AGDC has not proposed any mitigation for this KOP.

#### S-2.1.4.14 KOP D: Finger Mountain Wayside (view northwest)

#### **Affected Visual Environment**

KOP D (see table S-2-15) is at a pedestrian viewpoint at Finger Mountain Wayside. The Finger Mountain Wayside provides interpretive information and panoramic views of the surrounding region and is a popular stopping point for recreational travelers and truck drivers. It has several interpretive trails with signs, a substantial gravel parking area, and restrooms. The viewpoint is a short climb from the parking lot on a gravel trail and includes interpretive signs and an expansive view to the north. The topography consists of rolling hills and a broad river basin to the north where the Kanuti River is located. The highway curves across the landscape on the west side of the basin. Many lakes and ponds are visible, but the river itself is hard to distinguish. TAPS is visible in the distance, with the two prominent parallel stripes of adjacent vegetation. The portion of TAPS closest to the KOP is not visible due to low continuous vegetation present across the landscape.

#### **Project Activities Generating Impacts**

AGDC would construct the Mainline Pipeline adjacent to the Dalton Highway near KOP D. The pipeline would be 0.1 mile southwest of KOP D at its closest point, although the pipeline segment beginning about 0.4 mile northwest would be more easily visible, due to topography.

TABLE S-2-15						
KOP D: Finger Mountain Wayside (view northwest)						
KOP: D Date.		Date: 6/29/16				
Visual Resource Inventory Class: II						
Location: Northing 7362247.2, Easting 613468						
Distance from proposed activity: 0.1 mile from the Mainline Pipeline						
Approximate Milepost: 315						
Ecoregion/Subregion: Kokrine-Hodzana Highlands						
Scenic Quality Classification: A		Overall Sensitivity Rating: H				
Landscape Description						
Landform/Water	Vegetation		Structure			
Form: sloping foreground, rolling middleground and background	Form: low, numerous, regular vegetation		Form: flat and sloping			
Line: curving diagonals at foreground, middleground, and background	Line: curving diagonals (following landform contours); linear along TAPS in middleground		Line: horizontal			
Color: gray to tan foreground and middleground; tan to blue background	Color: light green with occasional dark green patches at middleground		Color: gray			
Texture: smooth with rough and rugged patches in foreground and middleground	Texture: smooth with occasional rough patches		Texture: smooth			

#### **Visual Impacts During Construction**

During construction, gray and brown colors of exposed earth in the Mainline Pipeline right-of-way would introduce weak contrast in landform with no contrast anticipated to the form, line, and texture of the landform. Vegetation removal would introduce linear forms, irregular lines, and patchy textures to the existing vegetation. Contrast for structures would be high due to construction equipment, materials, and vehicles that would introduce vertical, horizontal, and geometric forms, as well as vertical and horizontal lines. Although contrast for structures would be strong, construction in this area would take less than 2 years. For these reasons, visual impacts for KOP D during construction would be low.

#### **Visual Impacts During Operation**

Contrasts to landform during operation would be weak to nonexistent. The cleared right-of-way would appear as a long, linear element in the landscape. Vegetation removal would introduce linear forms, irregular lines, and patchy textures to the existing vegetation. Regrowth of vegetation following construction would result in an increase in light green colors producing strong contrast with the current vegetation. Other existing linear features, including TAPS and the Dalton Highway, would be more dominant and reduce the overall contrast of the Mainline Pipeline right-of-way. For these reasons, visual impacts for KOP D during operation would be low.

#### **Mitigation Measures**

To minimize long-term or permanent visual impacts associated with right-of-way clearing and temporary impacts during the construction phase, AGDC would implement the Project Revegetation Plan. Additionally, construction in this area would occur during winter to minimize visual impacts on tourists and recreationists.

#### S-2.1.4.15 KOP E: Finger Mountain Wayside (view southeast)

#### **Affected Visual Environment**

KOP E (see table S-2-16) is at the turnoff for Finger Mountain Wayside looking southeast across the highway. The wayside, which is east of the KOP, includes a parking area, bathrooms, and a small trail with interpretive signage. The Finger Mountain Wayside is a popular stopping point for recreational travelers and truck drivers. The vegetation is low and continuous. Visibility is good in all directions except to the north, which is blocked by a rock outcrop. The landscape consists of rolling hills with large stones and boulders scattered throughout. TAPS is underground in this area and runs next to the highway on the east side. The proposed Mainline Pipeline would be on the west side of the highway and could be collocated with a fiber-optic line that currently runs underground. There are no visible changes in vegetation along the TAPS right-of-way.

#### **Project Activities Generating Impacts**

KOP E is at Finger Mountain Wayside about 0.1 mile east of the Mainline Pipeline.

TABLE S-2-16						
KOP E: Finger Mountain Wayside (view southeast)						
KOP: E Date: 6/29/16						
Visual Resource Inventory Class: II						
Location: Northing 7362023.7, Easting 613525.4						
Distance from proposed activity: 0.1 mile from the Mainline Pipeline						
Approximate Milepost: 315						
Ecoregion/Subregion: Kokrine-Hodzana Highlands						
Scenic Quality Classification: B	Overall Sensitivity Rating: H					
Landscape Description						
Landform/Water	Vegetation	Structure				
Form: flat to sloping foreground, rolling middleground and background	Form: low, numerous, regular	Form: flat and sloping				
Line: horizontal foreground, horizontal to curving diagonal middleground and background	Line: curving diagonal	Line: horizontal				
Color: gray and tan foreground and middleground, tan to blue background	Color: light green with dark green patches at middleground	Color: gray				
Texture: smooth with rough patches in foreground, middleground, and background	Texture: smooth with rough patches	Texture: smooth				

#### **Visual Impacts During Construction**

The gray and brown colors of exposed earth in the Mainline Pipeline right-of-way would introduce weak contrast to landform, line, and texture. Vegetation removal would introduce linear forms, irregular lines, and patchy textures; vertical, horizontal, and geometric forms; as well as vertical and horizontal lines due to construction equipment, materials, and vehicles. These construction activities would introduce strong contrast for structures (i.e., construction equipment and material), but because construction in this area would take less than 2 years, Project construction would have low visual impacts at KOP D.

#### **Visual Impacts During Operation**

During operation, the cleared Mainline Pipeline right-of-way would introduce linear forms, irregular lines, and patchy textures and appear as a long, linear element in the landscape. The Mainline Pipeline would introduce weak to no contrast in landform, but would introduce strong contrast in color during the first few years following construction due to the presence of light green colors during vegetation regrowth. Other existing linear features, including TAPS and the Dalton Highway, would be more dominant and reduce the overall contrast of the Mainline Pipeline right-of-way; therefore, Project operation would have low visual impacts at KOP D.

#### **Mitigation Measures**

To minimize long-term or permanent visual impacts associated with right-of-way clearing and temporary impacts during the construction phase, AGDC would implement the Project Revegetation Plan. Additionally, construction in this area would occur during winter to minimize impacts on recreationists and tourists.

#### **S-2.1.4.16 KOP F: 86 Mile Overlook**

#### **Affected Visual Environment**

AGDC did not survey KOP F (see table S-2-17) during field visits for the Project due to lack of accessibility. At the time of survey, the entrance road was closed for construction.

TABLE S-2-17
KOP F: 86 Mile Overlook
KOP: F
Visual Resource Inventory Class: NA
Location: Northing 7346686.8, Easting 623626.1
Distance from proposed activity: 0.6 mile from the Mainline Pipeline
Approximate Milepost: 327
Ecoregion/Subregion: Kokrine-Hodzana Highlands
NA = Not applicable

## **S-2.1.4.17 KOP 12: Yukon River Camp**

## **Affected Visual Environment**

KOP 12 (see table S-2-18) is at the northeast end of the Yukon River Camp parking area looking northwest. The foreground is flat with thick deciduous trees at the edge of the road and an unpaved parking lot and a field beyond. Low, rolling hills in the background are marked by the vertical lines of a conifer-dominated forest. The brighter greens and reds of the vegetation contrast with the tans and browns of the parking area and road. Although not visible in this direction, the industrial structures that comprise the Yukon River Camp affect the scenic quality in the area.

## **Project Activities Generating Impacts**

KOP 12 is along the Dalton Highway just north of the Yukon River. Due to the distance and intervening vegetation, the Mainline Pipeline would not be visible from this location in the short or long term; therefore, there would be no contrast to landform, water, vegetation, or structure anticipated at KOP 12.

TABLE S-2-18				
KOP 12: Yukon River Camp				
KOP: 12		Date: 9/25/15		
Visual Resource Inventory Class: III				
Location: Northing 7310696.754, Easting 64968	38.927			
Distance from proposed activity: about 3.0 mile	s from a pipe stor	age yard, about 1.0 mile from the I	Mainline Pipeline	
Approximate Milepost: 357				
Ecoregion/Subregion: Kokrine-Hodzana Highla	nds			
Scenic Quality Classification: B Overall Sensitivity Rating: M				
Landscape Description				
Landform/Water	Landform/Water Vegetation Structure			
Form: flat foreground, rolling background	Form: smooth foreground; rough, vertical background Form: flat, smooth			
Line: soft, straight, horizontal foreground, curving background	Line: soft, continuous foreground; vertical, rugged background Line: regular, soft, horizontal			
Color: browns	Color: light to dark green; yellow, orange, brown seasonally		Color: browns	
Texture: smooth foreground and background	Texture: smootl background	n, clumped foreground; coarse	Texture: smooth, uniform	

Because the Project would not be visible from this location, it would not produce any noticeable contrast and would therefore have no visual impacts on KOP 12 during construction or operation.

## **Mitigation Measures**

AGDC has not proposed any mitigation for this KOP.

#### S-2.1.4.18 KOP 13: Yukon River

#### **Affected Visual Environment**

KOP 13 (see table S-2-19) is on the north bank of the Yukon River, looking southeast to southwest. The Dalton Highway Bridge, which also carries TAPS, is southeast and high above the beach/river access. The river is wide with milky gray swift moving water and moderately steep terrain on both sides. The north bank slope flattens out at the Yukon River Camp; the south bank slopes up to low mountains. Vegetation is a mix of predominantly dark green deciduous and conifer trees. Vegetation is patchy by type but dense overall. Although not visible when looking west (the direction of the KOP), the bridge creates a strong diagonal line with verticals to the southeast and influences the scenic quality in the area.

## **Project Activities Generating Impacts**

KOP 13 is on the north bank of the Yukon River adjacent to the Yukon River Camp and looks west toward the proposed Yukon River crossing. The Mainline Pipeline would cross the Yukon River by means of directional micro-tunneling (DMT), the entry points for which would not be visible from this KOP due to both distance and intervening vegetation and terrain. Because the Mainline Pipeline would be belowground, the Project would create no noticeable contrast or changes to the site or area.

TABLE S-2-19				
KOP 13: Yukon River				
KOP: 13		Date: 8/27/15		
Visual Resource Inventory Class: III				
Location: Northing 7310318.693, Easting 649468.20	68			
Distance from proposed activity: adjacent to a DMT	location along t	the Yukon River		
Approximate Milepost: 357				
Ecoregion/Subregion: Kokrine-Hodzana Highlands				
Scenic Quality Classification: A Overall Sensitivity Rating: H				
Landscape Description				
Landform/Water	Vegetation		Structure	
Form: rounded, patches of rough but mostly smooth; water horizontal, curving, large volume			Form: vertical, horizontal, angular, geometric	
Line: curving, diagonal, horizontal, smooth	Line: regular, continuous, soft, smooth  Line: horizontal, vertical, angular, geometric			
Color: browns	Color: light to dark green, yellow and brown seasonally Color: grays, browns		Color: grays, browns	
Texture: smooth, with one small rough patch (left by recent landslide)	Texture: smoo	oth to medium-smooth, led by type	Texture: smooth, ordered, directional	

Because the Project would not be visible from this location, it would not produce any noticeable contrast and would therefore have no visual impacts for KOP 13 during construction or operation.

#### **Mitigation Measures**

To ensure that no visual impacts occur for views from this area, AGDC would locate the DMT entry and exit points out of view from this KOP and minimize vegetation removal.

## **S-2.1.4.19 KOP 14: Five Mile Camp**

#### **Affected Visual Environment**

KOP 14 (see table S-2-20) is on the Dalton Highway just north of Five Mile Camp looking northwest (see figure S-2-7a). Recreationists, tourists, truck drivers, and TAPS workers currently use this pullout. Topography in this location is mostly flat with dense vegetation consisting of birch and conifers on both sides of the road. Between the highway and dense vegetation is a strip containing grasses and low brush. The brown, flat stretch of the Dalton Highway dominates the view. Other industrial-style facilities associated with TAPS are visible nearby.

TABLE S-2-20				
	KOP 14: Fiv	e Mile Camp		
KOP: 14		Date: 8/27/15		
Visual Resource Inventory Class:	III			
Location: Northing 7312549.027,	Easting 647347.748			
Distance from proposed activity: a Pipeline	adjacent to a pipe storage yard,	0.1 mile from Five Mile Ca	mp, 0.2 mile from the Mainline	
Approximate Milepost: 355				
Ecoregion/Subregion: Kokrine-Ho	dzana Highlands			
Scenic Quality Classification: B Overall Sensitivity Rating: M				
Landscape Description				
Landform/Water	Vegetation	Vegetation Structure		
Form: flat, gentle, regular	Form: solid, regular, tall, vert	ical, strip	Form: flat, regular, linear, strip	
Line: simple, regular, horizontal	Line: medium-soft, regular, continuous, horizontal, vertical  Line: horizontal, straight to curving			
Color: browns	Color: light and dark greens, brown to cream (tree trunks), yellow and brown seasonally		Color: browns, yellows, blacks	
Texture: smooth, uniform	Texture: medium-smooth, de	ense	Texture: smooth	

### **Project Activities Generating Impacts**

KOP 14, on the east side of the Dalton Highway looking northwest, is about 0.2 mile from the Mainline Pipeline. A pipe storage yard and camp would be placed at the area adjacent to the Dalton Highway on the west side of the highway. The simulation for KOP 14 depicts the pipe storage yard during construction.

## **Visual Impacts During Construction**

Due to intervening vegetation and terrain, the Mainline Pipeline would not be visible from this KOP and would therefore produce no contrast (see figure S-2-7b). The landform is flat and no changes would be anticipated to form, line, color, or texture. The pipe storage yard and camp adjacent to the road

would introduce strong contrast for vegetation and structure. Vegetation removal during construction of the storage yard and camp immediately adjacent to this KOP would create strong contrast to vegetation in form and line with geometric and linear forms. Due to clearing during construction, vegetation would be patchy in texture. The storage yard and camp would introduce strong contrast for forms, lines, colors, and textures to the views. Machinery, materials, and equipment would add geometric and cylindrical shapes, as well as vertical and horizontal lines. Constructing colors in brown, black, gray, and yellow would be due to the presence of machinery, materials, and equipment. These elements, along with construction vehicles, would add substantially to the existing elements in the view and produce strong contrast during construction. Because AGDC anticipates that the pipe storage yard and camp would be in place about 4 years (the construction duration for Mainline Pipeline Spread 2), visual impacts of the pipe storage yard and camp in this location for views from KOP 14 would be high during construction.

## **Visual Impacts During Operation**

If AGDC removes the pipe storage yard and camp after construction and the site is regraded to natural contours, contrast would be low for landform and structures (see figure S-2-7c). However, vegetation regrowth, especially for tall trees, would require a long time and contrast for vegetation would therefore remain strong well past construction completion. Therefore, visual impacts for KOP 14 would be high during operation.

### **Mitigation Measures**

AGDC would minimize impacts from the pipe storage yard and camp by locating the storage yard and camp entrance at an angle to the road after accommodating state highway access requirements and minimizing vegetation removal. To reduce the impact of added artificial lighting and minimize impacts on dark skies, AGDC would use the minimum lighting required for safety and security for nighttime activities at the pipe storage yard and camp during construction. During construction, AGDC would orient all lighting downward, shield the light to eliminate off-site light spill, and use timers or motion-activated sensors (where appropriate) for all lighting. Following construction, AGDC would remove the camp and pipe storage yard, and would implement the Project Revegetation Plan to restore vegetation in the area.

### S-2.1.4.20 KOP G: Hess Creek Bridge

#### **Affected Visual Environment**

KOP G (see table S-2-21) is at Hess Creek Bridge looking out across Hess Creek. The bridge is about 20 feet above the water. Steep banks about 10 feet high are on both sides of the river, beyond which the land is flat to rolling with gentle hills in the background. The river, land, and road are mostly tan to brown in color. Due to the dense riverbank vegetation, visibility is low and the proposed material sites to the east and west would not be visible. Recreationists, truck drivers, and tourists driving the Dalton Highway most commonly see this view of Hess Creek. Because there is a gravel pullout and river access, anglers may also use this location.

## **Project Activities Generating Impacts**

This KOP is where the Dalton Highway crosses Hess Creek, 0.3 mile east of material site 65-3-0142 FP1, 0.2 mile west of material site 65-3-014-2 FP2, and 0.8 mile from the Mainline Pipeline. Due to the distance and intervening vegetation, the Mainline Pipeline would not be visible from this location in the short or long term. There is no contrast anticipated for landform, water, vegetation, or structure.

TABLE S-2-21				
	KOP G: Hess Cre	ek Bridge		
KOP: G	Da	ate: 6/28/16		
Visual Resource Inventory Class: II				
Location: Northing 7284229.7, Easting 40360	07.3			
Distance from proposed activity: 0.3 mile from Mainline Pipeline	n the west material site,	0.2 mile from the east mate	erial site, and 0.8 mile from the	
Approximate Milepost: 382				
Ecoregion/Subregion: Kokrine-Hodzana High	nlands			
Scenic Quality Classification: A	Scenic Quality Classification: A Overall Sensitivity Rating: M			
Landscape Description				
Landform/Water	Vegetation		Structure	
Form: horizontal foreground, vertical middleground, and continuous background	Form: horizontal foregomiddleground	round, vertical	Form: horizontal, geometric, sloping	
Line: horizontal foreground, horizontal to curving middleground and background	Line: mottled, broken foreground; continuous middleground and background Line: horizontal and vertical		Line: horizontal and vertical	
Color: tan to brown foreground, brown to blue middleground and background	Color: light green foreground, dark strip at middleground, light to dark green background		Color: tan, gray	
Texture: smooth foreground, middleground, and background	Texture: smooth Texture: smooth			

Because the Project would not be visible from this location, it would not produce any noticeable contrast and would therefore have no visual impacts on KOP G during construction or operation.

## **Mitigation Measures**

AGDC has not proposed any mitigation for this KOP.

## S-2.1.4.21 KOP H: Hess Creek Pullout

#### **Affected Visual Environment**

KOP H (see table S-2-22) is at a pullout 2.2 miles south of Hess Creek on the east side of the Dalton Highway. Both recreational travelers and truck drivers stop along the Hess Creek Pullout, which has a gravel parking area but does not have a view or any amenities. Substantial rock walls surround the parking area, which has no view with the exception of the narrow area created by the road cut to the north. The rock walls are steep and exposed, showing a variety of layers and colors. The top of the rock wall is horizontal to sloping, and vegetation, consisting primarily of trees, is visible along the edge. There is no view in the direction of the proposed Mainline Pipeline; therefore, the Mainline Pipeline would not be visible.

## **Project Activities Generating Impacts**

This KOP is at a pullout 2.2 miles south of Hess Creek and 0.1 mile west of the proposed Mainline Pipeline. Due to the distance and intervening vegetation, the Mainline Pipeline would not be visible from this location in the short or long term. There is no contrast anticipated for landform, water, vegetation, or structure.

TABLE S-2-22				
н	OP H: Hess	Creek Pullout		
KOP: H		Date: 6/28/16		
Visual Resource Inventory Class: IV				
Location: Northing 7281968.9, Easting 406432.3				
Distance from proposed activity: 0.1 mile from the M	Mainline Pipeli	ine		
Approximate Milepost: 384				
Ecoregion/Subregion: Kokrine-Hodzana Highlands				
Scenic Quality Classification: C Overall Sensitivity Rating: L				
Landscape Description	Landscape Description			
Landform/Water	Vegetation		Structure	
Form: flat foreground; angular, irregular, and vertical middleground	Form: sparse, simple foreground; vertical, semi- regular middleground Form: flat, s regular		Form: flat, semi- regular	
Line: horizontal foreground; diagonal, vertical, horizontal middleground	Line: broken, irregular foreground; horizontal strip of verticals at middleground			
Color: tan and gray foreground; white, tan, red, and brown middleground	Color: light green foreground, light to dark green and brown middleground Color: tan to gray		Color: tan to gray	
Texture: smooth foreground; rough, rugged, and smooth middleground	Texture: sm middlegroui	nooth foreground; irregular, smooth nd	Texture: smooth	

Because the Mainline Pipeline would not be visible from this location, it would not produce any noticeable contrast and would therefore have no visual impacts on KOP H during either construction or operation.

## **Mitigation Measures**

AGDC has not proposed any mitigation for this KOP.

#### S-2.1.4.22 KOP I: Hess Creek Overlook

#### **Affected Visual Environment**

KOPI (see table S-2-23) is at the Hess Creek overlook. Both recreational travelers and truck drivers stop at this overlook as the gravel pullout is wide and offers interpretive signage. The overlook is on the west side of the Dalton Highway and looks west and northwest. The Mainline Pipeline would be north and east of the road and overlook. Due to a rise in the topography, the Mainline Pipeline would not be visible from this location. West of the road is an expanse of gravel fill that detracts from the directly adjacent scenery due to the lack of slope and vegetation. The gravel does open up the wider view, enabling visitors to see across the valleys below uninterrupted by vegetation.

#### **Project Activities Generating Impacts**

This KOP is at the Hess Creek overlook, 2.8 miles south of Hess Creek and 0.8 mile southwest of the Mainline Pipeline. Due to the distance and intervening vegetation, the Mainline Pipeline would not be visible from this location in the short or long term. There is no contrast anticipated for landform, water, vegetation, or structure.

TABLE S-2-23			
ĸ	OP I: Hess C	reek Overlook	
KOP: I		Date: 6/28/16	
Visual Resource Inventory Class: III			
Location: Northing 7280717.7, Easting 406384.9			
Distance from proposed activity: 0.8 mile from the I	Mainline Pipel	ine	
Approximate Milepost: 385			
Ecoregion/Subregion: Kokrine-Hodzana Highlands			
Scenic Quality Classification: B Overall Sensitivity Rating: M			
Landscape Description			
Landform/Water	Vegetation		Structure
Form: curving foreground, sloping middleground, and rolling background (to the left/northwest)	Form: mottled and continuous foreground, middleground, and background Form: curving, horizontal		
Line: diagonal to horizontal foreground, curving middleground and background	Line: horizontal foreground, vertical middleground, and continuous, rolling background Line: horizontal to diagonal		
Color: tan foreground, brown to blue background	Color: light green, yellow, and pink foreground; gray, light to dark green middleground and background yellow, and white		
Texture: smooth and soft foreground, middleground, and background		t foreground, textured (verticals) at nd, smooth background	Texture: smooth

Because the Mainline Pipeline would not be visible from this location, it would not produce any noticeable contrast and would therefore have no visual impacts for KOP I during construction or operation.

# **Mitigation Measures**

AGDC has not proposed any mitigation for this KOP.

#### **S-2.1.4.23 KOP 15: Elliott Highway**

### **Affected Visual Environment**

KOP 15 (see table S-2-24) is just west of the intersection of the Elliott and Dalton Highways, looking north toward the proposed storage yard. Recreationists on the highway and tourists using nearby rivers and trails primarily experience this view. The land is flat, horizontal, brown, and smooth. The vegetation types are random and patchy, but as a whole, the vegetation is continuous, dense, and primarily green (with yellow, red, purple, and gray present seasonally). The only structure in the view is the horizontal, gray/brown, dirt road.

#### **Project Activities Generating Impacts**

The area adjacent to Elliott Highway would be used for the construction of Livengood Camp (120 beds) and a pipe storage yard. The camp and pipe storage yard are far enough from the road that the dense vegetation would serve as a screen between the facilities and the highway. The Mainline Pipeline would be about 0.6 mile east of the KOP and would not be visible during construction or operation due to the dense vegetation. Due to the distance and intervening vegetation, the Mainline Pipeline and camp would not be anticipated to be noticeable from this location in the short or long term. There is no contrast anticipated for landform, water, vegetation, or structure.

TABLE S-2-24					
	KOP 15: EII	iot Highway			
KOP: 15 Date: 8/26/15					
Visual Resource Inventory Class: III					
Location: Northing 7267478.481, Easti	ng 700596.019				
Distance from proposed activity: about Pipeline	0.3 mile from Livengood (	Camp and a pipe storage y	ard; 0.6 mile from the Mainline		
Approximate Milepost: 401					
Ecoregion/Subregion: Yukon-Tanana l	Jpland				
Scenic Quality Classification: B Overall Sensitivity Rating: L					
Landscape Description	Landscape Description				
Landform/Water	Vegetation		Structure		
Form: flat, horizontal	Form: patchy (by type), solid (as a whole)		Form: horizontal		
Line: horizontal, smooth	Line: verticals, soft		Line: horizontal		
Color: brown	Color: greens, seasonal yellow, purple, red, gray		Color: gray, brown		
Texture: smooth	Texture: even, random,	Texture: even, random, continuous, dense Texture: fine			

Because the Project would not be visible from this location, it would not produce any noticeable contrast. Lighting emitted from the camp and pipe storage yard during construction could be visible—either directly or as glare on the horizon—and would be a new source of artificial light. Project construction would therefore have low visual impacts, while Project operation would have no visual impacts on KOP 15.

## **Mitigation Measures**

To ensure minimization of the impacts from the Mainline Pipeline and camp, AGDC would set these facilities away from the highway and maintain screening vegetation between the highway and facilities. AGDC would use dark colors such as dark browns and greens, minimize vegetation clearing, and employ best management practices described in the Project Revegetation Plan to restore vegetation. AGDC would use the minimum lighting required for safety and security for nighttime activities at the work camp and pipe storage yard during construction. AGDC would orient all lighting downward, shield it to eliminate off-site light spill, and (where appropriate) use timers or motion-activated sensors for all lighting.

#### S-2.1.4.24 KOP 16: George Parks Highway Pullout

### **Affected Visual Environment**

KOP 16 (see table S-2-25) is at a viewpoint pullout along the George Parks Highway (Parks Highway). Recreationists, tourists, and others traveling on the Parks Highway to Fairbanks experience this view. The George Parks Highway Scenic Byway Corridor Partnership Plan (CPP) identifies this area with a high scenic value (Alaska Department of Natural Resources [ADNR], 2008a). The viewpoint is on a ridge, and the foreground has a moderately steep slope down toward a broad, flat middleground. The background, far across the broad middleground, is asymmetrical and rolling. The middleground is spotted with lakes, ponds, and waterways that are flat, white/gray, and glossy. The vegetation is diverse and in strips with a rounded, patchy middleground. Vegetation types are smooth and scattered.

TABLE S-2-25			
KOP 16: Geo	rge Parks Highway Pullout		
KOP: 16	Date: 8/26/15		
Visual Resource Inventory Class: II	•		
Location: Northing 7180827.353, Easting 698707.44			
Distance from proposed activity: immediately adjacent to	a material site; about 3.0 miles from the Mainline I	Pipeline and MLV 29	
Approximate Milepost: 461			
Ecoregion/Subregion: Yukon-Tanana Upland			
Scenic Quality Classification: A	Overall Sensitivity Rating: H		
Landscape Description	•		
Landform/Water	Vegetation	Structure	
Form: moderately steep foreground; flat middleground; asymmetrical, rolling background; glossy water	Form: diverse strip; rounded, patchy middlegrou	nd Form: NA	
Line: straight, curving, horizontal foreground and middleground; slightly angular, rolling background	Line: soft, irregular	Line: NA	
Color: brown, blue (far mountains), white/gray (water)	Color: light to dark green; red, yellow, brown seasonally	Color: NA	
Texture: smooth, uniform	Texture: smooth, patchy, scattered (different vegetation types)	Texture: NA	

#### **Project Activities Generating Impacts**

The proposed underground Mainline Pipeline and MLV 29 (including associated aboveground appurtenances) would be constructed using frost packing/surface leveling about 3.0 miles from this KOP. Although the Project construction area for these facilities would be lower in elevation than the KOP, it is anticipated that neither the buried Mainline Pipeline nor MLV 29 appurtenances would be visible from the KOP in the short or long term due to the distance, intervening vegetation, and topographic features. There is no contrast anticipated for landform, water, vegetation, or structure due to the Mainline Pipeline or MLV. Material site Alt-37-1-038-2 FP, however, would be immediately adjacent to KOP 16.

## **Visual Impacts During Construction and Operation**

The material site would be in use during construction and operation. Use of the material site at this location would introduce horizontal and irregular forms and lines, brown to tan colors, and smooth textures to the current landform, creating a strong contrast. Vegetation removal would expose bare earth and create geometric and linear forms, irregular lines, grays and browns of exposed earth, and patchy textures in existing vegetation. The contrast produced by removal would be strong for vegetation. Machinery, materials, equipment, and vehicles would introduce geometric and linear forms, vertical and horizontal lines, smooth textures, and yellow, brown, and gray colors. These elements would create strong contrast for structures. A reduction of visual impacts for KOP 16 would occur due to the somewhat brief duration of views into the site by travelers on the Parks Highway. Therefore, visual impacts for KOP 16 would be moderate during construction and operation.

## **Mitigation Measures**

While no specific mitigation was proposed for this KOP, AGDC would implement the Project Revegetation Plan to minimize visual impacts associated with right-of-way clearing and temporary impacts during the construction phase.

# S-2.1.4.25 KOP 17: George Parks Highway (view north)

#### **Affected Visual Environment**

KOP 17 (see table S-2-26) is at the south side off Parks Highway looking north. Recreationists, tourists, and others traveling on the Parks Highway between Anchorage and Fairbanks experience this view. Dense, vertical, and regular vegetation contrasts with the flat, dark highway. The highway is along a ridge, and the land slopes up on the north side of the road at this location, blocking northerly views.

TABLE S-2-26				
	KOP 17: George Parks Highway (view north)			
KOP: 17		Date: 8/26/15		
Visual Resource Inventory Class: I				
Location: Northing 7181980.624, E	asting 701166.95			
Distance from proposed activity: at	out 3.6 miles south of the Mainl	line, pipe storage yard, Dunbar	Camp, and railroad work pad	
Approximate Milepost: 460				
Ecoregion/Subregion: Yukon-Tana	na Upland			
Scenic Quality Classification: B Overall Sensitivity Rating: H				
Landscape Description				
Landform/Water	Vegetation	Vegetation		
Form: flat, sloping	Form: dense, regular	Form: dense, regular		
Line: horizontal, smooth	Line: soft, regular, vertical	Line: soft, regular, vertical		
Color: brown	Color: light to dark green;	Color: light to dark green; red, yellow, brown seasonally		
Texture: smooth, uniform	Texture: smooth, patchy s	scattered	Texture: smooth	

### **Project Activities Generating Impacts**

The Mainline Pipeline, a pipe storage yard, Dunbar Camp (120 beds), and a railroad work pad would be constructed about 3.6 miles north of this KOP. These facilities are unlikely to be visible from this location during construction or operation due to distance, topography (which includes a ridge sloping upward on the north side of the road), and dense vegetation. There is no contrast anticipated in landform, water, vegetation, or structure in the short or long term.

### **Visual Impacts During Construction and Operation**

It is unlikely the project would be visible from this location; therefore, it would not produce any noticeable contrast. Lighting emitted from the camp and pipe storage yard during construction could be visible—either directly or as glare on the horizon—and would be a new source of artificial light. Project construction would therefore have low visual impacts, while Project operation would have no visual impacts on KOP 17.

### **Mitigation Measures**

To ensure that impacts from the Mainline Pipeline, camp, storage yard, and railroad work pad would be minimized, these facilities would be set away from the highway and screening vegetation maintained between the highway and facilities. For any elements of the camp visible from the highway, visual impacts would be reduced by using dark colors such as dark browns and greens, minimizing vegetation clearing, and implementing the Project Revegetation Plan to restore vegetation. AGDC would use the minimum lighting required for safety and security for nighttime activities at the work camp and pipe storage yard during construction. AGDC would orient all lighting downward, shield it to eliminate off-site light spill, and (where appropriate) use timers or motion-activated sensors for all lighting.

Following construction, AGDC would remove and restore the camp and pipe storage yard site, which would reduce visual impacts during operation.

## S-2.1.4.26 KOP 18: George Parks Highway (view east)

#### **Affected Visual Environment**

KOP 18 (see table S-2-27) is on the Parks Highway, looking east toward the Mainline Pipeline and railroad spur. The George Parks Highway Scenic Byway CPP identifies this area with a low scenic value (ADNR, 2008a). Recreationists traveling on the Parks Highway, residents of the community of Nenana, and others using the Tanana River experience this view. While the Tanana River is nearby, it is not within view of this KOP. The foliage is low but dense in the foreground, with a general clearing adjacent to the road. Clumps of deciduous trees make visibility through the clearing difficult. A wooded area begins on the far side of the clearing. Dense trees continue and completely cover the hills.

TABLE S-2-27				
KOP 18: George Parks Highway (view east)				
KOP: 18		Date: 8/26/15		
Visual Resource Inventory Class: IV				
Location: Northing 7167882.949, Easting 6857	763.873			
Distance from proposed activity: about 0.3 mil	e from Mainline co	nstruction		
Approximate Milepost: 472				
Ecoregion/Subregion: Tanana-Kuskokwim Lov	wland			
Scenic Quality Classification: B Overall Sensitivity Rating: H				
Landscape Description				
Landform/Water Vegetation Structure			Structure	
Form: flat foreground; moderate, rolling background	Form: smooth, low foreground; smooth, regular background; vertical middleground linear (transmission lines)		Form: rectangular, geometric, linear (transmission lines)	
Line: soft, horizontal foreground; curving background	Line: soft, horizontal, vertical, continuous  Line: horizontal, vertical, geometric			
Color: browns	Color: light to medium green, yellow-green; pink, yellow, brown seasonally		Color: red, brown, blue	
Texture: smooth, uniform, some patches of medium-fine texture visible at railroad cuts	Texture: smooth	, ordered; scattered foreground	Texture: smooth, uniform	

### **Project Activities Generating Impacts**

KOP 18 is a view east from the Parks Highway. Construction of the Mainline Pipeline would occur using conventional trenching. The pipeline would be adjacent to the proposed Nenana Railroad Spur about 0.3 mile to the southeast of the highway.

## **Visual Impacts During Construction**

Construction of the Mainline Pipeline and Nenana Railroad Spur would produce weak to moderate contrast on the landform by introducing more horizontal forms and lines to the landscape. More tan and brown colors and smooth textures could be visible due to grading. Vegetation removal could lead to additional linear forms and lines and patchy textures, producing moderate to strong contrast. The presence of equipment during construction would create moderate contrast by introducing vertical, horizontal, and geometric forms; and vertical and horizontal lines. Machinery, materials, and equipment would introduce more tan, brown, yellow, and black colors; as well as smooth to rough textures, producing a strong contrast. Although contrast would be moderate to strong, construction would take less than 2 years in this area. For these reasons, visual impacts for KOP 9 during construction would be low.

### **Visual Impacts During Operation**

The Mainline Pipeline and Nenana Railroad Spur would produce weak to moderate contrast on the landform by introducing more horizontal forms and lines to the landscape. More tan and brown colors and smooth textures could be visible. Vegetation removal from the right-of-way would add linear forms, straight lines, and patchy textures; however, due to the distance and presence of some vegetation providing partial screening, contrast would be moderate. More light greens would be visible during the regrowth of vegetation following construction. Because the cleared right-of-way would create moderate contrast and be somewhat noticeable for sensitive viewers, visual impacts for KOP 18 during operation would be moderate.

## **Mitigation Measures**

To minimize long-term or permanent visual impacts associated with right-of-way clearing and temporary impacts during the construction phase, AGDC would implement the Project Revegetation Plan.

### S-2.1.4.27 KOP 19: Tanana River (south)

#### **Affected Visual Environment**

KOP 19 (see table S-2-28) is just south of the Tanana River crossing north of the town of Nenana, looking south toward the river. The George Parks Highway Scenic Byway CPP identifies this area with a low to moderate scenic value with a higher capacity to absorb visual changes (ADNR, 2008a). Recreationists traveling on the Parks Highway, residents of the community of Nenana, and others using the Tanana River experience the view from KOP 19. Vegetation next to the Parks Highway is low but dense with limited visibility of the river. Tall conifers are present on the opposite riverbank. Mountains were not visible from the KOP at the time of the field visit, but this took place on a rainy, low-visibility day. The landform is flat and horizontal, with a gentle slope down toward the smooth, gray Tanana River.

## **Project Activities Generating Impacts**

KOP 19 is a view west from the Parks Highway. AGDC would construct the proposed Nenana pipe storage yard about 0.9 mile south of this KOP. The Mainline Pipeline would be about 0.1 mile west of this location, below the Tanana River, and not visible from KOP 19.

TABLE S-2-28				
	KOP 19: Ta	nana River (north)		
KOP: 19		Date: 8/26/15		
Visual Resource Inventory Class	s: IV			
Location: Northing 7166372.408	, Easting 685985.229			
Distance from proposed activity:	about 0.9 mile from the pipe	storage yard, about 0.1 mile fror	n the Mainline Pipeline	
Approximate Milepost: 473				
Ecoregion/Subregion: Tanana-k	úskokwim Lowland			
Scenic Quality Classification: A Overall Sensitivity Rating: H				
Landscape Description				
Landform/Water	Vegetation		Structure	
Form: flat, gentle slope; horizontal	Form: low strips in foregroup background	Form: low strips in foreground and background; angular background		
Line: horizontal	Line: regular, soft foregrou	Line: horizontal, curve, geometric		
Color: brown, gray	Color: light green foreground, dark green background, seasonal yellow, red		Color: grays	
Texture: smooth	Texture: smooth foregroun	d; medium-coarse background	Texture: smooth	

## **Visual Impacts During Construction**

During construction, there is the potential for weak contrast of landform with the introduction of flat forms, horizontal lines, brown and gray colors, and smooth textures. Vegetation removal would introduce linear forms, irregular lines, and patchy textures. The contrast in form, line, color, and texture for vegetation would be weak. Contrast for structures would include the presence of machinery, materials, and equipment that add vertical, horizontal, and geometric forms; vertical and horizontal lines; tan, brown, and yellow colors; and smooth to rough textures. The contrast for form and line would be moderate, while the contrast for color and texture would be weak. Construction would occur for less than 2 years in this location, and visual impacts for KOP 19 during construction would be low.

## **Visual Impacts During Operation**

Potential contrast for landform would be weak, consisting of the introduction of flat forms, horizontal lines, brown and gray colors, and smooth textures. Vegetation removal would introduce linear forms, irregular lines, and patchy textures. More light greens would be visible as vegetation grows back following clearing. The contrast in form, line, color, and texture would be weak in the long term and there would be no long-term contrast in structure. Because the cleared Mainline Pipeline right-of-way and pipe storage yard would produce weak contrast, visual impacts for KOP 19 during operation would be low.

## **Mitigation Measures**

To minimize long-term or permanent visual impacts associated with right-of-way clearing and temporary impacts during the construction phase, AGDC would implement the Project Revegetation Plan.

## S-2.1.4.28 KOP 20: Tanana River (north)

#### Affected Visual Environment

KOP 20 (see table S-2-29) provides a view of the Tanana River north of the Parks Highway Bridge, looking west to the river. The George Parks Highway Scenic Byway CPP identifies this area with a low to moderate scenic value, with a higher capacity to absorb visual changes (ADNR, 2008a). Recreationists traveling on the Parks Highway, residents of the community of Nenana, and others using the Tanana River experience this view. The river is flat, horizontal, flowing, and gray with brightly colored green vegetation on both banks. Vegetation has seasonal yellows and reds. Tall, dark conifers form a dense screen on the opposite bank.

#### **Project Activities Generating Impacts**

KOP 20 is a view south from the Parks Highway. The Tanana River crossing would be constructed adjacent to this KOP via DMT.

### **Visual Impacts During Construction**

Contrast for the landform and water would include flat forms, horizontal lines, brown and gray colors, and smooth textures at the DMT sites, and would be weak to moderate. The cleared Mainline Pipeline right-of-way (outside the DMT crossing) would introduce linear forms, straight lines, and patchy textures for vegetation. Regrowth of vegetation following construction would result in an increase in light green colors. Because similar elements already dominate the landscape, the overall anticipated contrast is weak; therefore, contrast would be weak and visual impacts for KOP 20 would be low during construction.

TABLE S-2-29			
к	OP 20: Tana	ına River (south)	
KOP: 20		Date: 8/26/15	
Visual Resource Inventory Class: IV			
Location: Northing 7166599.889, Easting 685865.2	221		
Distance from proposed activity: adjacent to the Ta	anana River o	crossing; adjacent to the Parks Highway crossing	
Approximate Milepost: 473			
Ecoregion/Subregion: Tanana-Kuskokwim Lowland	d		
Scenic Quality Classification: A	Overall Sensitivity Rating: H		
Landscape Description			
Landform/Water	Vegetation		Structure
Form: flat, gentle slope; horizontal, flowing water	Form: low strips in the foreground; angular background Form: NA		Form: NA
Line: horizontal, curving	Line: regul	ar, soft foreground; angular background	Line: NA
Color: brown, gray	Color: light green foreground; dark green background; Color: NA seasonal yellow and red		
Texture: smooth	Texture: smooth foreground, medium-coarse background		
NA = Not applicable			

## **Visual Impacts During Operation**

Contrast for landform and water would include flat forms, horizontal lines, brown and gray colors, and smooth textures, and would be weak to moderate. The cleared Mainline Pipeline right-of-way (outside the DMT) would introduce linear forms, straight lines, and patchy textures for vegetation. Regrowth of vegetation following construction would result in an increase in light green colors. Because similar elements already dominate the landscape, the overall anticipated contrast would be weak; therefore, visual impacts for KOP 20 would be low during operation.

### **Mitigation Measures**

To minimize long-term or permanent visual impacts associated with right-of-way clearing and temporary impacts during the construction phase, AGDC would implement the Project Revegetation Plan.

## S-2.1.4.29 KOP 21: Nenana City School (view northwest)

#### **Affected Visual Environment**

KOP 21 (see table S-2-30) is on the corner of Second Ave and C Street in front of Nenana City School, looking northwest. Employees, students, and parents at the Nenana City School primarily experience this view. Residential structures dominate the foreground, with views of moderate rolling hills visible in the background. The grays and browns of the land, road, and structures contrast with the light greens, seasonal yellows, and darker greens in the background.

#### **Project Activities Generating Impacts**

Construction of the Mainline Pipeline would be about 0.9 mile northwest of the school. Due to the distance between the KOP and the Project location, as well as the existing surrounding environment of buildings and vegetation, the Mainline Pipeline would not be visible from this KOP in the short or long term. There is no contrast anticipated for landform, water, vegetation, or structures.

TABLE S-2-30			
	KOP 21: Nenana City S	chool (view northwest)	
KOP: 21		Date: 8/26/15	
Visual Resource Inventory Class: IV			
Location: Northing 7165450.012, Eastin	g 687310.562		
Distance from proposed activity: about 0	0.9 mile from Mainline Pip	peline construction	
Approximate Milepost: 474			
Ecoregion/Subregion: Tanana-Kuskokw	im Lowland		
Scenic Quality Classification: C Overall Sensitivity Rating: M			ng: M
Landscape Description			
Landform/Water	Vegetation		Structure
Form: flat foreground; moderate, rolling background	Form: soft foreground; background	regular, solid	Form: rectangular, geometric, regular in form, contrasting with vegetation
Line: horizontal foreground; flowing, curving, soft background	Line: vertical, irregular foreground; continuous background Line: horizontal, vertical, smooth, geometric		Line: horizontal, vertical, smooth, geometric
Color: gray, brown	Color: light green foreground, dark green background, seasonal yellow		Color: tan, green, brown
Texture: smooth	Texture: glossy, smooth, clumped foreground; medium-rough, continuous background  Texture: smooth, patchy		Texture: smooth, patchy

Because the Project would not be visible from this location, it would not produce any noticeable contrast and would therefore have no visual impacts on KOP 21 during construction or operation.

## **Mitigation Measures**

AGDC has not proposed any mitigation for this KOP.

#### S-2.1.4.30 KOP 22: Nenana City School (view southwest)

### **Affected Visual Environment**

KOP 22 (see table S-2-31) is on the corner of Second Ave and C Street in front of Nenana City School looking southwest. Employees, students, and parents at the Nenana City School primarily experience this view. The view in the foreground is dominated by the rectangular form of a basketball court and medium rough textures of a chain-link fence. The browns, grays, blue, and whites of the land, playground structures, and residences contrast with the light greens of the vegetation. The land is flat and horizontal, with some verticals created by the playground structures and vegetation.

#### **Project Activities Generating Impacts**

AGDC has proposed construction of the Nenana Pipe Storage Yard about 0.5 mile southwest of the school. Due to the distance and the surrounding existing environment of buildings and vegetation, the Nenana Pipe Storage Yard would not be visible from KOP 22 in the short or long term. There is no contrast anticipated for landform, water, vegetation, or structure.

TABLE S-2-31				
	KOP 22: Nenana City School (view southwest)			
KOP: 22 Date: 8/26/15			Date: 8/26/15	
Visual Resource Inver	itory Class: IV			
Location: Northing 716	55451.834, Easting 687311.733			
Distance from propose	ed activity: about 0.5 mile from the pip	e stora	age yard	
Approximate Milepost:	474			
Ecoregion/Subregion:	Tanana-Kuskokwim Lowland			
Scenic Quality Classification: C Overall Sensitivity Rating: M				
Landscape Description				
Landform/Water	Vegetation	Structure		
Form: flat	Form: soft	Form: vertical, rectangles, geometric, cylindrical		
Line: horizontal, soft	Line: vertical, irregular, soft	Line: vertical, horizontal, geometric		
Color: brown	Color: light green	Color: gray, brown, blue, white		
Texture: smooth	Texture: smooth; clumped (trees)	Textu	re: smooth, rough (poles), ordered, medium-rough (fence)	

Because the Project would not be visible from this location, it would not produce any noticeable contrast and would therefore have no visual impacts on KOP 22 during construction or operation.

### **Mitigation Measures**

AGDC has not proposed any mitigation for this KOP.

### **S-2.1.4.31 KOP 23: Dry Creek Site**

### **Affected Visual Environment**

AGDC did not survey KOP 23 (see table S-2-32), located at the Dry Creek Site near MP 525 along the Mainline Pipeline, during the field visits for the Project due to lack of accessibility. The location of KOP 23 is provided in appendix S-1.

TABLE S-2-32
KOP 23: Dry Creek Site
KOP: 23
Visual Resource Inventory Class: II
Location: Northing 7595661.9, Easting 397845.8
Distance from proposed activity: about 0.9 mile from the Mainline Pipeline
Approximate Milepost: 525
Ecoregion/Subregion: Alaska Range

## S-2.1.4.32 KOP 24: Tri-Valley School (view southwest)

### **Affected Visual Environment**

KOP 24 (see table S-2-33) is at the south side of Tri-Valley School in a gravel parking lot, looking to the west/southwest. Employees, students, and visitors of the Tri-Valley School primarily experience this view. Dense foliage consisting of light green grasses, green shrubs, and dark green conifers borders the parking lot. There are limited mountain views in some directions but trees mostly block these views.

TABLE S-2-33				
	KOP 24: Tri-Valley Sc	hool (view southwest)		
KOP: 24		Date: 8/25/15		
Visual Resource Inventory Class: III				
Location: Northing 7088881.44, Easting	695737.871			
Distance from proposed activity: about 2	2.0 miles from the Mainlir	ne Pipeline		
Approximate Milepost: 525				
Ecoregion/Subregion: Northern Foothills	3			
Scenic Quality Classification: C Overall Sensitivity Rating: M				
Landscape Description	Landscape Description			
Landform/Water	Vegetation		Structure	
Form: flat, horizontal, regular	Form: regular, linear		Form: flat, horizontal	
Line: horizontal, continuous, smooth	Line: regular, continuous, vertical		Line: regular, horizontal	
Color: brown	Color: light to dark green, seasonal yellows and reds		Color: brown gray	
Texture: even	Texture: dense, coarse		Texture: medium, smooth	

## **Project Activities Generating Impacts**

AGDC would construct the Mainline Pipeline about 2.0 miles west of the school. Due to the distance and thick vegetation between the school and the Project, the Mainline Pipeline would not be visible from this location in the short or long term. There is no contrast anticipated for landform, water, vegetation, or structure.

## **Visual Impacts During Construction and Operation**

Because the Project would not be visible from this location, it would not produce any noticeable contrast and would therefore have no visual impacts for KOP 24 during construction or operation.

#### **Mitigation Measures**

AGDC has not proposed any mitigation for this KOP.

### S-2.1.4.33 KOP 25: Tri-Valley School (view south)

### **Affected Visual Environment**

KOP 25 (see table S-2-34) is at the south side of Tri-Valley School in a gravel parking lot looking south. Employees, students, and visitors of the Tri-Valley School primarily experience the view. Dense foliage consisting of light green grasses, green shrubs, and dark green conifers borders the parking lot. There are limited mountain views in some directions but trees mostly block the views.

# **Project Activities Generating Impacts**

AGDC would construct the Mainline Pipeline about 2.0 miles west of the school. Due to the distance and thick vegetation between the school and proposed Mainline Pipeline, the Project would not be visible from this location in the short or long term. There is no contrast anticipated for landform, water, vegetation, or structure.

TABLE S-2-34					
КОР	KOP 25: Tri-Valley School (view south)				
KOP: 25		Date: 8/25/15			
Visual Resource Inventory Class: III					
Location: Northing 7088875.384, Easting 695743.	.916				
Distance from proposed activity: about 0.7 mile no	orth of a materia	al site,1.4 miles north of Healy Camp an	d pipe storage yard		
Approximate Milepost: 525					
Ecoregion/Subregion: Northern Foothills					
cenic Quality Classification: C Overall Sensitivity Rating: M					
Landscape Description					
Landform/Water	Vegetation Structure				
Form: flat, rough, regular (mountains not visible above trees)	Form: numerous, vertical strips Form: NA				
Line: straight, continuous, horizontal	Line: regular, continuous, vertical, horizontal Line: NA				
Color: gray	Color: light to dark green; yellow, brown seasonally				
Texture: medium-coarse, continuous	Texture: Not specified Texture: NA				
NA = Not applicable					

Because the Project would not be visible from this location, it would not produce any noticeable contrast and would therefore have no visual impacts on KOP 24 during construction or operation.

## **Mitigation Measures**

AGDC has not proposed any mitigation for this KOP.

#### S-2.1.4.34 KOP 26: Otto Lake

#### **Affected Visual Environment**

KOP 26 (see table S-2-35) is on the north shore of Otto Lake, looking south (see figure S-2-8a). The foreground is a grassy park with scattered conifers. Recreationists using the lake, including travelers on the Parks Highway, primarily experience this view. The George Parks Highway Scenic Byway CPP identifies this area along the highway with a high scenic value (ADNR, 2008a). Shrubs and tall grasses are along the lakeshore. Dense, deciduous trees and conifers are present on all sides of the lake except the south side. On the south side, vegetation is low and grassy with a few scattered conifers. Mountains slope up from the south lakeshore. The closer mountains are smoother, with some rugged outcrops; the far mountain range is jagged with scattered, rough vegetation.

## **Project Activities Generating Impacts**

KOP 26 is a view looking south from the north shore of Otto Lake. AGDC would construct the Mainline Pipeline about 1.2 miles to the south across Otto Lake. The simulation for KOP 26 depicts the Mainline Pipeline right-of-way following construction (see figure S-2-8b).

	TABLE	S-2-35	
1	KOP 26: (	Otto Lake	
KOP: 26		Date: 8/25/15	
Visual Resource Inventory Class: II			
Location: Northing 7086132.488, Easting 694827.42			
Distance from proposed activity: about 1.2 miles from N	/lainline P	ipeline construction	
Approximate Milepost: 528	•		
Ecoregion/Subregion: Northern Foothills			
Scenic Quality Classification: A	Overall Sensitivity Rating: M		
Landscape Description			
Landform/Water	Vegeta	ation	Structure
Form: horizontal foreground and water; jagged, rugged, bold background	Form: rough strips in foreground; sparse background Form: f		Form: NA
Line: horizontal, flowing foreground; jagged, rugged, broken background	Line: soft, irregular foreground; hard, broken, angular Line: NA background		
Color: brown foreground; blue, white, brown background	Color: light to dark green foreground; dark green background; seasonal yellow and brown		Color: NA
Texture: medium-smooth foreground; rough, non- directional background	Texture: uniform, dense foreground; scattered, rough background  Texture: NA		
NA = Not applicable	•		

#### **Visual Impacts During Construction**

As shown on figure S-2-8b, clearing vegetation and grading the Mainline Pipeline right-of-way would introduce a strong horizontal line across the slope south of the lake with visible cut and fill slopes. Changes to landform would be noticeable as brown and gray colors of the cut and fill slopes, and contrast for landform would be strong. Vegetation clearing would expose bare soils and introduce linear, horizontal forms and lines. Due to the construction of the Mainline Pipeline, rough textures would result, creating a visible line across the hillside during construction. Views of equipment, vehicles, and materials during construction would produce strong contrast for structures; however, the contrast for structures would be temporary, lasting less than 2 years. Overall, contrast during construction would be strong due to noticeable changes to the vegetation and landform; therefore, Project construction would have moderate visual impacts on KOP 26.

### **Visual Impacts During Operation**

As depicted on figure S-2-8c, cleared vegetation and grading would introduce a strong horizontal line across the slope south of the lake with visible cut and fill slopes. Changes to landform would be noticeable as brown and gray colors of the cut and fill slopes and contrast for landform would be strong. Vegetation removal would introduce strong linear, horizontal forms and lines. More light greens could be visible as vegetation grows back following construction. The Mainline Pipeline would appear as a noticeable horizontal line across the hillside during operation. Overall, contrast during operation would be strong due to noticeable changes to the vegetation and landform; therefore, Project operation would have moderate visual impacts on KOP 26.

## **Mitigation Measures**

To minimize long-term or permanent visual impacts associated with right-of-way clearing and temporary impacts during the construction phase, AGDC would implement the Project Revegetation Plan. Additionally, construction in this area would occur during winter to minimize impacts on tourists and recreationists.

### **S-2.1.4.35 KOP 27: Otto Lake Road**

#### **Affected Visual Environment**

KOP 27 (see table S-2-36) is on the north shore of Otto Lake on Otto Lake Road. The view from KOP 27 would be experienced by recreationists and others traveling to Otto Lake from the Parks Highway. The George Parks Highway Scenic Byway CPP identifies this area along the highway with a high scenic value (ADNR, 2008a). Conifers frame the view to the Parks Highway, and dark, rugged peaks are visible in the background. Colors range from dark green to light green with seasonal yellow. The flat road dominates the view with adjacent trees and mountains providing layers of contrasting forms and textures.

TABLE S-2-36			
	KOP 27: Otto	Lake Road	
KOP: 27		Date: 8/25/15	
Visual Resource Inventory Class: II			
Location: Northing 7086197.487, Easting	694828.848		
Distance from proposed activity: about 0.	7 mile from Healy Camp	and the pipe storage	yard
Approximate Milepost: 528			
Ecoregion/Subregion: Northern Foothills			
Scenic Quality Classification: B		Overall Sensitivity R	ating: M
Landscape Description			
Landform/Water	Vegetation	Vegetation Structure	
Form: flat, horizontal, rolling (distant)	Form: vertical, regula	ar	Form: flat, horizontal
Line: horizontal, flat, straight	Line: vertical, parallel		Line: straight, horizontal
Color: browns, dark purple	Color: light to dark greens		Color: gray, black
Texture: medium-rough	Texture: dense, orde	red	Texture: uniform, directional, matte

### **Project Activities Generating Impacts**

AGDC would construct a pipe storage yard and work camp about 0.7 mile east of this KOP. Due to the distance, intervening terrain, and dense vegetation, the proposed pipe storage yard and work camp would not be visible from this location. Therefore, there is no contrast anticipated to this view.

## **Visual Impacts During Construction and Operation**

Because the Project would not be visible from this location, it would not produce any noticeable contrast and would therefore have no visual impacts on KOP 27 during construction or operation.

## **Mitigation Measures**

AGDC has not proposed any mitigation for this KOP.

# S-2.1.4.36 KOP J: Denali RV Park and Motel

#### **Affected Visual Environment**

KOP J (see table S-2-37) is at the entrance of the Denali RV Park and Motel, looking toward the proposed material site that would be across the Parks Highway (see figure S-2-9a). The highway is flat and straight at this location with dense vegetation immediately next to the road on both sides, except for the stretch of road in front of the Denali RV Park and Motel. The vegetation consists primarily of deciduous undergrowth and black spruce. Rugged mountains make up the background with vegetation covering most

slopes with more exposed rock at the mountain peaks. Some small patches of snow were visible at the mountaintops during the July 2016 field visit.

TABLE S-2-37			
кор ј	: Denali R	V Park and Motel	
KOP: J		Date: 6/28/16	
Visual Resource Inventory Class: II			
Location: Northing 7078602.9, Easting 402225.3			
Distance from proposed activity: less than 0.1 mile fro	m a materi	al site, 0.2 mile from the Mainline Pipeli	ine
Approximate Milepost: 530			
Ecoregion/Subregion: Alaska Range			
Scenic Quality Classification: B	Overall Sensitivity Rating: M		
Landscape Description			
Landform/Water	Vegetation Structure		Structure
Form: horizontal foreground; rugged, angular background	Form: numerous, continuous foreground; Form: f		Form: flat
Line: horizontal foreground; diagonal, jagged background	Line: horizontal strip at foreground; Line: horizontal continuous, patchy background		Line: horizontal
Color: gray, tan foreground; light to dark brown background	Color: light green foreground, light to dark green background Color: gray, black, yellow, white		Color: gray, black, yellow, white
Texture: smooth foreground, rough background	Texture:	smooth foreground and background	Texture: smooth

### **Project Activities Generating Impacts**

KOP J is along the Parks Highway at the Denali RV Park and Motel, less than 0.1 mile west of a proposed material site and 0.2 mile east of the Mainline Pipeline. The Mainline Pipeline right-of-way would not be visible due to screening by existing vegetation at this location. The simulation for KOP J depicts the material site that would be next to the Parks Highway across the road (southeast) from this KOP.

## **Visual Impacts During Construction**

As shown on figure S-2-9b, the material site would introduce rounded and rectilinear forms, horizontal and curved lines, brown and gray colors of exposed earth, and smooth to coarse textures. The material site would introduce strong contrast to the landform, which is naturally flat and horizontal in this location. Vegetation removal would create linear forms and irregular lines, with light greens and patchy textures compared to the existing vegetation. The patchy texture created in vegetation would be a strong contrast to the existing dense vegetation. Machinery and equipment would introduce geometric and linear forms, vertical and horizontal lines, smooth textures, and yellow, brown, and gray colors. These elements would create strong contrast in structure. Overall, the material site would introduce strong contrast for landform, vegetation, and structures during construction. Although construction would take less than 2 years in this area, the cleared material site would create strong contrast and be highly noticeable for sensitive viewers. Therefore, visual impacts for KOP J during construction would be high.

## **Visual Impacts During Operation**

The material site would remain noticeable in immediate foreground views from the Denali RV Park and Motel and scenic highway after completion of construction in this area, due to the time required for vegetation regrowth (see figure S-2-9c). The material site would introduce rounded and rectilinear forms, horizontal and curved lines, brown and gray colors of exposed earth, and smooth to coarse textures. Because the landform is naturally flat and horizontal in this location, the material site would introduce strong contrast to the landform during operation. Vegetation removal would create linear forms and

irregular lines, with light greens and patchy textures compared to the existing vegetation. Revegetation would take time, and the contrast between existing and new vegetation could be noticeable for decades. The patchy texture created in vegetation would be a strong contrast to the existing dense vegetation. Overall, the material site would introduce strong contrast for the landform and vegetation during operation. Because the cleared material site would create strong contrast and be highly noticeable for sensitive viewers, KOP J would have high visual impacts during operation.

## **Mitigation Measures**

To minimize visual impacts associated with the material sites, AGDC would locate the material site entry at an angle to the road, maintain screening vegetation between the highway and site, limit vegetation clearing to the approved footprint, screen equipment and vehicles from view from the highway, and implement the Project Revegetation Plan. AGDC would minimize the use of smooth, reflective surfaces and use non-contrasting colors in the facility design. AGDC would use the minimum lighting required for safety and security for nighttime, orient all permanent lighting downward, shield it to eliminate off-site light spill, and use timers or motion-activated sensors for all lighting.

## S-2.1.4.37 KOP 28: Nenana River Bridge

#### **Affected Visual Environment**

KOP 28 (see table S-2-38) provides a view of the Nenana River crossing from the existing Nenana River Bridge (see figure S-2-10a). The foreground view looks out over the gorge with the river below. Recreationists, tourists, and others traveling along the highway and railroad, and recreationists boating, fishing, or otherwise using the river, experience the view in this area. The river is prominent and flowing, bounded by the rougher textures on the steep slopes. The George Parks Highway Scenic Byway CPP identifies this area with a high scenic value (ADNR, 2008a). Vegetation ranges from light green and gold on the riverbanks to rough, dark green conifers on the slopes of the canyon and the moderately sloped mountains in the background.

TABLE S-2-38					
l l	KOP 28: Nena	na River Bridge			
KOP: 28 Date: 8/25/15					
Visual Resource Inventory Class: I					
Location: Northing 7081419.048, Easting 700197.	418				
Distance from proposed activity: about 0.1 mile from	om the Mainline	e Pipeline and aerial pipe bridge at the	e Nenana River		
Approximate Milepost: 532					
Ecoregion/Subregion: Alaska Range					
Scenic Quality Classification: A	Overall Sensitivity Rating: H				
Landscape Description	Landscape Description				
Landform/Water	Vegetation Structure				
Form: complex, triangular, steep, rounded, vertical; water curving and prominent	Form: verticals, rough, dense Form: smooth, horizonta linear, vertical				
Line: flowing, undulating, angular	Line: conifers and birches create strong verticals; background is more even and continuous				
Color: brown, gray, tan	Color: light to dark green, seasonal yellow Color: gray		Color: gray		
Texture: medium-smooth landform, rough water	Texture: rou	gh	Texture: smooth		

## **Project Activities Generating Impacts**

KOP 28 is the view looking south from the Parks Highway where it crosses over the Nenana River and Alaska Railroad. The Mainline Pipeline would cross the Nenana River on an aerial bridge crossing. The simulation for KOP 28 depicts the view following construction of the proposed Nenana River crossing.

### **Visual Impacts During Construction**

Construction of the proposed aerial bridge crossing of the Mainline Pipeline would introduce areas of vegetation clearing, some landform changes, and a new structure to views in this area (see figure S-2-10b). Vegetation removal at both ends of the crossing would create geometric and irregular lines and forms that would contrast with the existing vegetation patterns. Additionally, it would create contrasting patchy textures and expose rock and bare earth with gray and brown colors that would contrast with the existing colors in the landscape. Cleared vegetation below the Mainline Pipeline crossing would create a strong, straight line that would contrast strongly with the existing vegetation patterns. Changes to landform due to excavation and grading at both ends of the river crossing would produce moderate contrast. Construction activities and the presence of construction equipment and materials would introduce new structures into the views that would be noticeable and produce strong contrast. The introduction of the new aerial crossing structure would partially block views and produce strong contrast in form, line, color, and texture. The presence of the existing highway bridge would partially, but not completely, offset the strong contrast due to difference in appearance between the structures. Therefore, visual impacts for KOP 28 during construction would be moderate.

## **Visual Impacts During Operation**

Introduction of the proposed aerial Mainline Pipeline crossing would create areas of vegetation clearing, some landform changes, and a new structure to the view in this area (see figure S-2-10c). Vegetation removal at both ends of the crossing would create geometric and irregular lines and forms that would contrast with the existing vegetation patterns. Clearing would also create contrasting patchy textures and expose rock and bare earth with gray and brown colors that would contrast with the existing colors in the landscape. Cleared vegetation below the Mainline Pipeline crossing would create a strong, straight line that would contrast strongly with the existing vegetation patterns. Light greens would become more dominant following construction as vegetation grows back. Changes to landform due to excavation and grading at both ends of the crossing structure would produce moderate contrast. Introduction of the new aerial crossing structure would partially block views and produce strong contrast in form, line, color, and texture with its geometric and cylindrical forms, straight horizontal lines, metallic finish, and smooth texture. The shiny metallic finish would create glare that would attract viewers' attention and further increase the strong contrast of the structure. The presence of the existing highway bridge nearby would partially, but not completely, offset the strong contrast due to the difference in appearance between the structures. Overall, operation of the aerial Mainline Pipeline crossing at this location would result in strong contrast in this highly scenic area. Because the aerial Mainline Pipeline crossing would create strong contrast and be highly noticeable for sensitive viewers, KOP 28 would have high visual impacts during operation.

## **Mitigation Measures**

To reduce visual impacts of the aerial Mainline Pipeline crossing, AGDC would locate the aerial Mainline Pipeline bridge close to the highway bridge to help visually blend the two structures. AGDC would construct a pedestrian walkway across the pipe bridge to conceal the pipe. AGDC additionally would use dark colors and dull finishes on the aerial bridge, minimize vegetation clearing at both ends and below

the aerial bridge, and implement the Project Revegetation Plan to restore the original contours and restore vegetation in the area following construction.

## S-2.1.4.38 KOP 29: Fox Creek Crossing

KOP 29 (see table S-2-39) is the crossing over Fox Creek. The land rises steeply above the small, rapidly flowing creek. Landforms are rugged verticals in the narrow canyon. Conifers are rough with patchy areas. Cultural modifications are present in the form of the roadway and bridge guardrail but do not dominate the view. AGDC has determined that the crossing method for Fox Creek would be different from the aerial crossing evaluated in its simulations and visual impact analysis. This section will be updated once AGDC provides revised simulations or analysis.

TABLE S-2-39			
	KOP 29: Fox	Creek Crossing	
KOP: 29		Date: 6/28/16	
Visual Resource Inventory Class: I			
Location: Northing 7078975.60, Easting 70152	29.12		
Distance from proposed activity: about 0.1 mil	e from the Mainlir	ne Pipeline	
Approximate Milepost: 534			
Ecoregion/Subregion: Alaska Range			
Scenic Quality Classification: A		Overall Sensitivity Rating: H	1
Landscape Description			
Landform/Water	Vegetation		Structure
Form: rugged, steep, high, jagged, irregular; narrow water	Form: smooth in vertical, rough	n foreground, background	Form: horizontals punctuated by verticals
Line: bold, angular, vertical, rugged; flowing water	Line: vertical, broken, rugged; soft background		Line: continuous, horizontal, geometric
Color: browns, reds; gray, white, tan, water	Color: light to dark green		Color: gray
Texture: coarse, patchy, rough land; rough, glossy water	Texture: rough, coarse, patchy  Texture: smooth, continuous		Texture: smooth, continuous

## S-2.1.4.39 KOP K: McKinley Chalet Resort

### **Affected Visual Environment**

KOP K (see table S-2-40) is at the entrance of the front parking lot of the McKinley Chalet Resort (see figure S-2-11a). The McKinley Chalet Resort is the northernmost in a cluster of hotels on the Parks Highway near the entrance to Denali National Park and Preserve (DNPP). Recreationists, tourists, and others traveling on the Parks Highway, as well as tourists staying at hotels, shopping, and eating at developed tourism facilities near the entrance to the DNPP, would primarily experience this view. In this location, the highway slopes gradually down as it heads into the steep-sided river valley to the north. The mountains of the Alaska Range are considered distinctive and scenic. The slopes along the east side of the roadway are steep with exposed rock in grays, tans, and browns. Dense vegetation covers areas where the slope is less steep and consists of low shrub-like trees, vertical lines of black spruce, and deciduous trees with lighter-colored trunks.

TABLE S-2-40				
	KOP K: McKinley	Chalet Resort		
KOP: K	D	ate: 6/28/16		
Visual Resource Inventory Class: II				
Location: Northing 7070576.8, Easting 406258	3.6			
Distance from proposed activity: less than 0.1	mile from the Mainlin	ne Pipeline		
Approximate Milepost: 536				
Ecoregion/Subregion: Alaska Range				
Scenic Quality Classification: B	0	verall Sensitivity Rating: H		
Landscape Description				
Landform/Water	Vegetation		Structure	
Form: Gently sloping foreground; steep, angular middleground and background		ground, curving strip at tinuous background	Form: smooth, curving horizontal, occasional verticals	
Line: horizontal, sloping foreground; diagonal to vertical middleground and background	Line: horizontal foreground, vertical and mottled middleground and background			
Color: gray, tan, brown	Color: light green foreground, light to dark green middleground and background Color: gray, brow posts)		Color: gray, brown, red (light posts)	
Texture: smooth foreground; rough, rugged, coarse middleground and background	Texture: smooth fo smooth to coarse n	oreground and background, middleground	Texture: smooth	

### **Project Activities Generating Impacts**

KOP K is less than 0.1 mile from the proposed Mainline Pipeline where the pipeline would be along the northern side of the highway turning upslope. The KOP K simulation depicts the Mainline Pipeline near the highway and up the slope to the right (east) of the viewer.

### **Visual Impacts During Construction**

The contrast introduced for the landform would be strong due to excavation and grading, which would create linear and geometric forms and straight lines and expose bare soil and rock containing lighter colors and coarse texture (see figure S-2-11b). Vegetation removal would also expose bare soil and rock with lighter colors and produce geometric forms and straight lines in the landscape. Contrast for vegetation would be moderate to strong. Machinery, equipment, vehicles, and construction activities would introduce geometric and linear forms, vertical and horizontal lines, smooth textures, and yellow, brown, and gray colors in views from the scenic highway. Contrast for structures would be strong. Due to the Mainline Pipeline's close proximity to the highway, these elements would be highly noticeable. Therefore, visual impacts for KOP K during construction would be high.

## **Visual Impacts During Operation**

The contrast introduced for landform alterations would be moderate to strong due to the linear and geometric forms and straight lines (see figure S-2-11c). Cleared vegetation would be noticeable with lighter colors and straight lines in the landscape. Light greens and rough textures introduced by regrowth would create moderate contrast. As vegetation grows back, contrast in form, color, and texture would be reduced in the long term. Contrast for structures would be weak to nonexistent because the Mainline Pipeline would be underground and no aboveground structures would be visible from this KOP. Overall, contrast would be moderate for views from KOP K. For these reasons, visual impacts for KOP K during operation would be moderate.

## **Mitigation Measures**

To minimize long-term or permanent visual impacts associated with right-of-way clearing and temporary impacts during the construction phase, AGDC would implement the Project Revegetation Plan to return the area to its original contours and restore vegetation in the area.

### S-2.1.4.40 KOP L: Denali Princess Wilderness Lodge

#### **Affected Visual Environment**

KOP L (see table S-2-41) is at the entrance to the Denali Princess Wilderness Lodge, looking east at the ridge. The Denali Princess Wilderness Lodge is in the middle of a cluster of hotels on the Parks Highway near the entrance to the DNPP. Tourists staying at the hotels and eating at the restaurants near the entrance to the DNPP primarily experience this view. Motorists on the Parks Highway also experience the view. The highway curves slightly downward in both directions. A row of shops, restaurants, and hotels is on the opposite side of the road, all with wood façades and green metal roofs.

TABLE S-2-41					
P	(OP L: Denali Prince:	ss Wilderness Lodge	•		
KOP: L		Date: 6/28/16			
Visual Resource Inventory Class: II					
Location: Northing 7070177.6, Easting 593	770.6				
Distance from proposed activity: 0.2 mile fr	om the Mainline Pipeli	ne			
Approximate Milepost: 536					
Ecoregion/Subregion: Alaska Range					
Scenic Quality Classification: B	Scenic Quality Classification: B Overall Sensitivity Rating: H				
Landscape Description					
Landform/Water	Vegetation		Structure		
Form: flat foreground; rolling to rugged middleground; steep, jagged background	Form: sparse foreground; numerous, regular middleground and background		Form: flat, curved road; geometric, angular buildings		
Line: horizontal foreground, angular middleground and background	Line: vertical background and middleground		Line: horizontal road; diagonal, vertical, horizontal buildings		
Color: gray, tan foreground and middleground	Color: light green foreground, light to dark green middleground and background		Color: gray, black road; brown, green white buildings		
Texture: coarse to smooth foreground; rugged, coarse middleground and background			Texture: smooth road; smooth buildings with some texture from signs/fonts		

### **Project Activities Generating Impacts**

This KOP is in the parking lot between the Parks Highway and the Denali Princess Wilderness Lodge about 0.2 mile southwest of the Mainline Pipeline. Due to intervening topography and dense vegetation, the Mainline Pipeline would not be visible from this location in the short or long term. There is no contrast anticipated to landform, water, vegetation, or structure.

## **Visual Impacts During Construction and Operation**

Because the Project would not be visible from this location, it would not produce any noticeable contrast and would therefore have no visual impacts on KOP L during construction or operation.

## **Mitigation Measures**

## S-2.1.4.41 KOP M: Grande Denali Lodge

#### **Affected Visual Environment**

KOP M (see table S-2-42) is at the Grande Denali Lodge looking up the hill from the parking area and back toward the entrance road. The Grande Denali Lodge is on the southwest side of a cluster of hotels on the Parks Highway near the entrance to the DNPP. The lodge is up a steep slope from the highway and accessed by a road with several switchbacks. Tourists visiting the DNPP and staying at or visiting the Grande Denali Lodge primarily experience this view. There is a steep slope up the east side of the road and down on the west side. A ravine and ridgeline are visible to the southeast above the road. The ravine, while narrow, provides deeper visibility than the steep, rough-faced eastern view from farther north along the parking lot. Vegetation is dense where not deterred by steep, exposed rock faces.

TABLE S-2-42				
	KOP M: Grand Denali Lodge			
KOP: M	KOP: M Date: 6/28/16			
Visual Resource Inventory Class: III				
Location: Northing 7069756.7, Easting 406910	8			
Distance from proposed activity: 0.2 mile from	ne Mainline Pipeline			
Approximate Milepost: 537				
Ecoregion/Subregion: Alaska Range				
Scenic Quality Classification: B	Overall Sensitivity Rating: H			
Landscape Description				
Landform/Water	Vegetation		Structure	
Form: flat foreground, rolling middleground; angular background	Form: diverse, irregular foreground, middleground, and background Form: horizontal, fla		Form: horizontal, flat	
Line: horizontal foreground, curved middleground, angular/diagonal background	Line: solid, continuous foreground, middleground, and background			
Color: tan foreground and middleground, brown background	Color: light to dark green foreground and middleground; background light green speckled with dark green		Color: tan	
Texture: coarse foreground, smooth to coarse middleground, coarse background	Texture: smooth to patchy		Texture: coarse	

### **Project Activities Generating Impacts**

This KOP is in the parking lot near the front entrance of the Grande Denali Hotel, 0.2 mile east of the proposed Mainline Pipeline. Due to intervening topography and dense vegetation, the Mainline Pipeline would not be visible from this location in the short or long term. There is no contrast anticipated for landform, water, vegetation, or structure.

## **Visual Impacts During Construction and Operation**

Because the Project would not be visible from this location, it would not produce any noticeable contrast and would therefore have no visual impacts on KOP M during construction or operation.

## **Mitigation Measures**

### S-2.1.4.42 KOP 30: Denali National Park and Preserve Wilderness Access Center

#### **Affected Visual Environment**

KOP 30 (see table S-2-43) is in front of the DNPP Wilderness Access Center facing the parking lot to the southeast. Recreationists and tourists visiting the Wilderness Center experience this view. The foreground is primarily paved with sections of dirt planted with conifers and deciduous trees (primarily birch). The background is angular and rugged, with solid vegetation that varies from rough to smooth.

TABLE S-2-43					
KOP 30: Denali National Park and Preserve Wilderness Access Center					
KOP: 30 Date: 8/25/15					
Visual Resource Inventory Class: I					
Location: Northing 7074155.346, Easting 702	2468.499				
Distance from proposed activity: about 0.7 m	ile from Mainline Pipeline construction				
Approximate Milepost: 538					
Ecoregion/Subregion: Alaska Range					
Scenic Quality Classification: B Overall Sensitivity Rating: H					
Landscape Description					
Landform/Water	Vegetation	Structure			
Form: flat foreground, angular and rugged background	Form: vertical, patchy foreground; solid background	Form: flat, rectangular, regular, horizontal			
Line: rugged; hard, horizontal foreground	d Line: vertical foreground; irregular to regular, curving background Line: horizontal, continuous, smooth, regular				
Color: brown foreground; brown upper background	Color: light to dark green; yellow, red seasonally	Color: gray, brown			
Texture: smooth to rough	Texture: rough to smooth	Texture: smooth			

### **Project Activities Generating Impacts**

KOP 30 is the view from the entry to the DNPP Wilderness Center. AGDC would construct the Mainline Pipeline about 0.7 mile east of this KOP. The Mainline Pipeline would not be noticeable from the Wilderness Center entrance, but would be noticeable from parts of the parking area and paths and trails in the immediate vicinity of the Wilderness Center.

## **Visual Impacts During Construction**

Vegetation removal and grading would introduce a strong horizontal line across the steep slopes beyond the parking area with visible cut and fill slopes. Changes to landform would be noticeable as brown and gray colors of the cut and fill slopes. Contrast for landform would be strong. Vegetation clearing would expose bare soils and introduce linear, horizontal forms and lines. Rougher textures would be anticipated due to Mainline Pipeline construction, which would create a visible line across the hillside. During construction, views of equipment, vehicles, and materials would produce moderate to strong contrast for structures, but the contrast for structures would be temporary. Overall, contrast during construction would be strong due to noticeable changes to vegetation and landform. While contrast would be strong, construction would take less than 2 years in this area; therefore, visual impacts for KOP 30 during construction would be low.

## **Visual Impacts During Operation**

Vegetation removal and graded areas would introduce a strong horizontal line across the steep slopes beyond the parking area with visible cut and fill slopes. Changes to the landform would be noticeable as brown and gray colors of the cut and fill slopes, creating a strong contrast in landform. Cleared vegetation would introduce strong linear horizontal forms and lines. More light greens would be visible as vegetation grows back following construction. The Mainline Pipeline would appear as a noticeable horizontal line across the steep slopes during operation. Overall, contrast during operation would be strong due to noticeable changes to the vegetation and landform. For these reasons, the visual impact of the Project during operation would be high.

## **Mitigation Measures**

Construction in this area would occur during winter to minimize impacts on tourists and recreationists. AGDC would also minimize vegetation clearing, grading, and the use of cut and fill slopes, and would implement the Project Revegetation Plan to restore disturbed areas.

## S-2.1.4.43 KOP 31: George Parks Highway-Carlo Creek

#### **Affected Visual Environment**

KOP 31 (see table S-2-44) is just north of Carlo Creek looking northeast (see figure S-2-12a). Recreationists and tourists using the DNPP and Denali State Park, other motorists on the Parks Highway, and guests at the nearby restaurants and motels primarily experience this view. The George Parks Highway Scenic Byway CPP identifies this area with a moderate scenic value. The foreground includes foliage and a small, gravel parking lot in front of a café. Foliage quickly graduates from low, light green to dark green (conifers). Angular mountains in background are diagonal in line and covered by dark green, smooth vegetation. Cultural modifications, including the parking lot, restaurant, and motel, dominate the view. The simulation for KOP 31 depicts the view of the proposed material site and pipe storage yard from the Parks Highway during construction.

TABLE S-2-44					
KOP 31: George Parks Highway – Carlo Creek					
KOP: 31	Date: 8/25/15				
Visual Resource Inventory Class: II					
Location: Northing 7055458.545, East	sting 707681.638				
Distance from proposed activity: about	ut 0.3 mile from a material site and 0.5 mile from a pipe	e storage yard			
Approximate Milepost: 553					
Ecoregion/Subregion: Alaska Range					
Scenic Quality Classification: B Overall Sensitivity Rating: H					
Landscape Description					
Landform/Water	Vegetation	Structure			
Form: flat to rolling; angular background	Form: verticals, horizontal, clustered	Form: geometric, ordered, medium, vertical			
Line: horizontal, diagonal	Line: vertical, horizontal, linear	Line: regular horizontals and verticals, geometric			
Color: gray brown, green in the distance	Color: light green foreground; dark green middleground and background; brown, yellow, seasonal	Color: brown, red, tan, gray, white (roof)			
Texture: medium-coarse	Texture: coarse middleground, smooth foreground and background				

## **Project Activities Generating Impacts**

KOP 31 is the view north from near Carlo Creek along the Parks Highway. AGDC is proposing to construct the Mainline Pipeline adjacent to the Parks Highway corridor using conventional cut and fill. A material site would be about 0.3 mile north, and a pipe storage yard would be about 0.5 mile north.

## **Visual Impacts During Construction**

The material site would introduce rounded and rectilinear forms, horizontal and curved lines, brown and gray colors of exposed earth, and smooth to coarse textures. Because the landform is gently sloped and uniform in this location, the material site would introduce strong contrast to the landform (see figure S-2-12b). The Mainline Pipeline adjacent to the road would introduce strong rectilinear forms and straight lines. Vegetation removal would create linear forms and irregular lines, light greens, and patchy textures compared to the existing vegetation. The patchy texture created in the vegetation would be a strong contrast to the existing dense vegetation. Machinery and equipment would introduce geometric and linear forms, vertical and horizontal lines, smooth textures, and yellow, brown, and gray colors. These elements would create strong contrast in structure. Overall, the pipe storage yard, material site, and Mainline Pipeline right-of-way would introduce strong contrast for landform, vegetation, and structures during construction. For these reasons, visual impacts for KOP 31 during construction would be high.

## **Visual Impacts During Operation**

The material site and Mainline Pipeline right-of-way would be noticeable in immediate foreground views from this KOP after completion of construction in this area due to the time required for vegetation regrowth (see figure S-2-12c). The material site would introduce rounded and rectilinear forms, horizontal and curved lines, brown and gray colors of exposed earth, and smooth to coarse textures. The Mainline Pipeline would appear as a strong rectilinear element with long, straight lines. Because the landform is gently sloped and uniform in this location, both the material site and Mainline Pipeline would introduce strong contrast to the landform during operation. Vegetation removal would create linear forms and irregular lines, light greens, and patchy textures compared to the existing vegetation. Although restoration would occur at the pipe storage yard and material site, revegetation would take time and could be noticeable for decades. The linear form of the Mainline Pipeline would also be highly noticeable adjacent to the road. The patchy texture created in the vegetation would be a strong contrast to the existing dense vegetation. Overall, the material site and Mainline Pipeline would introduce strong contrast for the landform and vegetation during operation. Because the cleared material site and Mainline Pipeline would create strong contrast and be highly noticeable for sensitive viewers, visual impacts for KOP 31 during operation would be high.

# **Mitigation Measures**

To reduce visual impacts associated with the material site and pipe storage yard, AGDC would minimize vegetation clearing and implement the Project Revegetation Plan to restore vegetation following construction. During construction, AGDC would use the minimum lighting required for safety and security for nighttime, orient all permanent lighting downward, shield it to eliminate off-site light spill, and (where appropriate) use timers or motion-activated sensors for all lighting.

## S-2.1.4.44 KOP 32: Nenana River (view east)

#### **Affected Visual Environment**

KOP 32 (see table S-2-45) contains the view from the Parks Highway looking east across the Nenana River. Recreationists, tourists, and others on the Parks Highway and recreational users of the Nenana River experience this view. The George Parks Highway Scenic Byway CPP identifies this area with a high scenic value (ADNR, 2008a). The flat, brown, curving plane of the river contrasts with the brighter colors in the foreground and dark green conifers in the middleground. The view encompasses a variety of forms including the strong, continuous band of conifers on the opposite river bank; smooth, rolling hills in the middleground; and rugged, rolling hills in the distance.

TABLE S-2-45					
KOP 32: Nenana River (view east)					
KOP: 32		Date: 8/25/15			
Visual Resource Inventory Class: I					
Location: Northing 7043247.027, Easting	709033.201				
Distance from proposed activity: about 1.	0 mile upstream of the I	Mainline Pipeline river crossing			
Approximate Milepost: 560					
Ecoregion/Subregion: Alaska Range					
Scenic Quality Classification: A Overall Sensitivity Rating: H					
Landscape Description	Landscape Description				
Landform/Water	Vegetation		Structure		
Form: flat, steep foreground, water; angular background	Form: smooth foreground; striped, solid middleground; rough, asymmetrical background		Form: linear, diagonal, vertical (transmission line)		
Line: irregular, complex, curving, jagged	Line: complex, contin	Line: continuous, horizontal			
Color: gray water; brown, gray land	Color: light to dark green; brown, yellow, red seasonally Color: brown, gray				
Texture: smoother water, coarse foreground, medium to fine middleground, medium to coarse background	Texture: smooth foreground, coarse middleground, matte background  Texture: smooth				

# **Project Activities Generating Impacts**

The Mainline Pipeline would cross the Nenana River about 1.0 mile downstream (southeast) of the KOP. Due to the distance and intervening terrain and vegetation, the Mainline Pipeline would not be visible from this location in the short or long term. No contrast is anticipated for landform, water, vegetation, or structure.

## **Visual Impacts During Construction and Operation**

Because the Project would not be visible from this location, it would not produce any noticeable contrast and therefore have no visual impacts for KOP 32 during construction or operation.

## **Mitigation Measures**

#### S-2.1.4.45 KOP 33: Nenana River (view south)

#### **Affected Visual Environment**

KOP 33 (see table S-2-46) is a view from the Parks Highway back (south) along the Nenana River. Recreationists, tourists, and others on the Parks Highway and recreational users of the Nenana River experience this view. The George Parks Highway Scenic Byway CPP identifies this area with a high scenic value (ADNR, 2008a). The foreground consists of steep gravel slanting down toward the Nenana River. The river is greenish gray, smooth, and curving. The background is angular, irregular, and coarse, with rough, matte vegetation. In the foreground and middleground, the vegetation is complex, horizontal/vertical, dominantly light green (particularly at the riverbanks), and smooth.

TABLE S-2-46					
KOP 33: Nenana River (view south)					
KOP: 33	OP: 33 Date: 8/25/15				
Visual Resource Inventory Class: I					
Location: Northing 7043253.344, Easting 709037.603					
Distance from proposed activity: about 1.0 mile upstre	am of the I	Mainline Pipeline river crossing			
Approximate Milepost: 560					
Ecoregion/Subregion: Alaska Range					
Scenic Quality Classification: A	ic Quality Classification: A Overall Sensitivity Rating: H				
Landscape Description					
Landform/Water	Vegetati	on	Structure		
Form: flat water; steep, gravel foreground; angular background	Form: smooth foreground, linear middleground, rough background		Form: rectangular, geometric		
Line: irregular, complex; curving river	Line: complex, horizontal, vertical		Line: horizontal and vertical, geometric		
Color: brown, gray; green, gray water	Color: light green dominant in middleground; dark green; brown, yellow seasonally especially in background		Color: gray, green, brown		
Texture: coarse foreground, coarse middleground, medium-coarse background, smooth water		smooth foreground and ound, matte background	Texture: random, patchy		

# **Project Activities Generating Impacts**

The proposed Mainline Pipeline would cross the river about 1.0 mile downstream (southeast) of this KOP. Due to the distance and intervening terrain and vegetation, the Mainline Pipeline would not be visible from this location in the short or long term. No contrast is anticipated for landform, water, vegetation, or structure.

## **Visual Impacts During Construction and Operation**

Because the Project would not be visible from this location, it would not produce any noticeable contrast and would therefore have no visual impact on KOP 33 during construction or operation.

## **Mitigation Measures**

## S-2.1.4.46 KOP 34: Cantwell School (east)

#### **Affected Visual Environment**

KOP 34 (see table S-2-47) is on Second Avenue in front of the Cantwell School. Students, employees, and visitors to the Cantwell School primarily experience this view. The KOP view looks into dense trees on the east side of the road. Cantwell School is on the west side with a playground and gravel parking lot in front. Vegetation is thick with predominately conifer trees. Angular peaks are visible in the distance. The road detracts from the scenic quality with its darker colors and linear form.

TABLE S-2-47					
KOP 34: Cantwell School (east)					
KOP: 34	KOP: 34 Date: 8/25/15				
Visual Resource Inventory Class: II					
Location: Northing 7035870.895, Easting	705071.911				
Distance from proposed activity: about 0	.5 mile from Mainline Pipe	line construction			
Approximate Milepost: 568					
Ecoregion/Subregion: Broad Pass Depre	ession				
Scenic Quality Classification: B Overall Sensitivity Rating: M					
Landscape Description					
Landform/Water	Vegetation		Structure		
Form: flat foreground; rugged, angular background; no water	Form: prominent, rough		Form: flat, linear, horizontal		
Line: straight, regular foreground; diagonal, complex, background	Line: linear, horizontal, vertical, regular  Line: regular, straight, horizontal		Line: regular, straight, horizontal		
Color: brown patches foreground, purple-blue background, white seasonal	Color: dark green, light green; yellow, red, brown seasonally		Color: black, gray		
Texture: sparse, medium	Texture: continuous band with clumps; Texture: smooth (road), handed with medium-coarse				

### **Project Activities Generating Impacts**

The Mainline Pipeline would be about 0.5 mile east of the Cantwell School and this KOP. Due to the distance and intervening terrain and vegetation, the Mainline Pipeline would not be visible from this location in the short or long term. There is no contrast anticipated for landform, water, vegetation, or structure.

## **Visual Impacts During Construction and Operation**

Because the Project would not be visible from this location, it would not produce any noticeable contrast and would therefore have no visual impacts on KOP 34 during construction or operation.

## **Mitigation Measures**

## S-2.1.4.47 KOP 35: Cantwell School (south)

#### Affected Visual Environment

KOP 35 (see table S-2-48) is on a gravel service drive on the south side of Cantwell School. Students, employees, and visitors to the Cantwell School primarily experience this view. Some windows on the school face this direction and a majority of the parking lot is visible to the northeast. Two outbuildings are west of the KOP. Vegetation runs along the south side of the gravel drive, cut through by a transmission line (running east to west) on the southwest side.

TABLE S-2-48				
	KOP 35: Cantwel	I School (south)		
KOP: 35		Date: 8/25/15		
Visual Resource Inventory Class:	II			
Location: Northing 7035849.364,	Easting 704996.299			
Distance from proposed activity: a Cantwell Camp	about 1.7 miles from the Mainline	e Pipeline and pipe storage yar	d; about 1.0 mile from the	
Approximate Milepost: 568				
Ecoregion/Subregion: Broad Pass Depression				
Scenic Quality Classification: B	Overall Sensitivity Rating: M			
Landscape Description				
Landform/Water	Vegetation		Structure	
Form: flat, no water	Form: strips		Form: rectangular	
Line: horizontal	Line: horizontal, vertical			
Color: gray	Color: light to dark green, predominately dark; red, yellow seasonally		Color: gray, blue, white, green	
Texture: rough, coarse but even	Texture: medium density; even but random in distribution, rough		Texture: smooth	

### **Project Activities Generating Impacts**

The Mainline Pipeline and pipe storage yard would be about 1.7 miles southwest of the school, and Cantwell Camp would be about 1.0 mile south of the school. Due to the distance and intervening terrain and vegetation, the Mainline Pipeline, pipe storage yard, and Cantwell Camp would not be visible from this location in the short or long term. No contrast is anticipated for landform, water, vegetation, or structure.

## **Visual Impacts During Construction and Operation**

Because the Project would not be visible from this location, it would not produce any noticeable contrast and would therefore have no visual impact on KOP 35 during construction or operation.

## **Mitigation Measures**

AGDC has not proposed any mitigation for this KOP.

# S-2.1.4.48 KOP 36: Windy Creek Trail

#### **Affected Visual Environment**

KOP 36 (see table S-2-49) looks out on a distinct foreground, middleground, and background. Recreationists accessing the trail and users of the airport facilities primarily experience this view. The foreground is flat, straight, and smooth with soft, scattered vegetation. The middleground is rolling,

curving, and smooth with large amounts of distinct, vertical vegetation. The background is angular, jagged, and rough with smooth vegetation. Cultural modifications from the existing airport facilities dominate the view.

TABLE S-2-49					
KOP 36: Windy Creek Trail					
KOP: 36 Date: 8/25/15					
Visual Resource Inventory Class: III					
Location: Northing 7035738.813, Easting 70216	1.406				
Distance from proposed activity: about 0.1 mile f	rom the Cantwell pipe storage yard				
Approximate Milepost: 569					
Ecoregion/Subregion: Broad Pass Depression					
Scenic Quality Classification: B Overall Sensitivity Rating: M					
Landscape Description					
Landform/Water	Vegetation	Structure			
Form: flat foreground, rolling middleground, angular background	Form: patchy, distinct, numerous	Form: rectangles, geometric, horizontal			
Line: straight foreground; band, curving middleground; angular, jagged background	Line: soft foreground, vertical middleground, smooth background	Line: horizontal, vertical			
Color: gray foreground; blue, gray, brown back	Color: green; seasonal red, yellow; dark green in middleground	Color: tan, red, blue, gray			
Texture: smooth, clumped foreground; smooth middleground; rough background	Texture: scattered foreground Texture: smooth				

# **Project Activities Generating Impacts**

AGDC has proposed a pipe storage yard about 0.1 mile south of this KOP. Due to the short distance and lack of tall vegetation separating the trail from the camp and pipe storage yard, these facilities would be highly noticeable from the KOP.

### **Visual Impacts During Construction**

The landform in this location is already relatively flat with prominent horizontal lines, brown color, and smooth textures. Grading for the proposed pipe storage yard would introduce flat forms, horizontal lines, brown to black colors, and fine to smooth textures, similar to the existing condition. Therefore, contrast for landform would be weak. Currently, a railroad storage area occupies this area, so very little vegetation would be removed for the proposed facilities and contrast for vegetation would be weak. The presence of a camp and pipe storage yard would introduce additional equipment, materials, and vehicles to views with rectangular, cylindrical, and geometric forms; horizontal and vertical lines; brown, gray, and tan colors; and smooth textures. Although these elements would add somewhat to the existing railroad and storage area, they would be similar in form, line, and color; therefore, texture and contrast for structures would be weak. The pipe storage yard and could introduce new sources of artificial light. In addition, construction would occur for less than 2 years in this area; therefore, visual impacts during construction would be low.

# **Visual Impacts During Operation**

Following construction, AGDC would remove the camp and pipe storage yard, restore the site to its original contours, and revegetate the area. Although some changes to the site would be noticeable in the short term, the contrast for landform, vegetation, and structures would be weak in the long term during operation. The presence of the existing railroad and storage area would be more noticeable and dominant

than the changes from the proposed Project in this location. Therefore, visual impacts for KOP 36 would be low during operation.

## **Mitigation Measures**

AGDC would minimize vegetation removal during construction and would implement the Project Revegetation Plan to restore vegetation and contours to reduce visual impacts during operation. To reduce the impact of added artificial lighting and minimize impacts on dark skies during construction, AGDC would use the minimum lighting required for safety and security for nighttime activities at the work camp and pipe storage yard during construction. During construction, AGDC would orient the lighting downward, shield it to eliminate off-site light spill, and (where appropriate) use timers or motion-activated sensors (where appropriate) for all lighting.

## S-2.1.4.49 KOP N: George Parks Highway-MP 170.8

#### **Affected Visual Environment**

KOP N (see table S-2-50) is on the Parks Highway between two proposed material sites. The view at the KOP is from the highway looking towards proposed material sites. The highway in this area is straight, with curves moving out of the field of view in both directions. There is a slight slope on both sides of the road, after which the landscape is flat. The middle ground drops out of view and is blocked by foreground vegetation. Angular mountains are visible in the background on both sides of the road. There are two dirt roads off the highway near the KOP, one on the east side where the material site would be and one on the west side just past the material site. No public pullouts or parking areas are nearby.

TABLE S-2-50					
KOP N: George Parks Highway – MP 170.8					
KOP: N	KOP: N Date: 6/27/16				
Visual Resource Inventory Class: II					
Location: Northing 6985554.8, Easting 3	366632.4				
Distance from proposed activity: less th mile from material site 35-4-025-2 FP1	an 0.1 mile from mate	erial	site 35-4-025-1 FP2 a	and material site 35-4-025-2 FP3, and 0.1	
Approximate Milepost: 607					
Ecoregion/Subregion: Cook Inlet-Susitn	a Lowland				
Scenic Quality Classification: C Overall Sensitivity Rating: M			ating: M		
Landscape Description					
Landform/Water	Vegetation	Vegetation Structure		Structure	
Form: flat foreground, angular to jagged background	Form: regular, continuous foreground		us foreground	Form: flat, straight to curving foreground; vertical, angular at middleground	
Line: horizontal foreground; diagonal, angular background	Line: horizontal with occasional verticals at foreground, continuous background			Line: horizontal foreground; vertical, diagonal at middleground	
Color: tan foreground; brown, blue background	Color: light to dark green, lightest at low foreground		n, lightest at low	Color: gray, black, yellow, white foreground; gray middleground	
Texture: smooth to coarse foreground, smooth background	Texture: complex foreground, smooth background		round, smooth	Texture: smooth foreground and middleground	

### **Project Activities Generating Impacts**

This KOP is on the Parks Highway less than 0.1 mile from material sites 35-4-025-1 FP2 and 35-4-025-2 FP3, and about 0.1 mile from material site 35-4-025-2 FP1. The Mainline Pipeline would be constructed belowground and would not visible at this location. The material sites would be in use beyond Mainline Pipeline construction.

## **Visual Impacts During Construction**

The construction of three material sites near this KOP would introduce flat forms, horizontal lines, brown colors, and smooth textures. The material sites would introduce a weak to moderate contrast in landform, compared to the naturally flat and horizontal existing landform. Vegetation removal for the material sites would expose bare earth, create linear forms and irregular lines, gray and brown colors, and patchy textures that would contrast with the existing vegetation, creating a strong contrast for vegetation. Machinery, materials, equipment, and vehicles would introduce geometric and linear forms, vertical and horizontal lines, smooth textures, and yellow, brown, and gray colors. These elements would create strong contrast for structures. Because these material sites would likely be in use for the entire 3-year construction period for Mainline Pipeline Spreads 3 and 4, and contrast would be moderate to strong, visual impacts for KOP N would be moderate to high during construction.

## **Visual Impacts During Operation**

The operation of three material sites near this KOP would introduce flat forms, horizontal lines, brown colors, and smooth textures beyond construction. The material sites would introduce a weak to moderate contrast in landform, compared to the naturally flat and horizontal existing landform. Vegetation removal for the material sites would expose bare earth, create linear forms and irregular lines, gray and brown colors, and patchy textures that would contrast with the existing vegetation, creating a strong contrast in vegetation. Machinery, materials, equipment, and vehicles present during operation would introduce geometric and linear forms, vertical and horizontal lines, smooth textures, and yellow, brown, and gray colors. These elements would create strong contrast for structures. Because these material sites would be in use during operation and contrast would be moderate to strong, visual impacts for KOP N would be moderate to high during operation.

### **Mitigation Measures**

To minimize visual impacts associated with the material sites, AGDC would set the disturbed areas away from the highway and maintain screening vegetation between the highway and sites. Additionally, AGDC would minimize vegetation clearing on the sites, screen equipment and vehicles from view from the highway, and implement the Project Revegetation Plan to restore vegetation.

### S-2.1.4.50 KOP O: Upper Troublesome Creek Trailhead

### **Affected Visual Environment**

KOP O (see table S-2-51) is at the Upper Troublesome Creek trailhead, just off the Parks Highway about 0.3 mile north of Troublesome Creek. To access the trailhead, users travel on a 0.2-mile-long gravel road from the highway turnoff to the far end of the parking area. The road forms a u-shape with the gravel parking area at the far end surrounded by dense vegetation on all sides. The parking area is secluded and not visible from the highway. No middleground or background is visible in any direction due to the vegetation. The immediate topography is flat.

# **Project Activities Generating Impacts**

This KOP is at the Upper Troublesome Creek trailhead about 0.1 mile south of the Mainline Pipeline. Recreationists primarily use this area. Due to the dense intervening vegetation, the Mainline Pipeline would not be visible from this location in the short or long term. No contrast is anticipated for landform, water, vegetation, or structure.

TABLE S-2-51					
к	KOP O: Upper Troublesome Creek Trailhead				
KOP: O Date: 7/1/16					
Visual Resource Inventory Class: II					
Location: Northing 6947499.5, Easting 642	250.3				
Distance from proposed activity: 0.1 mile fr	om the Mainline Pipe	line			
Approximate Milepost: 640					
Ecoregion/Subregions: Cook Inlet-Susitna	Lowland				
Scenic Quality Classification: C		Overall Sensitivity	Rating: M		
Landscape Description					
Landform/Water	Vegetation		Structure		
Form: flat, horizontal, regular	Form: dense, regu	lar, continuous	Form: flat, horizontal		
Line: horizontal, linear, continuous	Line: horizontal, ve	ertical	Line: horizontal		
Color: gray, tan	Color: light to dark	green	Color: gray		
Texture: flat, smooth	Texture: complex,	smooth	Texture: smooth		

### **Visual Impacts During Construction and Operation**

Because the Project would not be visible from this location, it would not produce any noticeable contrast and would therefore have no visual impacts on KOP O during construction or operation.

# **Mitigation Measures**

AGDC has not proposed any mitigation for this KOP.

#### S-2.1.4.51 KOP P: Lower Troublesome Creek Trailhead

### **Affected Visual Environment**

KOP P (see table S-2-52) is at the Lower Troublesome Creek Campground (see figure S-2-13a). Recreationists using the campground facilities, and recreationists and others traveling on the Parks Highway experience this view. The Lower Troublesome Creek Campground receives a high number of visitors due to its easy access from the highway and its amenities, which include camping areas, picnic tables, public bathrooms, and river access by trail. The simulation for KOP P depicts the view of the proposed access road from the campground parking lot. The KOP looks west down the trail through the campsites with dense foreground vegetation. The trail provides a view into middleground vegetation where the brown vertical lines of tree trunks are prominent. The trail is mostly straight with some gentle curves. The trail turns to the left/southwest and is out of view after about 125 feet. Due to dense vegetation, no background is visible.

### **Project Activities Generating Impacts**

KOP P is at the edge of the parking lot for the Lower Troublesome Creek Campground along a proposed access road for the Project and about 0.1 mile east of the Mainline Pipeline. Although the Mainline Pipeline could be somewhat noticeable from this location, construction of an access road through the campground and picnic area would be highly noticeable for sensitive viewers.

TABLE S-2-52				
	KOP P: Lower Trouble	some Creek Trailhead		
KOP: P		Date: 7/1/16		
Visual Resource Inventory Class: II				
Location: Northing 6946884.3, Easti	ng 642189.4			
Distance from proposed activity: adj	acent to the access road, 0.1	mile from the Mainline Pipe	eline	
Approximate Milepost: 641				
Ecoregion/Subregion: Cook Inlet-Su	sitna Lowland			
Scenic Quality Classification: B Overall Sensitivity Rating: H			Н	
Landscape Description				
Landform/Water	Vegetation		Structure	
Form: flat, horizontal, regular, slight downward slope	Form: dense, regular, conti	nuous	Form: flat, horizontal, curving, verticals, geometric shapes	
Line: horizontal, linear, continuous	Line: verticals prominent in middleground, horizontals		Line: geometric, horizontal, vertical	
Color: gray to brown	Color: light to dark green, brown		Color: gray and brown	
Texture: flat, smooth	Texture: complex, smooth		Texture: smooth	

## **Visual Impacts During Construction**

Grading to improve the existing path to create the proposed access road would create new wider rectilinear forms and slightly curving lines and substantially expand the area of gray color for the roadway, creating a strong contrast for the landform (see figure S-2-13b). Vegetation clearing to expand the existing path into an access road would remove a substantial amount of vegetation and create strong contrast in form, line, color, and texture for vegetation. Due to the presence of construction vehicles and equipment using the access road, contrast in form, line, color, and texture for structures would also be strong. Although contrast would be strong, construction would take less than 2 years in this area. For these reasons, visual impacts for KOP P during construction would be low.

#### **Visual Impacts During Operation**

Assuming the access road would remain in place and continue to be used to access the Mainline Pipeline right-of-way following construction, the wide access road would appear as a rectilinear form with slightly curving lines and gray color for the roadway (see figure S-2-13c). Contrast for the landform would be strong. Cleared vegetation for the access road would create strong contrast in form, line, color, and texture for vegetation. Restored vegetation may occur along the road edges, but it would require a number of years to reach heights and densities similar to the surrounding vegetation, and contrast would remain strong for the long term. Because maintenance vehicles using the access road would only be present occasionally, they would create weak contrast in form, line, color, and texture for structures. Because contrast would be strong for vegetation and landform during operation, visual impacts for KOP P from Project operation would be high.

## **Mitigation Measures**

To minimize visual impacts associated with the access road, AGDC would maintain screening vegetation between the access road and site, minimize vegetation clearing for the access road, and implement the Project Revegetation Plan to restore vegetation along the edges of the access road.

## S-2.1.4.52 KOP 37: Mt. McKinley Princess Wilderness Lodge (view southwest)

#### **Affected Visual Environment**

KOP 37 (see table S-2-53) consists of the view from the Mt. McKinley Princess Wilderness Lodge, looking southwest from a flat terrace. Recreationists and tourists staying at the lodge primarily use this area. The foreground is a flat, horizontal, black/gray asphalt parking lot. Brown, wood structures stand in the foreground with dense, ordered vegetation in both the foreground and middleground. Denali and the Chulitna River are visible from this location; however, the KOP faces the opposite direction of the Project facilities.

TABLE S-2-53				
KOP 37: Mt. I	McKinley Princess W	ilderness Lodge (vie	w southwest)	
KOP: 37		Date: 9/25/15		
Visual Resource Inventory Class: I				
Location: Northing 6939357.951, Easting 6	642360.333			
Distance from proposed activity: about 1.4 pipe storage yard	miles from the constru	uction right-of-way and	3.1 miles from the Chulitna Camp and	
Approximate Milepost: 645				
Ecoregion/Subregion: Cook Inlet-Susitna L	_owland			
Scenic Quality Classification: B		Overall Sensitivity R	ating: H	
Landscape Description				
Landform/Water	Vegetation		Structure	
Form: horizontal, planar; jagged peaks in the distance	Form: smooth, linear		Form: horizontal	
Line: horizontal, linear	Line: verticals, horizontals, dense Line: flat, horizontal, vertical			
Color: brown, gray, blue	Color: light to dark green		Color: black, gray (parking lot); brown (wood)	
Texture: smooth	Texture: rough back coarse in foreground		Texture: smooth (asphalt), rough (wood)	

### **Project Activities Generating Impacts**

KOP 37 is the view from the Mt. McKinley Princess Wilderness Lodge looking southwest. The Mainline Pipeline would be 1.4 miles west and southwest of this KOP. The Chulitna Camp and pipe storage yard would be about 3.1 miles to the south. A ridge southwest of the lodge blocks views in the direction of the Project. Due to distance and intervening dense vegetation and terrain, the Mainline Pipeline, camp, and pipe storage yard would not be visible from this location. There would be no contrast anticipated for landform, water, vegetation, or structure.

## **Visual Impacts During Construction and Operation**

Because the Project would not be visible from this location, it would not produce any noticeable contrast and would therefore have no visual impacts on KOP 37 during construction or operation.

### **Mitigation Measures**

AGDC has not proposed any mitigation for this KOP.

## S-2.1.4.53 KOP 38: Denali State Park Viewpoint

#### **Affected Visual Environment**

KOP 38 (see table S-2-54) is at the Denali State Park viewpoint on the west side of the highway. Recreationists and tourists visiting Denali State Park and the DNPP and travelers on the Parks Highway primarily experience this view. The KOP features interpretive signage, public restrooms, and paved camping areas for recreational vehicles and trailers. A concrete viewing area is near the edge of a bluff, looking northwest. The river below is visible, but the center of the view is Denali and associated mountains. Vegetation consists of dense, dark green conifers. Gravel bars in the river and riverbanks are brown and tan, contrasting with the brighter green and gold of the vegetation in the foreground on the east bank of the river.

TABLE S-2-54						
KOP 38	KOP 38: Denali State Park Viewpoint					
KOP: 38 Date: 8/24/15						
Visual Resource Inventory Class: I						
Location: Northing 6943252.2, Easting 641674.393						
Distance from proposed activity: about 1.7 miles from	the Mainlir	ne Pipeline; about 1.8 miles from the Chulitna Rive	r crossing			
Approximate Milepost: 644						
Ecoregion/Subregion: Cook Inlet-Susitna Lowland						
Scenic Quality Classification: A	Overall Sensitivity Rating: H					
Landscape Description						
Landform/Water	Vegetation Structu		Structure			
Form: foreground not visible (slope down); horizontal middleground; jagged, angular, tall background	Form: vertical foreground; vertical, patchy middleground; background not visible (due to distance)		Form: NA			
Line: vertical, horizontal, complex	Line: vertical foreground; vertical, complex middleground Line: NA					
Color: brown, black	Color: light to dark green Co		Color: NA			
Texture: rough foreground and middleground	Texture: rough Texture: N					
NA = Not applicable						

### **Project Activities Generating Impacts**

KOP 38 is the view looking north toward the Chulitna River and Denali from a developed viewpoint west of the Parks Highway. The Mainline Pipeline would be 1.7 miles west of this KOP. The Chulitna River crossing would be 1.8 miles north of this KOP. AGDC is not proposing an aerial pipe bridge for this crossing. Due to the distance and intervening terrain and vegetation, neither the Mainline Pipeline nor the river crossing location would be visible from this location in the short or long term. There would be no contrast anticipated for landform, water, vegetation, or structure.

## **Visual Impacts During Construction and Operation**

Because the Project would not be visible from this location, it would not produce any noticeable contrast and would therefore have no visual impacts on KOP 38 during construction or operation.

### **Mitigation Measures**

AGDC has not proposed any mitigation for this KOP.

## S-2.1.4.54 KOP Q: Denali State Park Viewpoint South

#### **Affected Visual Environment**

KOP Q (see table S-2-55) is on a gravel-viewing pad on the edge of the bluff a short walk north from the Denali Viewpoint South parking lot. Recreationists and tourists visiting Denali State Park and the DNPP primarily experience this view. Accessed from Denali Viewpoint South, and frequented by travelers on the Parks Highway, this KOP features interpretive signage, public restrooms, and paved camping areas for recreational vehicles and trailers. The viewer sensitivity at this location is high. The view is across the Chulitna River toward Denali and the Alaska Range. The Chulitna River is a braided river about 0.5 mile wide in the view to the north. The river takes up a majority of the middleground; beyond the river, the middleground is sloping to horizontal. The mountains in the background are steep and jagged and, on a clear day, include Denali. The viewing pad, accessed by an approximately 984-foot-long (300-meterlong) gravel path, has a gravel surface with a railing of square wood posts and cylindrical metal handrails. A wood structure is on the northeast side of the granular pad. The wood structure has cylindrical wood posts at the corners and a wood-shingled gable roof overgrown with plants. Interpretive materials and signs are located within the shelter.

TABLE S-2-55					
KOP Q:	KOP Q: Denali State Park Viewpoint South				
KOP: Q		Date: 6/27/16			
Visual Resource Inventory Class: II					
Location: Northing 6943523.3, Easting 641660.4					
Distance from proposed activity: 1.0 miles from Ma	inline Pipeline	, 1.7 miles from the Chulitna River cr	ossing		
Approximate Milepost: 644					
Ecoregion/Subregion: Cook Inlet-Susitna Lowland					
Scenic Quality Classification: A Overall Sensitivity Rating: H					
Landscape Description					
Landform/Water	Vegetation		Structure		
Form: steep foreground; flat to rolling middleground; jagged, steep background	Form: soft, complex, irregular foreground; smooth, regular, middleground and background columns and lines				
Line: angular, steep foreground; curving Line: soft, curving foreground and middleground; jagged background middleground; soft, continuous background Line: horizontal, vertical					
Color: gray foreground and middleground; gray, blue background	Color: light to dark green foreground and middleground, dark green background  Color: gray, brown				
Texture: rough foreground; broken, smooth middleground; hard, broken, rugged background	Texture: soft, complex foreground; repetitive, soft middleground and background				

### **Project Activities Generating Impacts**

KOP Q is the view looking northwest from a gravel viewing pad about 985 feet north of the Denali Viewpoint South, about 1.0 mile west of the proposed Mainline Pipeline, and 1.7 miles south of where the Mainline Pipeline would cross the Chulitna River. Due to the distance and intervening terrain and vegetation, the Mainline Pipeline would not be visible from this location in the short or long term. No contrast is anticipated for landform, water, vegetation, or structure.

### **Visual Impacts During Construction and Operation**

Because the Project would not be visible from this location, it would not produce any noticeable contrast and would therefore have no visual impacts on KOP Q during construction or operation.

## **Mitigation Measures**

AGDC has not proposed any mitigation for this KOP.

### S-2.1.4.55 KOP R: Denali State Park Visitor Center

#### Affected Visual Environment

AGDC did not survey KOP R (see table S-2-56) during field visits for the Project due to lack of accessibility. At the time of survey, there was no access road to the site.

#### **TABLE S-2-56**

#### **KOP R: Denali State Park Visitor Center**

KOP: R

Visual Resource Inventory Class: not determined for this KOP

Location: Northing 6943449.4, Easting 644609.7

Distance from proposed activity: 2.9 miles from the Mainline Pipeline, 2.8 miles from the Chulitna River crossing

Approximate Milepost: 648

Ecoregion/Subregion: Cook Inlet-Susitna Lowland

### S-2.1.4.56 KOP S: Mt. McKinley Princess Wilderness Lodge (view northwest)

#### **Affected Visual Environment**

KOP S (see table S-2-57) is at the back deck of the Mt. McKinley Princess Wilderness Lodge looking toward Denali and the Alaska Range. Hotel guests at the Mt. McKinley Princess Wilderness Lodge and diners at the associated restaurant primarily experience this view. The foreground slopes down toward the river, but the river is not visible from this location due to the topography and dense vegetation. The middleground is rolling. The far background, which consists of mountains in the Alaska Range, is rugged and jagged. In the foreground are two cultural modifications: the deck and a strip of pavement below. Constructed of darker wood with a railing, the deck consists of square wood posts and black metal balusters. The pavement is wide enough to allow one or two vehicles through but serves only as a back access to the hotel and is not a road. Dense vegetation begins about 1 foot after the pavement ends (gravel and dirt are found between). Denali is visible from the deck on clear days.

#### **Project Activities Generating Impacts**

KOP S is the view looking northwest from the back deck of the Mt. McKinley Princess Wilderness Lodge, about 1.2 miles east of the proposed Mainline Pipeline and 4.3 miles south of where the Mainline Pipeline would cross the Chulitna River. Due to the distance and intervening terrain and vegetation, the Project would not be visible from this location in the short or long term. No contrast is anticipated for landform, water, vegetation, or structure.

## **Visual Impacts During Construction and Operation**

Because the Project would not be visible from this location, it would not produce any noticeable contrast and would therefore have no visual impacts on KOPS during construction or operation.

# **Mitigation Measures**

AGDC has not proposed any mitigation for this KOP.

TABLE S-2-57					
KOP S: Mt. McKinley Princess Wilderness Lodge (view northwest)					
KOP: S		Date: 6/27/16			
Visual Resource Inventory Class: II					
Location: Northing 6939436.1, Easting 6423	86.1				
Distance from proposed activity: 1.2 miles from	om the Mainline Pipe	eline, 4.3 miles from the Chulitna Riv	ver crossing		
Approximate Milepost: 647					
Ecoregion/Subregion: Cook Inlet-Susitna Lo	wland				
Scenic Quality Classification: A		Overall Sensitivity Rating: H			
Landscape Description					
Landform/Water	Vegetation		Structure		
Form: flat foreground, rolling middleground, jagged and angular background	Form: organic sha background	pes, continuous, regular; patchy	Form: flat, geometric shapes		
Line: horizontal foreground, curving middleground, diagonal/angular background	Line: low verticals at foreground; curving with some verticals in foreground and middleground  Line: horizontal, vertical, geometric				
Color: tan/gray foreground, tan to blue background	Color: light green with some dark green foreground and middleground; dark green, blue background				
Texture: smooth foreground, middleground, and background	middleground, and	Texture: generally smooth foreground, middleground, and background; some rough patches at foreground			

# **S-2.1.4.57 KOP 39: George Parks Highway-MP 131.2**

#### **Affected Visual Environment**

KOP 39 (see table S-2-58) is a view from the Parks Highway facing north. The Parks Highway Scenic Byway CPP identifies this area as having medium visual sensitivity. As proposed, the Mainline Pipeline roughly parallels the Parks Highway west of this KOP beyond the tree line. The road curves but is otherwise flat and horizontal. Dense rows of conifers line the road, providing a strong contrast with the road. There are rolling, moderately sloped mountains in the background. Views of Denali are present in the vicinity but not at this location.

TABLE S-2-58				
KOP 39: George Parks Highway – MP 131.2				
KOP: 39	KOP: 39 Date: 8/24/15			
Visual Resource Inventory Class: II				
Location: Northing 6937283.39, Easting 642118	3.225			
Distance from proposed activity: about 0.3 mile	from the Mainline	e Pipeline, Chulitna Camp, and	d pipe storage yard	
Approximate Milepost: 648				
Ecoregion/Subregion: Cook Inlet-Susitna Lowla	ınd			
Scenic Quality Classification: B Overall Sensitivity Rating: H				
Landscape Description				
Landform/Water	Vegetation		Structure	
Form: flat foreground; rolling, smooth hills background	Form: stripes,	band	Form: horizontal	
Line: linear, horizontal	Line: horizont	al, vertical, bands, dense	Line: rectangular, linear, curving	
Color: brown, tan	Color: light to	dark green	Color: black, gray	
Texture: smooth	Texture: smoo	oth, dappled, medium-coarse	Texture: smooth (road)	

## **Project Activities Generating Impacts**

KOP 39 is the view looking north from Parks Highway MP 131.2. The Mainline Pipeline, Chulitna Camp, and a pipe storage yard would be about 0.3 mile north of this KOP. Due to the distance and intervening dense vegetation, the Mainline Pipeline, camp, and storage yard would not be visible from this location in the short or long term. No contrast is anticipated for landform, water, vegetation, or structure.

## **Visual Impacts During Construction and Operation**

Because the Project would not be visible from this location, it would not produce any noticeable contrast and would therefore have no visual impacts on KOP 39 during construction or operation.

### **Mitigation Measures**

AGDC has not proposed any mitigation for this KOP.

### S-2.1.4.58 KOP 40: George Parks Highway-MP 131

#### **Affected Visual Environment**

KOP 40 (see table S-2-59) contains the view of a current storage and work area facing west (see figure S-2-14a) from the Parks Highway. A flat, horizontal dirt and gravel road divides the dense vegetation on either side of the work area. The smoother road contrasts with the roughness of the adjacent vegetation and creates a harder edge. The browns and gray of the road create additional contrast with the brighter colors of the vegetation.

TABLE S-2-59					
KOP 40: George Parks Highway – MP 131					
KOP: 40		Date: 8/24/15			
Visual Resource Inventory Class: III					
Location: Northing 6937607.855, Easting 6	642168.722				
Distance from proposed activity: adjacent t	to material site; about	0.2 mile from the Ch	ulitna Camp and pipe storage yard		
Approximate Milepost: 648					
Ecoregion/Subregion: Cook Inlet-Susitna L	owland				
Scenic Quality Classification: B Overall Sensitivity Rating: M			Rating: M		
Landscape Description					
Landform/Water	Vegetation		Structure		
Form: flat, horizontal	Form: dense, vertical		Form: flat, horizontal		
Line: flat, horizontal	Line: vertical, continuous		Line: flat, horizontal, straight		
Color: brown, gray	Color: light to dark green		Color: brown, gray		
Texture: smooth	Texture: glossy, den	se	Texture: medium-smooth		

# **Project Activities Generating Impacts**

KOP 40 is near Parks Highway MP 131. A proposed material site is about 0.2 mile northwest of the KOP while the Mainline Pipeline, Chulitna Camp, and pipe storage yard are about 0.2 mile west of the KOP. KOP 40 is within an area identified in the Parks Highway Scenic Byway CPP with a moderate scenic value (ADNR, 2008a). Due to the distance and intervening dense vegetation, the Mainline Pipeline, Chulitna Camp, and pipe storage yard would not be visible from this KOP. The material site, however, would be visible at the entrance road off Parks Highway. The simulation for KOP 40 depicts the proposed material site.

## **Visual Impacts During Construction**

The material site would introduce horizontal and irregular forms and lines, brown to tan colors, and smooth textures to the current landform (see figure S-2-14b). Colors and textures of exposed earth would be similar to but more expansive than the existing exposed earth, creating a strong contrast for landform. The removal of vegetation would create geometric and linear forms; irregular lines, grays, and browns of exposed earth; and patchy textures in the existing vegetation. Vegetation removal would create a strong contrast for vegetation. Construction equipment and vehicles would introduce geometric and linear forms, vertical and horizontal lines, smooth textures, and yellow, brown, and gray colors. The site is set back from the highway, and most travelers on the scenic highway would have brief views of the site, but the visible elements of the material site would still create strong contrast during construction. For all these reasons, visual impacts for KOP 40 would be high during construction.

# **Visual Impacts During Operation**

The material site would be in use during operation. Use of the material site at this location would introduce horizontal and irregular forms and lines, brown to tan colors, and smooth textures to the current landform (see figure S-2-14c). Colors and textures of exposed earth would be similar to but more expansive than the existing exposed earth, creating a strong contrast for landform. The removal of vegetation would expose bare earth and create geometric and linear forms; irregular lines, grays, and browns of exposed earth; and patchy textures in existing vegetation. Vegetation removal would create a strong contrast for vegetation. Machinery, materials, equipment, and vehicles present during operation would introduce geometric and linear forms, vertical and horizontal lines, smooth textures, and yellow, brown, and gray colors. These elements would create strong contrast for structures. A reduction in visual impacts for KOP 40 would occur by the somewhat brief duration of views into the site by travelers on the scenic highway. For all these reasons, visual impacts for KOP 40 would be moderate during operation.

## **Mitigation Measures**

To reduce visual impacts associated with the material site, AGDC would minimize vegetation removal and maintain a vegetation screen at the Mainline Pipeline's intersection with the Parks Highway to the extent practicable. AGDC would locate structures at an angle to the road opening (after accommodating state requirements for highway access). Additionally, AGDC would implement the Project Revegetation Plan to restore vegetation and minimize the use of smooth, reflective surfaces. During construction, AGDC would use the minimum lighting required for safety and security for nighttime, orient all permanent lighting downward and shield it to eliminate off-site light spill, and (where appropriate) use timers or motion-activated sensors for all lighting.

## S-2.1.4.59 KOP T: George Parks Highway-MP 130.6

#### **Affected Visual Environment**

KOP T (see table S-2-60) is on the Parks Highway where the Mainline Pipeline would cross the highway. Recreationists, tourists, and others traveling on the Parks Highway primarily experience this view. There is no public pullout or parking area near this location. The road is lined with vegetation consisting of dense underbrush immediately adjacent to the road and dense trees and underbrush 30 to 50 feet from the road on both sides. The edges of mountains are visible to the north behind the treetops; other than that, no middleground or background is visible.

TABLE S-2-60				
KOP T:	George Parks Highway – M	P 130.6		
KOP: T		Date: 6	5/27/16	
Visual Resource Inventory Class: II				
Location: Northing 6936951.2, Easting 642224.9				
Distance from proposed activity: Adjacent to the M	ainline Pipeline			
Approximate Milepost: 649				
Ecoregion/Subregion: Cook Inlet-Susitna Lowland				
Scenic Quality Classification: C			Overall Sensitivity Rating: M	
Landscape Description				
Landform/Water	Vegetation		Structure	
Form: flat, horizontal, moderate slope at sides of road, regular	Form: regular, continuous,	dense	Form: flat, curving/turning	
Line: horizontal, rolling, linear	Line: vertical, horizontal		Line: horizontal	
Color: gray	Color: light to dark green		Color: gray, black, yellow, white	
Texture: flat, smooth	Texture: complex, smooth		Texture: smooth	

## **Project Activities Generating Impacts**

KOP T is at MP 130.6 of the Parks Highway within the proposed Mainline Pipeline right-of-way. The Mainline Pipeline would cross the Parks Highway at this location belowground and perpendicular to the highway.

# **Visual Impacts During Construction**

Grading would introduce some noticeable changes to the landform in form, line, color, and texture, but the existing landform is flat and contrast for landform would be weak. The removal of vegetation would create rectilinear forms and straight vertical and horizontal lines along the edges of the cleared right-of-way, creating a strong contrast for vegetation. Materials and equipment would introduce moderate to strong contrast in structure. Overall, contrast would be moderate to strong during construction. Construction would take less than 2 years and views of the cleared right-of-way crossing perpendicular to the highway would be brief for most travelers on the highway. For these reasons, visual impacts for KOP T would be low during construction.

#### **Visual Impacts During Operation**

Grading of the Mainline Pipeline right-of-way would introduce some noticeable changes to the landform in form, line, color, and texture, but the existing landform is flat and contrast for landform would be weak. The removal of vegetation would create rectilinear forms and straight vertical and horizontal lines along the edges of the cleared right-of-way. Although some revegetation would occur along the edges, it would take multiple years to become similar in height and density to the existing vegetation, and contrast for vegetation would remain strong. Overall, contrast would be moderate to strong during operation. The duration of views of the cleared right-of-way perpendicular to the highway would be brief for most travelers on the highway. For these reasons, visual impacts for KOP T would be low during operation.

#### **Mitigation Measures**

To minimize long-term or permanent visual impacts associated with right-of-way clearing and temporary impacts during the construction phase, AGDC would implement the Project Revegetation Plan.

## S-2.1.4.60 KOP U: George Parks Highway-MP 121.7

#### **Affected Visual Environment**

KOP U (see table S-2-61) is on the Parks Highway about 0.5 mile north of a public parking area (see figure S-2-15a). Recreationists and others traveling on the Parks Highway primarily experience this view. The Mainline Pipeline would cross the Parks Highway at this location. Low vegetation directly adjacent to the highway forms a 32.8- to 49.2-foot-wide (10- to 15-meter-wide) strip. This low vegetation is primarily grasses, brush, and wildflowers (including dense fireweed). Beyond the row of low vegetation is a wall of dense, tall vegetation that includes both trees and underbrush and hides both the middleground and background. Some background is visible to the north along the highway but no background is visible in the direction of the KOP.

TABLE S-2-61				
	KOP U: George Parks	Highway – MP 121.7		
KOP: U Date: 6/27/16				
Visual Resource Inventory Class: II				
Location: Northing 6923318.1, Easting 64	11541.4			
Distance from proposed activity: Adjacen	t to the Mainline Pipelin	е		
Approximate Milepost: 658				
Ecoregion/Subregion: Cook Inlet-Susitna	Lowland			
Scenic Quality Classification: C Overall Sensitivity Rating: M				
Landscape Description				
Landform/Water	Vegetation	Vegetation Structur		
Form: flat, horizontal, gentle slope at sides of road, regular	Form: regular, contir	Form: flat		
Line: horizontal, linear	Line: vertical, horizontal Line: horizontal			
Color: gray, tan	Color: light to dark g (fireweed)	reen, seasonal pink	Color: gray, black, yellow, white	
Texture: flat and smooth	Texture: complex, sr	nooth	Texture: smooth	

### **Project Activities Generating Impacts**

KOP U is at MP 121.7 of the Parks Highway within the proposed Mainline Pipeline right-of-way. The Mainline Pipeline would cross the Parks Highway belowground and nearly perpendicular to the highway at this location. The simulation for KOP U depicts the view along the proposed Mainline Pipeline right-of-way from the shoulder of the Parks Highway.

### **Visual Impacts During Construction**

Grading would introduce some noticeable changes to the landform in form, line, color, and texture, but the existing landform is fairly flat and contrast for landform would be weak (see figure S-2-15b). Vegetation clearing would create rectilinear forms and straight vertical and horizontal lines along the edges of the cleared right-of-way, creating a strong contrast for vegetation. Materials and equipment would introduce moderate to strong contrast in structure. Overall, contrast would be moderate to strong during construction. Views of the cleared right-of-way nearly perpendicular to the highway would be brief for most travelers on the highway. For these reasons, visual impacts for KOP U would be moderate during construction.

## **Visual Impacts During Operation**

Grading of the Mainline Pipeline right-of-way would introduce some noticeable changes to the landform in form, line, color, and texture, but the existing landform is fairly flat and contrast for landform would be weak (see figure S-2-15c). Cleared vegetation would create rectilinear forms and straight vertical and horizontal lines along the edges of the cleared right-of-way. Although some regrowth of vegetation would occur along the edges, it would take multiple years before it would be similar in height and density to the existing vegetation; therefore, contrast for vegetation in the cleared right-of-way would remain strong. Overall, contrast would be moderate to strong during operation. The duration of views of the cleared right-of-way, nearly perpendicular to the highway, would be brief for most travelers on the highway. For all these reasons, visual impacts for KOP U would be moderate during operation.

# **Mitigation Measures**

To minimize long-term or permanent visual impacts associated with right-of-way clearing and temporary impacts during the construction phase, AGDC would implement the Project Revegetation Plan to restore vegetation.

#### S-2.1.4.61 KOP V: Petersville Road

#### **Affected Visual Environment**

KOP V (see table S-2-62) is where the Mainline Pipeline would cross Petersville Road. Recreationists, local residents, and others driving on Petersville Road primarily experience this view. This KOP, which is near the community of Trapper Creek, is about 1.0 mile from the intersection of the Parks Highway and Petersville Road. There are two layers of foreground visible from this KOP: the lower vegetation close to the road and the higher vegetation about 12 feet back. This is particularly the case on the south side of the road, where a transmission line runs parallel to the road but with an intervening screen of lower vegetation about 30 feet wide. The vegetation mostly obscures the transmission line from view. Due to the flat topography and dense vegetation, no middleground is visible. A small portion of background is visible to the east due to the road, but there is no visible background in the direction of the KOP.

### **Project Activities Generating Impacts**

KOP V is where the Mainline Pipeline would cross Petersville Road about 1.0 mile west of its intersection with the Parks Highway near the community of Trapper Creek. The Mainline Pipeline would cross Petersville Road belowground at this location.

#### **Visual Impacts During Construction**

Grading would introduce some noticeable changes to the landform in form, line, color, and texture, but the existing landform is flat and contrast for landform would be weak. The removal of vegetation would create rectilinear forms and straight vertical and horizontal lines along the edges of the cleared right-of-way, creating a strong contrast for vegetation. Materials and equipment would introduce moderate to strong contrast in structure. Overall, contrast would be moderate to strong during construction. Construction would take less than 2 years in this area, and views of the cleared right-of-way perpendicular to the road would be brief for most travelers. For these reasons, visual impacts for KOP V would be moderate during construction.

TABLE S-2-62					
	KOP V: Pete	rsville Road			
KOP: V		Date: 6/27/16			
Visual Resource Inventory Class: III					
Location: Northing 6912400.8, Eastin	g 641392				
Distance from proposed activity: Adja	cent to the Mainline Pipelin	е			
Approximate Milepost: 665					
Ecoregion/Subregion: Cook Inlet-Sus	itna Lowland				
Scenic Quality Classification: C Overall Sensitivity Rating: M			ating: M		
Landscape Description	Landscape Description				
Landform/Water	Vegetation Structure				
Form: flat to gently rolling foreground, obscured by vegetation	Form: complex, repetitive, regular, continuous, soft		Form: flat to rolling/curving, continuous, straight		
Line: horizontal to curving	Line: mottled, vertical and horizontal, linear		Line: horizontal, vertical linear		
Color: gray, tan	Color: light to dark green		Color: gray, black, yellow, white, brown		

### **Visual Impacts During Operation**

Grading of the Mainline Pipeline right-of-way would introduce some noticeable changes to the landform in form, line, color, and texture, but the existing landform is flat and contrast for landform would be weak. The removal of vegetation would create rectilinear forms and straight vertical and horizontal lines along the edges of the cleared right-of-way. Although some regrowth of vegetation would occur along the edges, it would take years for it to be similar in height and density to the existing vegetation and, therefore, contrast for vegetation would remain strong. Overall, contrast would be moderate to strong during operation. The duration of views of the cleared right-of-way perpendicular to the road would be brief for most travelers. For these reasons, visual impacts for KOP V would be moderate during operation.

## **Mitigation Measures**

To minimize long-term or permanent visual impacts associated with right-of-way clearing and temporary impacts during the construction phase, AGDC would implement the Project Revegetation Plan to restore vegetation.

## S-2.1.4.62 KOP 41: Talkeetna Railroad Depot

#### **Affected Visual Environment**

KOP 41 (see table S-2-63) is near the Susitna River in the town of Talkeetna. The KOP is near the old railroad depot looking southwest/west into the grassy park that functions as the town square. The structures adjacent to the park provide verticals and horizontals that contrast with the flat plane of the park. Colors are lively, ranging from brightly colored buildings to the greens of the foliage. The vegetation and the structures create strong verticals.

## **Project Activities Generating Impacts**

KOP 41 is the view southwest–west from the Talkeetna Railroad Depot. This KOP is a popular tourist stop along the railroad, which caries visitors to and from the DNPP. The KOP is 5.3 miles east of the Mainline Pipeline. Due to the distance, as well as intervening terrain, vegetation, and buildings, the Mainline Pipeline would not be visible from this location in the short or long term. No contrast is anticipated for landform, water, vegetation, or structure.

TABLE S-2-63					
KOP 41: Talkeetna Railroad Depot					
KOP: 41 Date: 8/24/15					
Visual Resource Inventory Class: III					
Location: Northing 6913594.158, Easting 64	19626.004				
Distance from proposed activity: about 5.3 n	niles from the Mainlir	ne Pipeline			
Approximate Milepost: 665					
Ecoregion/Subregion: Cook Inlet-Susitna Lo	owland				
Scenic Quality Classification: C		Overall Sensitivity	Rating: M		
Landscape Description					
Landform/Water	Vegetation		Structure		
Form: flat	Form: patchy, com	patible	Form: square, rectangular, numerous		
Line: straight, horizontal	Line: soft, irregular, broken		Line: bold, horizontal, vertical, geometric		
Color: green, brown	Color: light to dark green, gray		Color: blue, yellow, brown, gray (gravel)		
Texture: smooth	Texture: smooth to	coarse	Texture: smooth to rough		

### **Visual Impacts During Construction and Operation**

Because the Project would not be visible from this location, it would not produce any noticeable contrast and would therefore have no visual impacts on KOP 41 during construction or operation.

# **Mitigation Measures**

AGDC has not proposed any mitigation for this KOP.

#### S-2.1.4.63 KOP 42: Susitna and Talkeetna Rivers

### **Affected Visual Environment**

KOP 42 (see table S-2-64) is at the west end of the town of Talkeetna just south of the junction of the Talkeetna and Susitna Rivers. The Talkeetna River, an east-west-trending river, joins the north-to-south-flowing Susitna River just north of this riverbank. The Susitna River sweeps past the rough, gravel bank. Vegetation on both shores is thick, and there are low conifers and deciduous trees with gravel banks on all river edges. The river is fast-flowing and cloudy gray/blue/tan. Denali and accompanying mountain ranges are in clear view to the north. Tourists frequent this stretch of the river.

#### **Project Activities Generating Impacts**

KOP 42 is the view looking west from the west side of the Talkeetna River, about 4.8 miles east of the proposed Mainline Pipeline right-of-way. Due to the distance, as well as intervening terrain, vegetation, and buildings, the Mainline Pipeline would not be visible from this location in the short or long term. No contrast is anticipated for landform, water, vegetation, or structure.

## **Visual Impacts During Construction and Operation**

Because the Project would not be visible from this location, it would not produce any noticeable contrast and would therefore have no visual impacts on KOP 42 during construction or operation.

### **Mitigation Measures**

AGDC has not proposed any mitigation for this KOP.

TABLE S-2-64					
KOP 42: Susitna and Talkeetna Rivers					
KOP: 42	OP: 42 Date: 8/24/15				
Visual Resource Inventory Class: I					
Location: Northing 6913464.552, Easting 649114.154					
Distance from proposed activity: about 4.8 miles from the Mainlin	ne Pipeline				
Approximate Milepost: 665					
Ecoregion/Subregion: Cook Inlet-Susitna Lowland					
Scenic Quality Classification: A Overall Sensitivity Rating: H					
Landscape Description					
Landform/Water	Vegetation Structure				
Form: flat foreground; distant view of rugged peaks and Denali, angular	Form: gnarled, linear trees Form: NA				
Line: curving, irregular, bold, hard, diagonal foreground; jagged, irregular, bold, hard, diagonal background	Line: vertical, soft, horizontal, broken Line: NA				
Color: gray, blue, tan water; green, yellow, brown; harmonious; bwhite, cream background	olue, Color: dark and light green Color: NA				
Texture: fine grain, glossy, contrasting	Texture: medium, clumped Texture: NA				
NA = Not applicable					

## S-2.1.4.64 KOP 43: Alaska Railroad

## **Affected Visual Environment**

KOP 43 (see table S-2-65) is in the town of Talkeetna off Woodpecker Road. It includes the view from the railroad looking west toward the Susitna River. A band of deciduous trees blocks the view of the river. The flat topography and vegetation present at this KOP is common in the region. A view of the mountain peak to the north is visible from the KOP, but the mountain peak would not be seen from the train on the railroad.

TABLE S-2-65				
	KOP 43: Ala	ska Railroad		
KOP: 43		Date: 8/24/15		
Visual Resource Inventory Class: III				
Location: Northing 6908542.248, Easting 650	0081.818			
Distance from proposed activity: about 4.6 m	iles from the Mainlin	e Pipeline		
Approximate Milepost: 665				
Ecoregion/Subregion: Cook Inlet-Susitna Lov	wland			
Scenic Quality Classification: C	Scenic Quality Classification: C Overall Sensitivity Rating: H			
Landscape Description				
Landform/Water	Vegetation		Structure	
Form: flat terrain, no water	Form: tall, solid strip		Form: flat, linear, narrow (road to railroad), vertical (transmission poles)	
Line: straight, regular, geometric, horizontal	Line: soft, vertical, somewhat broken		Line: straight, regular, geometric, horizontal	
Color: brown, tan, muted	Color: light to dark green, harmonious		Color: gray, brown, muted	
Texture: fine, smooth, uniform	Texture: glossy, smooth, continuous		Texture: coarse (gravel), smooth (tracks), continuous	

## **Project Activities Generating Impacts**

KOP 43 is the view looking west from a location along the railroad about 3.0 miles south of the town of Talkeetna. The proposed Mainline Pipeline would be about 4.6 miles west of this location. The Alaska Railroad carries visitors to and from the DNPP and other places in the region. Due to the distance, as well as intervening terrain and vegetation, the Mainline Pipeline would not be visible from this location in the short or long term. There would be no contrast anticipated for landform, water, vegetation, or structure.

# **Visual Impacts During Construction and Operation**

Because the Project would not be visible from this location, it would not produce any noticeable contrast and would therefore have no visual impacts on KOP 43 during construction or operation.

### **Mitigation Measures**

AGDC has not proposed any mitigation for this KOP.

## S-2.1.4.65 KOP 44: Susitna Valley High School (view north)

#### **Affected Visual Environment**

At the back of Susitna Valley High School, KOP 44 (see table S-2-66) looks largely out on the artificial turf field behind the school. Beyond the grass to the west is a dense but low coniferous and deciduous forest. In the foreground is a paved road, small parking area, and partially finished chain-link fence. A shipping container and metal shed make up the human-made structures in this direction (the school is behind and to the east). The scenery is largely modified by the school and related structures.

TABLE S-2-66					
KOP 44: Susitna Valley High School (view north)					
KOP: 44 Date: 8/24/15					
Visual Resource Inventory Class: III					
Location: Northing 6892335.692, Easting 6540	)53.125				
Distance from proposed activity: about 2.1 mile	es from Sunshine	Railroad Spur and work p	pad		
Approximate Milepost: 677					
Ecoregion/Subregion: Cook Inlet-Susitna Lowl	and				
Scenic Quality Classification: C Overall Sensitivity Rating: M			ng: M		
Landscape Description					
Landform/Water	Vegetation Structure				
Form: flat, cleared field	Form: verticals		Form: flat, graded, cleared rectangles		
Line: horizontal lines	Line: regular (field), distinct edges		Line: horizontal, vertical		
Color: gray, tan	Color: greens		Color: tan, gray		
Texture: smooth	Texture: smooth		Texture: even		

#### **Project Activities Generating Impacts**

KOP 44 is the view looking north from the Susitna Valley High School. AGDC has proposed construction of the Sunshine Railroad Spur and work pad about 2.1 miles north of the school. Due to the distance, as well as intervening terrain, vegetation, and structures, the Sunshine Railroad Spur and work pad would not be visible from this location in the short or long term. No contrast is anticipated for landform, water, vegetation, or structure.

## **Visual Impacts During Construction and Operation**

Because the Project would not be visible from this location, it would not produce any noticeable contrast and would therefore have no visual impacts on KOP 44 during construction or operation.

### **Mitigation Measures**

AGDC has not proposed any mitigation for this KOP.

# S-2.1.4.66 KOP 45: Susitna Valley High School (view west)

#### **Affected Visual Environment**

KOP 45 (see table S-2-67) is at the Susitna Valley High School looking west. The parking surface is smooth with a screen of deciduous trees. The screen of trees is similar to what is found in the surrounding area. The scenery is modified and dominated by the existing school structures and parking facility.

### **Project Activities Generating Impacts**

KOP 45 is the view looking west from the Susitna Valley High School. The Mainline Pipeline would be about 5.5 miles west of the school and this KOP. Due to the distance, as well as intervening terrain, vegetation, and structures, the Mainline Pipeline would not be visible from this location in the short or long term. There would be no contrast anticipated for landform, water, vegetation, or structure.

# **Visual Impacts During Construction and Operation**

Because the Project would not be visible from this location, it would not produce any noticeable contrast and would therefore have no visual impacts on KOP 45 during construction or operation.

TABLE S-2-67				
KOP 45: Susitna Valley High School (view west)				
KOP: 45		Date: 8/24/15	Date: 8/24/15	
Visual Resource Inventory Class	:: III			
Location: Northing 6892338.042	, Easting 654056.248			
Distance from proposed activity:	about 5.5 miles from the Mainlin	ne Pipeline		
Approximate Milepost: 677				
Ecoregion/Subregion: Cook Inlet	-Susitna Lowland			
Scenic Quality Classification: C	: C Overall Sensitivity Rating: M			
Landscape Description				
Landform/Water	Vegetation		Structure	
Form: flat, graded, rectangular	Form: simple forms created by trees, circles		Form: flat, graded, cleared, rectangles	
Line: horizontal lines	Line: verticals from trees are unified		Line: strong horizontals	
Color: brown	Color: greens		Color: black, tan, gray	
Texture: even	Texture: medium grain, even in both foreground grass and background trees		Texture: smooth	

### **Mitigation Measures**

AGDC has not proposed any mitigation for this KOP.

## S-2.1.4.67 KOP 46: Susitna-Rainy Pass Trail

#### **Affected Visual Environment**

AGDC did not survey KOP 46 (see table S-2-68), the Susistna-Rainy Pass trail, during the field visits for the Project due to lack of accessibility.

TABLE S-2-68			
KOP 46: Susitna – Rainy Pass Trail			
KOP: 46			
Visual Resource Inventory Class: not determined for this KOP			
Location: Northing 6726251.9, Easting 593304.8			
Distance from proposed activity: about 2.0 miles south of the Mainline Pipeline			
Approximate Milepost: 723			
Ecoregion/Subregion: Cook Inlet-Susitna Lowland			

#### S-2.1.4.68 KOP 47: Iditared National Historic Trail

#### **Affected Visual Environment**

AGDC did not survey KOP 47 (see table S-2-69) at the INHT during the field visits for the Project due to lack of accessibility.

TABLE S-2-69			
KOP 47: Iditarod National Historic Trail			
KOP: 47			
Visual Resource Inventory Class: not determined for this KOP			
Location: Northing 6726406.9, Easting 595805.3			
Distance from proposed activity: adjacent to the Mainline Pipeline on the southeast			
Approximate Milepost: 724			
Ecoregion/Subregion: Cook Inlet-Susitna Lowland			

## S-2.1.4.69 KOP 2018-1: Healy Compressor Station

#### **Affected Visual Environment**

KOP 2018-1 (see table S-2-70) is on the Parks Highway north of the town of Healy looking down and toward the river (see figure S-2-16a). The highway is flat and curvilinear at this location. The foreground slopes down toward the river and a broad, flat, middleground, with a gradual slope toward the background. The background is asymmetrical and rolling. Some vegetation is immediately next to the road. The vegetation consists of various deciduous undergrowth and black spruce. The hills and mountain in the background have vegetation covering most slopes. Residents, truck drivers, and tourists primarily experience this view.

### **Project Activities Generating Impacts**

KOP 2018-1 is the view looking northeast onto the proposed site of the Healy Compressor Station. The compressor station would be visible from the roadway.

TABLE S-2-70					
KOP 2018-1: Healy Compressor Station					
KOP: 2018-1		Date	e: 8/15/2018		
Visual Resource Inventory Class: III					
Location: Northing 7094290.55, Easting 395992	2.92				
Distance from proposed activity: adjacent to He	ealy Compressor Station				
Approximate Milepost: 332.6					
Ecoregion/Subregion: Alaska Range					
Scenic Quality Classification: A Overall Sensitivity Rating: H					
Landscape Description					
Landform/Water	Vegetation		Structure		
Form: horizontal foreground; rugged, angular background	Form: numerous, continuous foreground; mottled background		Form: flat		
Line: horizontal foreground; horizontal raised background  Line: horizontal strip at foreground; continuous, patchy background  Line: horizontal (road)			Line: horizontal (road)		
Color: gray, tan foreground; light to dark brown background	Color: light green foreground, light to dark green background	(	Color: gray, black, yellow, white		
Texture: smooth foreground, smooth background	Texture: smooth foreground and background	und	Texture: smooth		

### **Visual Impacts During Construction**

Land clearance and grading would introduce some noticeable changes to the landform in color and texture, but the existing landform is flat, creating a weak contrast for landform (see figure S-2-16b). The removal of vegetation would create rectilinear forms and straight vertical and horizontal lines along the edges of the cleared workspace. There would be moderate contrast to vegetation, and the materials and equipment used for construction would introduce moderate contrast in structure. Overall, contrast would be moderate during construction. Due to the high use of the Parks Highway, the Project would be visible to a large number of travelers, although the average viewer would see this view for a brief period. For these reasons, visual impacts for KOP 2018-1 would be moderate during construction.

# **Visual Impacts During Operation**

Due to the high use of the Parks Highway, the Project would be visible to a large number of travelers, although the average viewer would see this view for a brief period (see figure S-2-16c). Condensation plumes from the compressor station could also be visible under certain atmospheric conditions (see section S-2.1.1.1) in an area where no such plumes exist. Overall contrast would be moderate to strong, and visual impacts during operation would be moderate.

#### **Mitigation Measures**

To reduce the impact of added roadway and aboveground facilities, AGDC would maintain existing vegetation where practicable and would minimize the use of smooth, reflective surfaces and use non-contrasting colors. During construction, AGDC would use the minimum lighting required for safety and security for nighttime, orient all permanent lighting downward and shield it to eliminate off-site light spill, and use timers or motion-activated sensors for all lighting (see figures S-2-16f and g). We also recommend in section 4.10.2.2 of the environmental impact statement that AGDC ensure that facility lighting conforms to International Dark-Sky Association guidelines.

## S-2.1.4.70 KOP 2018-2: Ray River Compressor Station

#### **Affected Visual Environment**

KOP 2018-2 (see table S-2-71) is along the Parks Highway near highway MP 256.1 (see figure S-2-17a). Topography at this location is mostly flat. Forest vegetation, dominated by birch and conifers, is dense on both sides of the road. A strip of grasses and low brush is directly adjacent to the road. The brown, flat stretch of the Dalton Highway dominates the view to the south. The view to the west is low, rolling hills in the background marked by vertical lines of a conifer-dominated forest. The browns and greens do not contrast with the tans and browns of the road and right-of-way. Residents, truck drivers, and tourists primarily experience the view from KOP 2018-2.

## **Project Activities Generating Impacts**

KOP 2018-2 is the view looking west near Dalton Highway MP 86.0 and is adjacent to the proposed Ray River Compressor Station site. The Compressor Station would be directly visible from this KOP.

TABLE S-2-71					
KOP 2018-2: Ray River Compressor Station					
KOP: 2018-2		Date: 08/15/2018			
Visual Resource Inventory Class: III					
Location: Northing 7338177.85, Easting 627634	4.98				
Distance from proposed activity: adjacent to Ra	y River Compressor	Station			
Approximate Milepost: 517.6					
Ecoregion/Subregion: Ray River					
Scenic Quality Classification: B Overall Sensitivity Rating: M					
Landscape Description					
Landform/Water	Vegetation		Structure		
Form: flat foreground, rolling background	Form: smooth foreground, rough, vertical background Form: flat, smooth				
Line: soft, straight, horizontal foreground, curving background	Line: soft, continuous foreground; vertical, rugged background  Line: regular, soft, horizontal				
Color: browns	Color: light to dark green; yellow, orange, brown seasonally		Color: browns		
Texture: smooth foreground and background	Texture: smooth, clumped foreground; coarse background Texture: smooth, uniform				

### **Visual Impacts During Construction**

Land clearance and grading would introduce some noticeable changes to the landform in color and texture, but the existing landform is flat and contrast for landform would be weak (see figure S-2-17b). The removal of vegetation would create rectilinear forms and straight vertical and horizontal lines along the edges of the cleared workspace. Contrast for vegetation would be moderate, and the materials and equipment used for construction would introduce moderate contrast in structure. Overall, contrast would be moderate during construction. Due to the high use of the Parks Highway, the Project would be visible to a large number of travelers, although the average viewer would see this view for a brief period. For these reasons, visual impacts for KOP 2018-1 would be moderate during construction.

### **Visual Impacts During Operation**

Due to the high use of the Parks Highway, the compressor station would be visible to a large number of travelers, although the average viewer would see this view for a brief period (see figures S-2-17c,

S-2-17f, and S-2-17g). Condensation plumes from the compressor station could also be visible under certain atmospheric conditions (see section S-2.1.1.1) in an area where no such plumes exist. Overall, contrast would be moderate to strong and visual impacts during operation would be moderate.

### **Mitigation Measures**

To reduce the impact of added roadway and aboveground facilities, AGDC would minimize the use of smooth, reflective surfaces and use non-contrasting colors in facility design. AGDC would use the minimum lighting required for safety and security for nighttime, orient all permanent lighting downward and shield it to eliminate off-site light spill, and use timers or motion-activated sensors for all lighting.

# S-2.1.4.71 KOPs 2018-3 Through 2018-7: George Parks Highway Crossings 1–5

#### **Affected Visual Environment**

The foreground and middleground for KOPs 2018-3, 2018-4, 2018-5, 2018-6, and 2018-7 (see table S-2-72) are generally smooth and gray, with seasonally colorful vegetation along the sides (see figures S-2-18a through S-2-22a). Residents, tourists, truck drivers, and others traveling on the Parks Highway primarily experience these views. The background also includes seasonally colorful vegetation with some jagged structures in the distance. The colors are gray and green, with seasonal yellows and reds from vegetation. There are no existing visible structures in the area.

TABLE S-2-72					
KOP 2018-3 Through 2018-7: George Parks Highway Crossings 1-5					
KOP: 2018-3 through 2018-7		Date: 08/15/2018			
Visual Resource Inventory Class: III					
Location: KOP 2018-3: Northing 362015.37, Easting KOP 2018-4: Northing 347332.13, Easting KOP 2018-5: Northing 647147.69, Easting KOP 2018-6: Northing 645712.10, Easting KOP 2018-7: Northing 642100.93, Easting	6966360.07 647147.69 645712.10				
Distance from proposed activity: KOPs 20	18-3 through 2018-7 are at the Mainline	Pipeline crossing of the Parks Highway			
Approximate Mainline Pipeline Mileposts: KOP 2018-3: 612.6 (George Parks Highway MP 165.4) KOP 2018-4: 625.1 (George Parks Highway MP 152.8) KOP 2018-5: 630.2 (George Parks Highway MP 147.7) KOP 2018-6: 632.6 (George Parks Highway MP 146.4) KOP 2018-7: 640.5 (George Parks Highway MP 137.6)					
Ecoregion/Subregion: Alaska Range					
Scenic Quality Classification: C	Scenic Quality Classification: C Overall Sensitivity Rating: M				
Landscape Description					
Landform/Water	Vegetation	Structure			
Form: flat to gently rolling foreground, mountainous background Form: trees and grasses—complex, repetitive, regular, continuous soft continuous, straight					
Line: horizontal to curving  Line: mottled, vertical and horizontal, line: horizontal, vertical linear					
Color: gray, tan  Color: light to dark green, some yellow, red, and brown  Color: gray, black, yellow, white		Color: gray, black, yellow, white, brown			
Texture: smooth foreground, jagged background	Texture: soft, complex	Texture: smooth			

## **Project Activities Generating Impacts**

The Mainline Facilities would cross the Parks Highway belowground at KOPs 2018-3 through 2018-7. Crossings would occur at oblique angles to the highway. Because the Parks Highway is heavily traveled, the crossings would be visible to a large number of travelers. The absence of public pullout or parking areas near these KOPs (except KOP 2018-3), would make views of each crossing location brief.

## **Visual Impacts During Construction**

Machinery and equipment would present the greatest contrast during construction. Grading would introduce some noticeable changes to the landform in form, line, color, and texture (see figures S-2-18b through S-2-22b). The removal of vegetation would create rectilinear forms and straight vertical and horizontal lines along the edges of the cleared right-of-way. Overall, contrast would be moderate during construction. Construction would take less than 2 years in this area. As a result, visual impacts for KOPs 2018-3 through 2018-7 would be moderate during construction.

## **Visual Impacts During Operation**

Although some regrowth of vegetation would occur along the edges, new vegetation would take multiple years to become similar in height and density to the existing vegetation (see figures S-2-18c through S-2-22c). Contrast for vegetation would therefore remain strong. Overall, contrast would be moderate, and the duration of views of the cleared right-of-way crossing would be brief for most travelers on the highway. For these reasons, visual impacts for KOPs 2018-3 through 2018-7 would be moderate during operation.

## **Mitigation Measures**

To minimize long-term or permanent visual impacts associated with right-of-way clearing and temporary impacts during the construction phase, AGDC would limit vegetation clearing to the construction footprint only, maintain a vegetation screen at the Mainline Pipeline's intersection with the Parks Highway to the extent practicable, and implement the Project Revegetation Plan.

### S-2.1.4.72 KOP 2018-8: Denali Park Road

### **Affected Visual Environment**

KOP 2018-8 (see table S-2-73) is at Parks Highway MP 237.3 and is the view from the Parks Highway junction with Denali Park Road, as seen from the multiuse trail adjacent to the Parks Highway (see figure S-2-23a). Residents, tourists, truck drivers, and others traveling on the Parks Highway primarily experience this view. This view faces east and includes Sugar Loaf Mountain, Mt. Fellows, and other distant mountains. The viewshed is mostly vegetated and green in color with some grays from the existing Parks Highway and Park Road crossing. Nearly all DNPP visitors pass this junction during their visit. The location is thus well known but not for its scenery, and most visitors do not linger at this viewpoint. Pedestrian use on the trail typically consists of visitors passing through to other locations within the DNPP.

### **Project Activities Generating Impacts**

Right-of-way clearing and related activities, traffic controls, and construction equipment and workers would be visible from KOP 2018-8 during construction.

TABLE S-2-73				
KOP 2018-8: Denali Park Road				
KOP: 2018-8 Date: 08/15/2018			: 08/15/2018	
Visual Resource Inventory Class	ss: not determined for this KOP			
Location: Northing 406876.61,	Easting 7068202.92			
Distance from proposed activity	c: about 0.7 mile from the Mainline	Pipeli	ine	
Approximate Milepost: 538.0				
Ecoregion/Subregion: Alaska R	lange			
Scenic Quality Classification: C Overall Sensitivity Rating: M				
Landscape Description: Natura	l; some vegetation and roadways			
Landform/Water Vegetation			Structure	
Form: NA	Form: trees, shrubs, grasses		Form: mountains, human-made	
Line: NA Line: vertical			Line: vertical, horizontal	
Color: NA	Color: green, yellow		Color: tan, brown, gray, blue	
Texture: NA	Texture: rough Texture: smooth, rough		Texture: smooth, rough	
NA = Not applicable				

#### **Visual Impacts During Construction**

The strong lines and contrast between vegetation and soil color created by clearing the right-of-way could draw viewer attention and potentially detract from the natural elements (see figure S-2-23b). The Mainline Facilities would only be partially visible from this location, and only at the northernmost portion of the view. Viewers generally do not spend extensive time at this location due to the absence of interpretive materials or prominent features in the view. As a result, visual impacts during construction would be low at this KOP.

# **Visual Impacts During Operation**

Although some vegetative regrowth would occur along the edges, new vegetation would take multiple years to become similar in height and density to the existing vegetation (see figure S-2-23c). A reduction in vegetation contrast compared to construction would occur. Accordingly, the visual impacts for this KOP would be low during operation.

### **Mitigation Measures**

To minimize long-term or permanent visual impacts associated with right-of-way clearing and temporary impacts during the construction phase, AGDC would limit vegetation clearing to the construction footprint only and implement the Project Revegetation Plan.

## **S-2.1.4.73 KOP 2018-9: Government Hill**

### **Affected Visual Environment**

KOP 2018-9 (see table S-2-74), within the DNPP, is the view looking out at Government Hill from Sugar Loaf Mountain to the descending slope of Erratic Ridge (see figure S-2-24a). Tourists and workers within the DNPP primarily experience this view. This is a popular location for viewing the historic train trestle over Riley Creek, as well as fall colors on the mountains and in the aspen trees below the park road. The view is familiar among photographers and train enthusiasts, is included in NPS marketing materials, and is informally promoted as a scenic overlook by bus drivers and DNPP staff. Proposed DNPP management actions at this location would further promote it for viewing. These include clearing trees and potentially widening the informal pullout. Most visitors only stop briefly, due in part to the absence of a

formal pullout (only a narrow shoulder is available), although photographers wait long periods at this location for the train to cross the trestle.

TABLE S-2-74				
KOP 2018-9: Government Hill				
KOP: 2018-9 Date: 07/18/2018				
Visual Resource Inventory Clas	ss: not determined for this KOP			
Location: 404386.88 Northing,	7067797.11 Easting			
Distance from proposed activity	y: about 2.1 miles from the Mainlin	ne Pipeline		
Approximate Milepost: 537.2				
Ecoregion/Subregion: Alaska F	Range			
Scenic Quality Classification: A Overall Sensitivity Rating: H				
Landscape Description				
Landform/Water Vegetation Structure		Structure		
Form: creek	Form: trees, shrubs	Form: mountains		
Line: horizontal Line: vertical		Line: horizontal		
Color: gray, blue	Color: green, seasonal yellow, brown, red, green	Color: gray, brown		
Texture: smooth	Texture: rough, jagged	Texture: rugged, jagged edges		

## **Project Activities Generating Impacts**

Right-of-way clearing and related activities and equipment would be visible from KOP 2018-9 during construction.

### **Visual Impacts During Construction**

The strong lines and contrast between vegetation and soil color created by clearing the Mainline Pipeline right-of-way could draw viewers' attention and potentially detract from the natural elements (see figure S-2-24b). The prominent existing features of this view (the bridge and Riley Creek) would remain the focal point, but the Mainline Pipeline right-of-way on the left side of the view would attract attention. Overall, Project construction would result in high visual contrast and corresponding high visual impacts at this location.

# **Visual Impacts During Operation**

While no formal pullout currently exists, the DNPP management activities described above would likely increase the number of viewers and the length of the typical viewer stay at this location (see figure S-2-24c). Following construction, some vegetative regrowth would occur along the edges of the right-of-way, but new vegetation would take multiple years to become similar in height and density to adjacent, existing vegetation. As a result, contrast for vegetation and soil color would be reduced over time compared to construction. Accordingly, the visual impacts for this KOP would be moderate during operation.

### **Mitigation Measures**

To minimize long-term or permanent visual impacts associated with right-of-way clearing and temporary impacts during the construction phase, AGDC would limit vegetation clearing to the construction footprint only and implement the Project Revegetation Plan. AGDC would also consider construction schedule and traffic control planning that would reduce the size of crews.

#### S-2.1.4.74 KOP 2018-10: Alaska Railroad Above Horseshoe Lake

#### **Affected Visual Environment**

KOP 2018-10 (see table S-2-75), which is near Mainline Pipeline MP 536.2, looks out from the bluff edge just above the railroad tracks above Horseshoe Lake, approximating the "gateway" view of DNPP visitors arriving from the north on the Alaska Railroad (see figure S-2-25a). Alaska Railroad passengers, including DNPP visitors and through passengers, primarily experience this view. In addition to Horseshoe Lake, the view includes entrance area developments, Sugar Loaf Mountain, and Mt. Fellows.

TABLE S-2-75				
KOP 2018-10: Railroad Above Horsehoe Lake				
KOP: 2018-10		Date	: 07/18/2018	
Visual Resource Inventory Class	ss: not determined for this KOP			
Location: 405527.23 Northing,	7069568.9 Easting			
Distance from proposed activity	y: about 0.8 mile from the Mainline	Pipeli	ne	
Approximate Milepost: 536.2				
Ecoregion/Subregion: Alaska R	Range			
Scenic Quality Classification: B Overall Sensitivity Rating: M			all Sensitivity Rating: M	
Landscape Description				
Landform/Water	ndform/Water Vegetation		Structure	
Form: lakes	Form: trees		Form: mountains, human-made features	
Line: horizontal	Line: horizontal		Line: horizontal	
Color: blue, green, dark gray	Color: green, black		Color: gray, black, red/orange (roofs)	
Texture: smooth	Texture: rough, smooth		Texture: jagged	

## **Project Activities Generating Impacts**

Right-of-way clearing and related activities and equipment would be visible from KOP 2018-10 during construction.

# **Visual Impacts During Construction and Operation**

Vegetation clearing for the creation of the Mainline Pipeline right-of-way would create a strong contrast that would be visible to viewers, even in the presence of contrast from other human-made features within the view (see figures S-2-25b and c). This location is only visible to passengers on the Alaska Railroad. As a result, Project construction would have moderate visual impacts, while mitigation measures such as revegetation would result in low visual impacts during Project operation.

## **Mitigation Measures**

To minimize long-term or permanent visual impacts associated with right-of-way clearing and temporary impacts during the construction phase, AGDC would limit vegetation clearing to the construction footprint only and implement the Project Revegetation Plan. AGDC would also consider construction schedule and traffic control planning that would reduce the size of crews.

## S-2.1.4.75 KOP 2018-11: Mt. Healy Overlook Trail Summit

#### **Affected Visual Environment**

KOP 2018-11 (see table S-2-76) is the view from the summit of the Mt. Healy Overlook Trail (see figure S-2-26a). Hikers of this trail experience the view. Due to its elevation, the KOP provides an expansive view from the north end of Sugar Loaf Mountain to the east, south, and southwest to the adjacent peak where the DNPP's radio repeater antenna is installed. Dominating this view are ridgelines, the Nenana River and valley, DNPP structures, and natural landscapes.

TABLE S-2-76				
KOP 2018-11: Mt. Healy Overlook Trail Summit				
KOP: 2018-11		Date: 07/18/2018		
Visual Resource Inventory Cla	ss: not determined for this KOP			
Location: 403593.23 Northing,	7069786.56 Easting			
Distance from proposed activit	y: about 1.8 miles from the Mainli	ine Pipeline		
Approximate Milepost: 536.1				
Ecoregion/Subregion: Alaska I	Range			
Scenic Quality Classification: B Overall Sensitivity Rating: H				
Landscape Description	Landscape Description			
Landform/Water Vegetation Structu		Structure		
Form: river	Form: trees	Form: mountains		
Line: horizontal	Line: horizontal	Line: horizontal		
Color: blue, gray	Color: green	Color: gray, brown		
Texture: smooth	Texture: smooth	Texture: jagged, peaks		

### **Project Activities Generating Impacts**

Extensive right-of-way clearing and related activities would be visible from KOP 2018-11 during construction.

#### **Visual Impacts During Construction**

The Mainline Pipeline right-of-way would be highly visible throughout the view from the high vantage point of this KOP, and would extend essentially in line with the view (see figure S-2-26b). The strong lines and contrast between vegetation and soil color created by clearing the right-of-way could draw viewers' attention and potentially detract from the natural elements. Overall, Project construction would create a strong contrast and high visual impact.

## **Visual Impacts During Operation**

While new vegetation would reduce the strength of the Project's linear forms, the new vegetation would take multiple years to become similar in height and density to the adjacent, existing vegetation (see figure S-2-26c). As a result, the permanent right-of-way would continue to introduce contrast for vegetation, although less than during construction. Accordingly, the visual impacts for this KOP would be moderate during operation.

## **Mitigation Measures**

To minimize long-term or permanent visual impacts associated with right-of-way clearing and temporary impacts during the construction phase, AGDC would limit vegetation clearing to the construction

footprint and implement the Project Revegetation Plan. Even with these mitigation efforts, a clear view of the right-of-way would still exist, especially during winter (see figure S-2-26e), because the new vegetation would be shorter than the surrounding areas. AGDC would also consider construction schedule and traffic control planning that would reduce the size of crews.

### **S-2.1.4.76 KOP 2018-12: Triple Lakes Trail**

#### **Affected Visual Environment**

KOP 2018-12 (see table S-2-77) provides a view from the Triple Lakes Trail, approximately 0.4 mile from the southern trailhead (see figure S-2-27a). Residents, tourists, truck drivers, and others traveling on the Parks Highway primarily experience this view. The view includes Mt. Fellows, Sugar Loaf Mountain, and the Nenana River below. Hikers pause briefly where the vegetation opens naturally. Ridgelines, peaks, cut banks, bluffs, and the river add interest to the view. The trail is well publicized in park publications with some commercial guided hiking. Less than one-third of DNPP visitors hike to this viewpoint.

TABLE S-2-77					
KOP 2018-12: Triple Lakes Trail					
KOP: 2018-12	KOP: 2018-12 Date: 07/18/2018				
Visual Resource Inventory Class	ss: not determined for this KOP				
Location: 406563.67 Northing,	7059727.01 Easting				
Distance from proposed activity	: about 3.9 miles from the Mainlin	e Pipe	eline		
Approximate Milepost: 543.4					
Ecoregion/Subregion: Alaska R	lange				
Scenic Quality Classification: B Overall Sensitivity Rating: H					
Landscape Description					
Landform/Water	Vegetation		Structure		
Form: river	Form: trees, bushed		Form: mountains, peaks, bluffs		
Line: flat	Line: vertical		Line: horizontal		
Color: blue, white	Color: light and dark greens, seasonal colors		Color: gray, brown, black		
Texture: rough, some rapids	Texture:		Texture: rough, jagged		

#### **Project Activities Generating Impacts**

Clearing vegetation for the right-of-way has the potential to generating impacts on the viewshed. However, the right-of-way is only slightly visible at this viewpoint, and so the overall impacts would be expected to be low. Right-of-way clearing and related activities, traffic controls, and construction equipment and workers would be visible from KOP 2018-12 during construction.

### **Visual Impacts During Construction**

The right-of-way is only slightly visible at the far extent of the middleground in the center of the view (see figure S-2-27b). Accordingly, contrast would be weak at this KOP and Project construction would have low visual impacts.

## **Visual Impacts During Operation**

Contrast during operation would be the same as or lower than during construction (low to no contrast) (see figure S-2-27c). Project operation would have low visual impacts at this KOP.

#### **Mitigation Measures**

To minimize long-term or permanent visual impacts associated with right-of-way clearing and temporary impacts during the construction phase, AGDC would limit vegetation clearing to the construction footprint and implement the Project Revegetation Plan to restore vegetation. AGDC would also consider construction schedule and traffic control planning that would reduce the size of crews.

### S-2.1.4.77 KOP 2018-13: Nenana River Pedestrian Bridge

#### **Affected Visual Environment**

KOP 2018-13 (see table S-2-78) is the view looking northwest, north, east, and south from the trail leading to the Nenana River pedestrian bridge north of the DNPP entrance (see figure S-2-28a). Residents, tourists, truck drivers, and others traveling on the Parks Highway primarily experience this view. The view includes Healy Ridge; the Nenana River; surrounding hills, hotels, and businesses in McKinley Village; and the Parks Highway vehicle bridge over the Nenana River. Mountains, the river, and some human-made features add interest to the view. All DNPP visitors from the north pass through this area by foot, bicycle, or vehicle; some stop for the view from the bridge or nearby benches.

TABLE S-2-78					
KOP 2018-13: Nenana River Pedestrian Bridge					
KOP: 2018-13			ate: 07/18/2018		
Visual Resource Inventory Class	ss: Not determined for this KOP				
Location: 406870.73 Northing,	7069022.43 Easting				
Distance from proposed activity	y: about 0.4 mile from the Mainline	Pipel	ine		
Approximate Milepost: 537.2					
Ecoregion/Subregion: Alaska R	Range				
Scenic Quality Classification: C Overall Sensitivity Rating: M			rall Sensitivity Rating: M		
Landscape Description	Landscape Description				
Landform/Water	er Vegetation		Structure		
Form: river	Form: trees, shrubs		Form: mountains, human-made structures		
Line: vertical	Line: horizontal, vertical		Line: vertical		
Color: blue, white	Color: green		Color: gray, silver, brown		
Texture: smooth	Texture: jagged, rough		Texture: rough peaks, uneven		

# **Project Activities Generating Impacts**

Vegetation clearing to create the Mainline Pipeline right-of-way has the potential to create impacts for this KOP. Right-of-way clearing and related activities, traffic controls, and construction equipment and workers would be visible from KOP 2018-13 during construction.

### **Visual Impacts During Construction**

The right-of-way is only slightly visible on the ridge above this KOP (see figure S-2-28b). As a result, Project construction would have weak contrast at this KOP and low visual impacts.

## **Visual Impacts During Operation**

Contrast during operation would be the same as or lower than during construction (low to no contrast) (see figure S-2-28c). Project operation would therefore have low visual impacts at this KOP.

## **Mitigation Measures**

To minimize long-term or permanent visual impacts associated with right-of-way clearing and temporary impacts during the construction phase, AGDC would limit vegetation clearing to the construction footprint and implement the Project Revegetation Plan. AGDC would also consider construction schedule and traffic control planning that would reduce the size of crews.

### S-2.1.4.78 KOP 2018-14: South of Parks Highway MP 236

#### **Affected Visual Environment**

KOP 2018-14 (see table S-2-79) is the view from the Parks Highway south of MP 236 where the railroad tracks are very close to the highway (see figure S-2-29a). Residents, tourists, truck drivers, and others traveling on the Parks Highway primarily experience this view. The view includes Mt. Healy, Sugar Loaf Mountain, Mount Fellows, Pyramid Mountain, and distant peaks, as well as the tree line, ridgelines, and escarpments. Park visitors pass this location on the Parks Highway or the railroad.

TABLE S-2-79				
KOP 2018-14: South of Parks Highway – MP 236				
KOP: 2018-14 Date: 07/18/2018				
Visual Resource Inventory Clas	ss: not determined for this KOP			
Location: 406751.05 Northing,	7066071.84 Easting			
Distance from proposed activity	y: about 1.2 miles from the Mainlir	ne Pipeline		
Approximate Milepost: 538.8				
Ecoregion/Subregion: Alaska F	Range			
Scenic Quality Classification: B Overall Sensitivity Rating: M				
Landscape Description				
Landform/Water Vegetation Structure				
Form: river Form: trees, shrubs		Form: mountains, peaks		
Line: horizontal	Line: vertical	Line: horizontal		
Color: white	Color: light and dark green	Color: gray, black		
Texture: smooth	Texture: jagged	Texture: rough, jagged		

### **Project Activities Generating Impacts**

Right-of-way clearing and related activities, traffic controls, and construction equipment and workers would be visible from KOP 2018-14 during construction.

### **Visual Impacts During Construction**

The strong lines and contrast between vegetation and soil color created by clearing the right-of-way could draw viewers' attention at this KOP and potentially detract from the natural elements, but the Mainline Pipeline right-of-way would be only partially visible at the edge of the view (see figure S-2-29b). Accordingly, Project construction would introduce moderate visual contrast. Viewers do not spend a lot of time at this location and there are no prominent features in the view. Therefore, Project construction would have low visual impacts at this KOP.

## **Visual Impacts During Operation**

New vegetation would reduce the strength of the Project's linear forms over time (see figure S-2-29c). Contrast during operation would be the same as or lower than during construction (low to no contrast). Accordingly, Project operation would have low visual impacts at this KOP.

### **Mitigation Measures**

To minimize long-term or permanent visual impacts associated with right-of-way clearing and temporary impacts during the construction phase, AGDC would limit vegetation clearing to the construction footprint only and implement the Project Revegetation Plan. AGDC would also consider construction schedule and traffic control planning that would reduce the size of crews.

### S-2.1.5 LIQUEFACTION FACILITIES

### S-2.1.5.1 KOP 48: Trading Bay Beach

#### **Affected Visual Environment**

KOP 48 (see table S-2-80) is at Trading Bay Beach within the Trading Bay Scenic Game Refuge. This KOP was not surveyed during the field visits for this report due to lack of accessibility.

TABLE S-2-80	
KOP 48: Trading Bay Beach	
KOP: 48	
Visual Resource Inventory Class: not determined for this KOP	
Location: Northing 6715961.8, Easting 594383.7	
Distance from proposed activity: about 13.6 miles from the Liquefaction Facility (across Cook Inlet)	
Approximate Milepost: NA	
Ecoregion/Subregion: Cook Inlet-Susitna Lowland	
NA = Not applicable	

### S-2.1.5.2 KOP 49: Nikiski North Star Elementary School (view north)

### **Affected Visual Environment**

KOP 49 (see table S-2-81) is in front of Nikiski North Star Elementary looking north on Emerald Street (the school is on Holt Lamplight Road, which is perpendicular to Emerald Street). Residents of Nikiski and employees and students at the school primarily see this view. The landform is flat, horizontal, and smooth, with medium-coarse to smooth texture. The vegetation is primarily light green. Structures include street signs, transmission poles, transmission lines, and roads. Emerald Street is a dirt road and Holt Lamplight Road is paved.

## **Project Activities Generating Impacts**

KOP 49 is the view looking north from the Nikiski North Star Elementary School (i.e., not toward the Liquefaction Facilities). AGDC would construct the Mainline Pipeline about 5.5 miles northwest of the school, while the Liquefaction Facilities would be about 1.5 miles west of the school. Due to the distance and generally flat terrain with tall, dense vegetation, the Mainline Pipeline and Liquefaction Facilities would not be visible from this location in the short or long term. There would be no contrast anticipated for landform, water, vegetation, or structure for the Mainline Pipeline or Liquefaction Facilities.

TABLE S-2-81						
KO	KOP 49: Nikiski North Star Elementary School (view north)					
KOP: 49	KOP: 49 Date: 9/1/15					
Visual Resource Inventory Class: IV						
Location: Northing 6726247.646, East	sting 593353.842					
Distance from proposed activity: about	ut 5.5 miles from the Mainlin	e Pipeline; abo	out 1.5 miles from the Liquefaction Facility			
Approximate Milepost: NA						
Ecoregion/Subregion: Cook Inlet-Sus	sitna Lowland					
Scenic Quality Classification: C Overall Sensitivity Rating: M			itivity Rating: M			
Landscape Description						
Landform/Water	Vegetation		Structure			
Form: flat, horizontal, smooth	Form: regular, solid, vertical		Form: horizontal, vertical, linear, geometric, angular			
Line: horizontal, straight, continuous	Line: parallel, vertical		Line: horizontal, straight			
Color: brown, gray	Color: light green; yellow, pink seasonal		Color: gray, silver, brown			
Texture: medium-coarse to smooth	Texture: soft, glossy		Texture: medium-rough, coarse			
NA = Not applicable	<u> </u>		•			

### **Visual Impacts During Construction and Operation**

Because the Mainline Pipeline and Liquefaction Facilities would not be visible from this location, it would not produce any noticeable contrast and would therefore have no visual impacts on KOP 49 during construction or operation.

#### **Mitigation Measures**

AGDC has not proposed any mitigation for this KOP.

### S-2.1.5.3 KOP 50: Nikiski North Star Elementary School (view west)

#### **Affected Visual Environment**

KOP 50 (see table S-2-82) is in front of Nikiski North Star Elementary School looking west along Holt Lamplight Road. Nikiski residents and the local region and employees and students at the school primarily see this view. The landform is flat, horizontal, and smooth. Rugged mountains are visible in the far background through the gap in the trees created by the road to the west. Vegetation is regular and continuous, consisting primarily of parallel verticals and light green color. Structures include the road, transmission lines, and light poles.

# **Project Activities Generating Impacts**

KOP 50 is the view looking west from the Nikiski North Star Elementary School. AGDC would construct the Mainline Pipeline and liquefied natural gas (LNG) Plant about 1.5 miles west of the school. Due to the distance, generally flat terrain, and intervening tall dense vegetation, the LNG Plant would not be directly visible from this location and there would be no contrast anticipated for landform, water, vegetation, or structures during construction or operation.

AGDC did not evaluate the potential size and frequency of visible condensation plumes from the Liquefaction Facilities. As discussed in section S-2.1.1.1, AGDC concluded in a study of plumes associated

with compressor stations and heater stations that condensation plumes would be visible during 2 to 26 percent of daylight hours, with a maximum plume height of 130 to 430 feet (41 to 132 meters) and a maximum plume length of 130 to 1,540 feet (39 to 470 meters).<sup>2</sup> Based on this information, we conclude that condensation plumes from the Liquefaction Facility would potentially be visible from KOP 50.

TABLE S-2-82					
KOP 50: Nikiski North Star Elementary School (view west)					
KOP: 50		Date: 9/1/15			
Visual Resource Inventory Class: III					
Location: Northing 6726252.083, Easting 5933	302.636				
Distance from proposed activity: about 1.5 mile	es west of the Liqu	efaction Facility			
Approximate Milepost: NA					
Ecoregion/Subregion: Cook Inlet-Susitna Lowl	and				
Scenic Quality Classification: C Overall Sensitivity Rating: M			Rating: M		
Landscape Description					
Landform/Water	Vegetation		Structure		
Form: flat, horizontal; rugged far background	Form: strip, regular		Form: vertical (transmission, light pole), horizontal		
Line: horizontal, smooth; rugged/jagged far background	Line: continuous, parallel, verticals		Line: horizontal, vertical, straight		
Color: brown/tan, gray	Color: light green mostly, come dark green; yellow and pink seasonally		Color: brown, gray		
Texture: smooth to medium	Texture: smooth to medium-rough		Texture: smooth, medium-rough		
NA = Not applicable					

# **Visual Impacts During Construction**

Although the LNG Plant would not be directly visible from this KOP, the facility's construction would introduce substantial new sources of artificial nighttime lighting at the facility. Due to the distance and intervening tall vegetation, the light sources would not be directly visible from the vicinity of this KOP, but it is likely that the lighting would be visible at night reflecting off low clouds and trees and glowing in the atmosphere. Although there are existing sources of artificial nighttime light from industrial, commercial, and residential development in the area, reflected light and atmospheric glow from the Project would add appreciably to the existing night sky lighting in views from this area. Impacts on night sky due to construction lighting would occur for about 6.75 years (the construction period for the Liquefaction Facilities). For these reasons, the LNG Plant visual impact on views from KOP 50 and the surrounding area during construction would be moderate.

#### **Visual Impacts During Operation**

.

Although the LNG Plant would not be directly visible from this KOP, operation of the facility would introduce substantial new sources of artificial nighttime lighting at the facility. Due to the distance and intervening tall vegetation, the light sources would not be directly visible from the vicinity of this KOP, but it is likely that the lighting would be visible at night reflecting off low clouds and trees and glowing in the atmosphere. Although there are existing sources of artificial nighttime light from industrial, commercial, and residential development in the area, the Project's reflected light and atmospheric glow

Information can be found in AGDC's "Project Note: Analysis of Visible Condensation Plumes from Compressor and Heater Stations" (Accession No. 20170616-5204) on Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's website at http://www.ferc.gov. Using the "eLibrary" link, select "Advanced Search" from the eLibrary menu and enter the 20170616-5204 in the "Numbers: Accession Number" field.

would add appreciably to the amount of existing night sky lighting in views from this area. Impacts of the night sky lighting would occur throughout facility operation.

Based on the visibility of nighttime lighting and daytime plumes, the visual impact of the LNG Plant for views from KOP 50 and surrounding area during operation would be moderate.

### **Mitigation Measures**

To reduce the impact of added artificial lighting and minimize impacts on sensitive viewers in and around Nikiski, AGDC would use the minimum amount of lighting required for safety and security for nighttime activities during LNG Plant construction and operation. AGDC would use the minimum lighting required for safety and security for nighttime, orient all permanent lighting downward and shield it to eliminate off-site light spill, and use timers or motion-activated sensors for all lighting.

## S-2.1.5.4 KOP 51: Escape Route Road

#### Affected Visual Environment

KOP 51 (see table S-2-83) is at the corners of Escape Route Road and Holt Lamplight Road, looking west along Holt Lamplight Road. This location is the closest viewpoint from the Kenai National Wildlife Refuge to the LNG Plant. Residents, visitors to the Nikiski area and the local region, and visitors to the Kenai National Wildlife Refuge would primarily experience this view. The landform is flat, horizontal, slightly curving/sloping, and smooth to medium in texture. The vegetation is in a continuous, regular strip consisting of parallel verticals and primarily light greens. Vegetation is smooth to medium-rough. The road curves to the right and the vegetation almost immediately obscures it.

TABLE S-2-83			
	KOP 51: Esca	pe Route Road	
KOP: 51		Date: 9/1/15	
Visual Resource Inventory Class: I	II		
Location: Northing 6726409.927, E	asting 595803.93		
Distance from proposed activity: at	oout 3.8 miles from the Liquefa	action Facility	
Approximate Milepost: NA			
Ecoregion/Subregion: Cook Inlet-S	susitna Lowland		
Scenic Quality Classification: C Overall Sensitivity			Rating: M
Landscape Description			
Landform/Water	Vegetation		Structure
Form: flat, horizontal, slight slope	Form: strip, regular		Form: vertical (transmission poles), horizontal (road and lines)
Line: curving, horizontal, smooth	Line: continuous, parallel verticals		Line: horizontal, curving, vertical, straight
Color: brown, tan, gray	Color: mostly light green; dark green, seasonal pinks and yellows		Color: brown, gray
Texture: smooth to medium	Texture: smooth to medium-rough		Texture: smooth, medium-rough (poles)
NA = Not applicable			

### **Project Activities Generating Impacts**

KOP 51 is the view looking west from near the Kenai National Wildlife Refuge. The LNG Plant would be about 3.8 miles west. Due to the distance, generally flat terrain, and intervening tall dense vegetation, the LNG Plant would not be directly visible from this location and there would be no contrast anticipated for landform, water, vegetation, or structures during construction or operation.

## **Visual Impacts During Construction**

Although the LNG Plant would not be directly visible from this KOP, construction of the facility would introduce substantial new sources of artificial nighttime lighting. Due to the distance and intervening tall vegetation, the light sources would not be directly visible from the vicinity of this KOP, but it is likely that the lighting would be visible at night reflecting off low clouds and trees and glowing in the atmosphere. Although there are existing sources of artificial nighttime light from industrial, commercial, and residential development in the area, reflected light and atmospheric glow from the Project would add appreciably to the existing night sky lighting in views from this area. Impacts on night sky due to construction lighting would occur for about 6.75 years (the construction period for the Liquefaction Facilities). For these reasons, the LNG Plant visual impact on views from KOP 51 and the surrounding area during construction would be moderate.

## **Visual Impacts During Operation**

Although the LNG Plant would not be directly visible from this KOP, operation of the facility would introduce substantial new sources of artificial nighttime lighting. Due to the distance and intervening tall vegetation, the light sources would not be directly visible from the vicinity of this KOP, but it is likely that the lighting would be visible at night reflecting off low clouds and trees and glowing in the atmosphere. Although there are existing sources of artificial nighttime light from industrial, commercial, and residential development in the area, reflected light and atmospheric glow from the Project would add appreciably to the existing night sky lighting in views from this area. Impacts of the night sky lighting would occur throughout facility operation. For these reasons, the LNG Plant visual impact on views from KOP 51 and the surrounding area during operation would be moderate.

# **Mitigation Measures**

To reduce the impact of added artificial lighting and minimize impacts on sensitive viewers in and around Nikiski and visitors to the Kenai National Wildlife Refuge, AGDC would use the minimum amount of lighting required for safety and security for nighttime activities during LNG Plant construction and operation. AGDC would use the minimum lighting required for safety and security for nighttime, orient all permanent lighting downward and shield it to eliminate off-site light spill, and use timers or motion-activated sensors for all lighting.

### S-2.1.5.5 KOP 52: Kaleidoscope Charter School

#### **Affected Visual Environment**

The view from KOP 52 (see table S-2-84) faces northwest at Kaleidoscope Charter School. Residents, visitors to Kenai and the local region, and employees and students at the school would primarily see this view. The flat, dark gray asphalt and geometric structures of the school dominate the view. A dense stand of vegetation (green conifers and birches) surrounds the north and west sides of the school.

### **Project Activities Generating Impacts**

KOP 52 is the view looking northwest from the Kaleidoscope Charter School. LNG Plant about 6.1 miles northwest of the school in the background distance zone. Due to the distance and intervening terrain, buildings, and tall vegetation, the LNG Plant would not be directly visible from this location and there would be no contrast anticipated for landform, water, vegetation, or structures during construction or operation.

TABLE S-2-84					
KOP 52: Kaleidoscope Charter School					
KOP: 52 Date: 9/1/15		Date: 9/1/15			
Visual Resource Inventory Class: III					
Location: Northing 6715963.431, Eas	ting 594382.513				
Distance from proposed activity: abou	it 6.1 miles from the Liquefa	action Facility			
Approximate Milepost: NA					
Ecoregion/Subregion: Cook Inlet-Sus	Ecoregion/Subregion: Cook Inlet-Susitna Lowland				
Scenic Quality Classification: C Overall Sensitivity			Rating: M		
Landscape Description	Landscape Description				
Landform/Water	Vegetation		Structure		
Form: flat, horizontal	Form: solid, regular, vertical		Form: flat, horizontal, vertical, geometric		
Line: horizontal, regular	Line: vertical, broken, non-linear		Line: geometric, curving, vertical, horizontal		
Color: brown, tan	Color: light to dark green, seasonal yellow		Color: black, gray, tan, brown		
Texture: smooth	Texture: medium		Texture: smooth to rough		
NA = Not applicable					

# **Visual Impacts During Construction**

Although the LNG Plant would not be directly visible from this KOP, the facility's construction would introduce substantial new sources of artificial nighttime lighting. It is likely that the lighting would be visible at night reflecting off low clouds and trees and glowing in the atmosphere. Although there are existing sources of artificial nighttime light from industrial, commercial, and residential development in the area, reflected light and atmospheric glow from the Project would add to the existing night sky lighting in background views from this area. Impacts on night sky due to construction lighting would occur for about 6.75 years (the construction period for the Liquefaction Facilities). For these reasons, the LNG Plant visual impact on views from KOP 52 and the surrounding area during construction would be moderate.

## **Visual Impacts During Operation**

Although the LNG Plant would not be directly visible from this KOP, facility operation would introduce substantial new sources of artificial nighttime lighting at the facility. The lighting would likely be visible at night reflecting off low clouds and trees and glowing in the atmosphere. Although there are existing sources of artificial nighttime light from industrial, commercial, and residential development in the area, reflected light and atmospheric glow from the Project would add somewhat to the existing night sky lighting in background views from this area. Impacts of the night sky lighting would occur throughout facility operation. For these reasons, the LNG Plant visual impact on views from KOP 52 and the surrounding area during operation would be moderate.

# **Mitigation Measures**

To reduce the impact of added artificial lighting and minimize impacts on sensitive viewers in and around Nikiski, AGDC would use the minimum amount of lighting required for safety and security for nighttime activities during LNG Plant construction and operation. AGDC would use the minimum lighting required for safety and security for nighttime, orient all permanent lighting downward and shield it to eliminate off-site light spill, and use timers or motion-activated sensors for all lighting.

#### S-2.1.5.6 KOP 53: Pillars Boat Launch

#### Affected Visual Environment

KOP 53 (see table S-2-85) is at the Pillars Boat Launch on the Kenai River, just north of Soldotna. The KOP looks northwest down the river. The foreground is flat and regular, tan, and of medium texture. It has solid, continuous trees that create horizontal and vertical lines. The Kenai River, which flows through the foreground, is flat, linear, glossy, and quick flowing. A few low boat docks are visible along the shoreline. The background features distant, jagged, snowy mountains.

#### **Project Activities Generating Impacts**

KOP 53 is the view from the Pillars Boat Launch on the Kenai River in a portion of the Kenai River Special Management Area just north of Soldotna. The proposed LNG Plant would be about 10.0 miles northwest of the Pillars Boat Launch in the background distance zone. Due to the distance and intervening terrain and vegetation, the LNG Plant would not be visible from this location in the short or long term. There would be no contrast anticipated for landform, water, vegetation, or structure.

	TABLE S-2	2-85					
KOP 53: Pillars Boat Launch							
KOP: 53	D	Date: 9/1/15					
Visual Resource Inventory Class: I							
Location: Northing 6712388.883, Easting 604329.343							
Distance from proposed activity: about 10.0 miles from t	the Liquefact	tion Facility					
Approximate Milepost: NA							
Ecoregion/Subregion: Cook Inlet-Susitna Lowland							
Scenic Quality Classification: A	0	verall Sensitivity Rating: I	1				
Landscape Description							
Landform/Water	Vegetation	n	Structure				
Form: flat foreground; jagged background; linear, flat water	Form: solid, rough, strip		Form: vertical, horizontal, diagonal; few, low (docks)				
Line: regular, continuous, horizontal foreground; jagged, angular background; flowing, smooth water	Line: continuous, horizontal, vertical		Line: geometric, horizontal, vertical, diagonal				
Color: tan, gray, white (snow), milky green/blue (water)	Color: light to dark green; brown, yellow seasonally		Color: gray, brown				
Texture: medium foreground, smooth background, glossy water	Texture: medium to rough		Texture: smooth to medium				
NA = Not applicable							

#### **Visual Impacts During Construction and Operation**

Because the Project would not be visible from this location, it would not produce any noticeable contrast. In addition, at a distance of about 10.0 miles, it is unlikely that indirect artificial lighting emitted by the facility and reflected off low clouds or the atmosphere would be noticeable given other light sources between the LNG Plant and this KOP. For these reasons, the Project would have no visual impacts on KOP 53 during construction or operation.

#### **Mitigation Measures**

AGDC has not proposed any mitigation for this KOP.

#### S-2.1.5.7 KOP 54: Mt. Redoubt Church

#### **Affected Visual Environment**

KOP 54 (see table S-2-86) is at the corner of Kenai Spur Highway and Lovers Loop in Nikiski in front of the Mt. Redoubt Baptist Church, looking north toward proposed structures in the adjacent lot. Residents of Nikiski and other local communities, as well as employees of the existing facilities, experience this view. The landform is horizontal, regular, brown, and medium-coarse. Vegetation is smooth, solid, and continuous; primarily light green; and dense. Structures present in the viewshed include the dirt road Lovers Lane and transmission poles/lines.

#### **Project Activities Generating Impacts**

KOP 54 is is the view looking north from the current location of the Mt. Redoubt Baptist Church. The proposed LNG Plant would be about 0.1 mile north of this location. Due to the generally flat terrain and intervening tall dense vegetation, the LNG Plant would not be directly visible from this location and there would be no contrast anticipated for landform, water, vegetation, or structures during construction or operation.

TABLE S-2-86						
KOP 54: Mt. Redoubt Church						
KOP: 54 Dat		Date	: 9/2/15			
Visual Resource Inventory Class	ss: IV					
Location: Northing 6725062.299, Easting 589792.132						
Distance from proposed activity: 0.1 mile from the Liquefaction Facility						
Approximate Milepost: NA						
Ecoregion/Subregion: Cook Inlet-Susitna Lowland						
Scenic Quality Classification: C Ove		Over	rall Sensitivity Rating: M			
Landscape Description						
Landform/Water	Vegetation		Structure			
Form: horizontal, flat, regular	Form: smooth, solid		Form: vertical (transmission poles), horizontal, flat (road)			
Line: horizontal, regular	Line: continuous, regular, vertical		Line: horizontal, vertical			
Color: brown, tan	Color: light green, gray, some dark green, seasonal yellow		Color: brown, tan, gray			
Texture: medium-coarse	Texture: dense, smooth		Texture: smooth, medium-rough (transmission poles)			
NA = Not applicable		•				

#### **Visual Impacts During Construction**


Although the LNG Plant would not be directly visible from this KOP, facility construction would introduce substantial new sources of artificial nighttime lighting. Due to the intervening tall vegetation, the light sources would not be directly visible from the vicinity of this KOP, but the lighting would be visible at night reflecting off low clouds and trees and glowing in the atmosphere. Although there are existing sources of nighttime light from industrial, commercial, and residential development in the area, reflected light and atmospheric glow from the Project would add appreciably to the existing night sky lighting in views from this area. Impacts on night sky due to construction lighting would occur for about 6.75 years (the construction period for the Liquefaction Facilities). For these reasons, the LNG Plant visual impact on views from KOP 54 and the surrounding area during construction would be moderate.

#### **Visual Impacts During Operation**

Although the LNG Plant would not be directly visible from this KOP, facility operation would introduce substantial new sources of artificial nighttime lighting. Due to the intervening tall vegetation, the light sources would not be directly visible from the vicinity of this KOP, but the lighting would be visible at night reflecting off low clouds and trees and glowing in the atmosphere. Although there are existing sources of artificial nighttime light from industrial, commercial, and residential development in the area, reflected light and atmospheric glow from the Project would add appreciably to the existing night sky lighting in views from this KOP. Impacts of the night sky lighting would occur throughout facility operation. Based on the distance from the Liquefaction Facilities, condensation plumes from the Liquefaction Facilities would be visible from this KOP and could occasionally dominate the viewshed. For these reasons, the LNG Plant visual impact on views from KOP 54 and the surrounding area during operation would be moderate.

#### **Mitigation Measures**

To reduce the impact of added artificial lighting and minimize impacts on sensitive viewers from KOP 54 and the surrounding area, AGDC would use the minimum amount of lighting required for safety and security for nighttime activities during LNG Plant construction and operation. AGDC would use the minimum lighting required for safety and security for nighttime, orient all permanent lighting downward and shield it to eliminate off-site light spill, and use timers or motion-activated sensors for all lighting.



# APPENDIX S-2b: EXISTING CONDITIONS AND VISUAL SIMULATION IMAGERY FOR KEY OBSERVATION POINTS

Line of Figures	List	of	Fig	ures
-----------------	------	----	-----	------

Figure C 2.1, VOD 1, College Lake	C 102
Figure S-2-1: KOP 1: Colleen Lake	
Figure S-2-2: KOP 2: Dalton Highway Wayside	S-103
Figure S-2-3: KOP 3: Galbraith Lake Campground (view north)	
Figure S.2-4: KOP 7: Atigun Pass Pullout	
Figure S.2-5: KOP 9: Marion Creek Campground	S-107
Figure S.2-6: KOP A: Coldfoot Camp	S-108
Figure S-2-7: KOP 14: Five Mile Camp	S-110
Figure S-2-8: KOP 26: Otto Lake	
Figure S-2-9: KOP J: Denali RV Park and Motel	S-112
Figure S-2-10: KOP 28: Nenana River Bridge	
Figure S-2-11: KOP K: McKinley Chalet Resort	
Figure S-2-12: KOP 31: George Parks Highway-Carlo Creek	S-116
Figure S-2-13: KOP P: Lower Troublesome Creek Trailhead	
Figure S-2-14: KOP 40: George Parks Highway-MP 131	S-118
Figure S-2-15: KOP U: George Parks Highway-MP 121.7	S-120
Figure S-2-16: KOP 2018-1: Healey Compressor Station	S-121
Figure S-2-17: KOP 2018-2: Ray River Compressor Station	
Figure S-2-18: KOP 2018-3: George Parks Highway Crossing	S-124
Figure S-2-19: KOP 2018-4: Parks Highway Crossing	S-126
Figure S-2-20: KOP 2018-5: Parks Highway Crossing	
Figure S-2-21: KOP 2018-6: Parks Highway Crossing	
Figure S-2-22: KOP 2018-7: Parks Highway Crossing	
Figure S-2-23: KOP 2018-8 Denali Park Road.	
Figure S-2-24: KOP 2018-9: Government Hill	
Figure S-2-25: KOP 2018-10: Alaska Railroad above Horseshoe Lake	
Figure S-2-26: KOP 2018-11: Mt. Healy Overlook Trail Summit	
Figure S-2-27: KOP 2018-12: Triple Lakes Trail	
Figure S-2-28: KOP 2018-13: Nenana River Pedestrian Bridge	
Figure S-2-29: KOP 2018-14: South of Parks Highway MP 236	

Figure S-2-1: KOP 1: Colleen Lake



b. After Construction



c. After Reclamation




d. Existing Winter Conditions





Figure S-2-2: KOP 2: Dalton Highway Wayside





b. After Construction



c. After Reclamation



## d. Existing Winter Conditions



## e. Winter Conditions After Construction



Figure S-2-3: KOP 3: Galbraith Lake Campground (view north)



**b.** During Construction



#### c. After Construction



d. Existing Winter Conditions



e. Winter Conditions During Construction



f. Winter Conditions After Constructions



Figure S.2-4: KOP 7: Atigun Pass Pullout



b. After Construction



c. After Reclamation



d. Winter Existing Conditions





Figure S-2-5: KOP 9: Marion Creek Campground



b. After Construction



c. After Reclamation



# d. Existing Winter Conditions



## e. Winter Conditions After Construction



Figure S-2-6: KOP A: Coldfoot Camp



**b.** During Construction



#### c. After Construction



d. Existing Winter Conditions



e. Winter Conditions During Construction



f. Winter Conditions After Construction



Figure S-2-7: KOP 14: Five Mile Camp



**b.** After Construction



c. After Reclamation



d. Existing Winter Conditions





Figure S-2-8: KOP 26: Otto Lake




**b.** After Construction



c. After Reclamation



## d. Existing Winter Conditions



#### e. Winter Conditions After Construction



Figure S-2-9: KOP J: Denali RV Park and Motel



**b.** During Construction



#### c. After Construction



d. Existing Winter Conditions



e. Winter Conditions After Construction



Figure S-2-10: KOP 28: Nenana River Bridge



#### b. After Construction



c. After Reclamation



d. Existing Winter Conditions



e. Winter Conditions After Construction



Figure S-2-11: KOP K: McKinley Chalet Resort



**b.** After Construction



c. After Reclamation



d. Winter Existing Conditions





Figure S-2-12: KOP 31: George Parks Highway-Carlo Creek

#### a. Existing Conditions



#### b. After Construction



c. After Reclamation



# d. Existing Winter Conditions



#### e. Winter Conditions After Construction



Figure S-2-13: KOP P: Lower Troublesome Creek Trailhead





b. After Construction



#### c. After Reclamation



d. Existing Winter Conditions



e. Winter Conditions After Construction



Figure S-2-14: KOP 40: George Parks Highway-MP 131





**b.** After Construction



c. After Reclamation



d. Existing Winter Conditions



e. Winter Conditions After Construction



Figure S-2-15: KOP U: George Parks Highway-MP 121.7



b. After Construction



c. After Reclamation



d. Winter Existing Conditions





Figure S-2-16: KOP 2018-1: Healey Compressor Station



**b.** After Construction



c. After Reclamation



d. Winter Existing Conditions



e. Winter Conditions After Construction



f. Night Conditions After Construction, Winter



g. Night Conditions After Construction, Summer



Figure S-2-17: KOP 2018-2: Ray River Compressor Station



**b.** After Construction



c. After Reclamation



d. Existing Winter Conditions





f. Night Conditions After Construction, Winter



g. Night Conditions After Construction, Summer



Figure S-2-18: KOP 2018-3: George Parks Highway Crossing



#### b. After Construction



c. After Reclamation



d. Existing Winter Conditions



e. Winter Conditions After Construction



Figure S-2-19: KOP 2018-4: Parks Highway Crossing



b. After Construction



c. After Reclamation



d. Existing Winter Conditions





Figure S-2-20: KOP 2018-5: Parks Highway Crossing



b. After Construction



c. After Reclamation



## d. Existing Winter Conditions



#### e. Winter Conditions After Construction



Figure S-2-21: KOP 2018-6: Parks Highway Crossing

## a. Existing Conditions



# b. After Construction



# c. After Reclamation



d. Existing Winter Conditions



e. Winter Conditions After Construction



Figure S-2-22: KOP 2018-7: Parks Highway Crossing



## **b.** After Construction



c. After Reclamation



d. Existing Winter Conditions



e. Winter Conditions After Construction



Figure S-2-23: KOP 2018-8 Denali Park Road



**b.** After Construction



c. After Reclamation



d. Existing Winter Conditions





Figure S-2-24: KOP 2018-9: Government Hill



b. After Construction



c. After Reclamation



### d. Existing Winter Conditions



### e. Winter Conditions After Construction



Figure S-2-25: KOP 2018-10: Alaska Railroad above Horseshoe Lake

### a. Existing Conditions



**b.** After Construction



### c. After Reclamation




d. Existing Winter Conditions



e. Winter Conditions After Construction



Figure S-2-26: KOP 2018-11: Mt. Healy Overlook Trail Summit





### **b.** After Construction



c. After Reclamation



d. Existing Winter Conditions



e. Winter Conditions After Construction



Figure S-2-27: KOP 2018-12: Triple Lakes Trail

### a. Existing Conditions



### **b.** After Construction



### c. After Reclamation



d. Existing Winter Conditions



### e. Winter Conditions After Construction



Figure S-2-28: KOP 2018-13: Nenana River Pedestrian Bridge

### a. Existing Conditions



### b. After Construction



### c. After Reclamation



## d. Existing Winter Conditions




### e. Winter Conditions After Construction



Figure S-2-29: KOP 2018-14: South of Parks Highway MP 236

### a. Existing Conditions



### b. After Construction



c. After Reclamation



d. Existing Winter Conditions



e. Winter Conditions After Construction




### **APPENDIX S-3**

**Maps of Key Observation Points** 


### APPENDIX S-3: MAPS OF KEY OBSERVATION POINTS

### List of Maps

KOP 1	S-141
KOP 2	S-142
KOPs 3 and 4	S-143
KOP 23	S-144
KOPs 5 and 6	S-145
KOP 7	S-146
KOP 8	S-146
KOP 9	S-147
KOPs 10, 11, and A	S-148
KOP B	S-149
KOP C	S-150
KOPs D and E	S-151
KOP F	S-152
KOP 2018-2	S-153
KOPs 12, 13, and 14	S-154
KOPs G, H, and I	S-155
KOP 15	S-156
KOPs 16 and 17	S-157
KOPs 18, 19, 20, 21, and 22	
KOP 2018-1	S-159
KOPs 24, 25, 26, and 27	
KOPs 28, 29, and J	S-161
KOPs K, L, M, 30, 2018-10, 2018-11, 2018-13, 2018-14, 2018-8, and 2018-9	S-162
KOP 2018-12	S-163
KOP 31	S-164
KOPs 32 and 33	S-165
KOPs 34, 35, and 36	S-166
KOP N	S-167
KOP 2018-3	S-168
KOP 2018-4	
KOPs 2018-5 and 2018-6	
KOPs O, 38, and 2018-7	
KOPs 37, 39, and 40	
KOPs 41, 42, and 43	
KOPs 44 and 45	
KOPs 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, and 54	S-175








### **DISCLAIMER**


The information contained herein is for informational or planning purposes only, if does not nor should it be deemed to be an offer, request or proposals for rights or occupation of any kind. The Alaska LNG Project Participants and their respective officers, employees and agents, make no warranty, implied or otherwise, nor accept any liability, as to the accuracy or completeness of the information contained in these documents, drawings or electronic files. Do not remove or delete the note from document, drawing or electronic files.

PREPARED BY:		MBG			
SCALE:		1:24,000			
DATE:	6/17/2	2019	SHEET:	1 of 36	
				•	

## Visual Resource Work Plan

Key Observation Pt: KOP 1







The information contained herein is for informational or planning purposes only, it does not nor should it be deemed to be an offer, request or proposals for rights or cocupation of any kind. The Alaska LNG Project Participants and their respective offices, employees and agents, make no warranty, implied or otherwise, nor accept any liability, as to the accuracy or completeness of the information contained in these documents, drawings or electronic files. Do not remove or delete this not form document, drawing or electronic file.

PREPARED BY:		MBG		
SCALE:		1:24,0	00	
DATE:	6/17/2019		SHEET:	2 of 36

# **Work Plan**

Key Observation Pt: KOP 2






The information contained hemin is for informational or planning purposes only. It does not nor should it be deemed to be an offer, request or proposals for rights or coupstion of any kind. The Alaska LNG Project Participants and their respective officers, employees and agents, make no warranty, implied or otherwise, nor accept any liability, as to the accuracy or completeness of the information contained in these documents, drawings or electronic files. Do not remove or delete this not form document, drawing or electronic files.


PREPARED BY:		MBG		
SCALE:		1:24,000		
DATE:	ATE: 6/17/		SHEET:	3 of 36

## **Work Plan**

Key Observation Pt: KOP 3 and 4








### **DISCLAIMER**

The information contained herein is for informational or planning purposes only, if does not nor should it be deemed to be an offer, request or proposals for rights or occupation of any kind. The Alaska LNG Project Participants and their respective officers, employees and agents, make no warranty, implied or otherwise, nor accept any liability, as to the accuracy or completeness of the information contained in these documents, drawings or electronic files. Do not remove or delete the note from document, drawing or electronic files.


PREPARED BY:		MBG				
SCALE:		1:24,0	1:24,000			
DATE:	6/17/2019		SHEET:	4 of 36		

## Visual Resource Work Plan

Key Observation Pt: KOP 23



0.5 Miles S-145




The information contained herein is for informational or planning purposes only. It does not nor should it be deemed to be an offer, request or proposals for rights or coupstion of any kind. The Alaska LNG Project Participants and their respective officers, employees and agents, make no warranty, implied or otherwise, nor accept any liability, as to the accuracy or completeness of the information contained in these documents, drawings or electronic files. Do not remove or delete this note from document, drawing or electronic files.


PREPARED BY:		MBG			
SCALE:		1:24,000			
DATE: 6/17/2		2019	SHEET:	5 of 36	
DATE.	0/11//	2010	OTILL I.	0 01 00	

### Visual Resource Work Plan

Key Observation Pt: KOP 5 and 6









The information contained herein is for informational or planning purposes only, it does not nor should it be deemed to be an offer, request or proposals for rights or coupstion of any kind. The Alaska LNG Project Participants and their respective offices, employees and agents, make no warranty, mplied or otherwise, nor accept any liability, as to the accuracy or completeness of the information contained in these documents, drawings or electronic files. Do not remove or delete this not form document, drawing or electronic file.

PREPARED BY:		MBG		
SCALE:		1:24,000		
DATE: 6/17/2		2019	SHEET:	6 of 36

# **Work Plan**

Key Observation Pt:






The information contained herein is for informational or planning purposes only, it does not nor should it be deemed to be an offer, request or proposals for rights or coupstion of any kind. The Alaska LNG Project Participants and their respective offices, employees and agents, make no warranty, mplied or otherwise, nor accept any liability, as to the accuracy or completeness of the information contained in these documents, drawings or electronic files. Do not remove or delete this not form document, drawing or electronic file.


	PREPARED BY: SCALE:		MBG			
			1:24,000			
	DATE: 6/17/2		2019	SHEET:	7 of 36	
					_	

## **Work Plan**

Key Observation Pt: KOP 8








The information contained herein is for informational or planning purposes only, it does not nor should it be deemed to be an offer, request or proposals for rights or cocupation of any kind. The Alaska LNG Project Participants and their respective officers, employees and agents, make no warranty, implied or otherwise, nor accept any liability, as to the accuracy or completeness of the information contained in these documents, drawings or electronic files. Do not remove or delete this not form document, drawing or electronic files.

	PREPARED BY: SCALE:		MBG			
			1:24,000			
	DATE:	6/17/2	2019	SHEET:	8 of 36	

## Visual Resource Work Plan

Key Observation Pt:




Pipe Storage Yard

Material Site

Compressor Station

0.5 Miles S-149





The information contained herein is for informational or planning purposes only, it does not nor should it be deemed to be an offer, request or proposals for rights or occupation of any kind. The Alaska LNG Project Participants and their respective officers, employees and agents, make no warranty, implied or otherwise, nor accept any liability, as to the accuracy or completeness of the information contained in these documents, drawings or electronic files. Do not remove or delete this not form document, drawing or electronic files.

PREPARED BY:		MBG		
SCALE:		1:24,000		
DATE:	DATE: 6/17/		SHEET:	9 of 36

# **Work Plan**

Key Observation Pt: KOP 10, 11, and A







The information contained herein is for informational or planning purposes only, it does not nor should it be deemed to be an offer, request or proposals for rights or coupstion of any kind. The Alaska LNG Project Participants and their respective offices, employees and agents, make no warranty, mplied or otherwise, nor accept any liability, as to the accuracy or completeness of the information contained in these documents, drawings or electronic files. Do not remove or delete this not form document, drawing or electronic file.

PREPARED BY:		MBG		
SCALE:		1:24,0	00	
DATE:	6/17/2	2019	SHEET:	10 of 36

# **Work Plan**

Key Observation Pt: KOP B







The information contained herein is for informational or planning purposes only, it does not nor should it be deemed to be an offer, request or proposals for rights or cocupation of any kind. The Alaska LNG Project Participants and their respective offices, employees and agents, make no warranty, implied or otherwise, nor accept any liability, as to the accuracy or completeness of the information contained in these documents, drawings or electronic files. Do not remove or delete this not form document, drawing or electronic file.

PREPARED BY:		MBG		
SCALE:		1:24,0	00	
DATE:	6/17/	2019	SHEET:	11 of 36

## **Work Plan**

Key Observation Pt: KOP C






The information contained herein is for informational or blanning purposes only, It does not nor should it be deemed to be an offer, request or proposals for rights or coupation of any kind. The Alaska LNG Project Participants and their sepective offices, employees and agents, make no warranty, mplied or otherwise, nor accept any liability, as to the accuracy or completeness of the information contained in hese documents, drawings or electronic files. Do not remove or delete this not form document, drawing or electronic file.


PREPARED BY:		MBG		
SCALE:	ALE:		00	
DATE: 6/17/2		2019	SHEET:	12 of 36

## **Work Plan**

Key Observation Pt: KOP D and E









The information contained heein is for informational or planning purposes only. It does not nor should it be desimed to be an offer, request or proposals for rights or occupation of any kind. The Alaska LNG Project Participants and their respective officers, employees and agents, make no warranty implied or otherwise, nor accept any liability, as the contract of the accuracy or completeness of the information contained in these documents, drawings or electronic files. Do not remove or delete this note from document, drawings or electronic file.

PREPARED BY:		MBG		
SCALE:		1:24,0	00	
DATE: 6/17/2		2019	SHEET:	13 of 36

## Visual Resource Work Plan

Key Observation Pt: KOP F






The information contained herein is for informational or planning purposes only. It does not nor should it be deemed to be an offer, request or proposals for rights or coupstion of any kind. The Alaska LNG Project Participants and their respective offices, employees and agents, make no warranty, implied or otherwise, nor accept any liability, as to the accuracy or completeness of the information contained in these documents, drawings or electronic files. Do not remove or delete this not form document, drawing or electronic files.


PREPARED BY:		MBG		
SCALE:		1:24,0	00	
DATE: 6/17/2		2019	SHEET:	14 of 36

# **Work Plan**

Key Observation Pt: KOP 2018-2









The information contained herein is for informational or planning purposes only, it does not nor should it be deemed to be an offer, request or proposals for rights or cocupation of any kind. The Alaska LNG Project Participants and their respective officers, employees and agents, make no warranty, implied or otherwise, nor accept any liability, asto the accuracy or completeness of the information contained in these documents, drawings or electronic files. Do not remove or deleted this not brom document, drawing or electronic files.

PREPARED BY:		MBG		
SCALE:		1:24,0	00	
DATE: 6/17/2		2019	SHEET:	15 of 36

# **Work Plan**

Key Observation Pt: KOP 12, 13, and 14







The information contained herein is for informational or planning purposes only, it does not nor should it be deemed to be an offer, request or proposals for rights or cocupation of any kind. The Alaska LNG Project Participants and their respective officers, employees and agents, make no warranty, implied or otherwise, nor accept any liability, as to the accuracy or completeness of the information contained in these documents, drawings or electronic files. Do not remove or delete this note from document, drawing or electronic file.

	PREPARED	BY:	MBG		
	SCALE: DATE: 6/17/2		1:24,0	00	
			2019	SHEET:	16 of 36

### Visual Resource Work Plan

Key Observation Pt: KOP G, H, I






The information contained herein is for informational or planning purposes only, it does not nor should it be deemed to be an offer, request or proposals for rights or coupstion of any kind. The Alaska LNG Project Participants and their respective offices, employees and agents, make no warranty, mplied or otherwise, nor accept any liability, as to the accuracy or completeness of the information contained in these documents, drawings or electronic files. Do not remove or delete this not form document, drawing or electronic file.


PREPARED BY:		MBG		
SCALE:		1:24,0	00	
DATE: 6/17/2		2019	SHEET:	17 of 36

# Work Plan

Key Observation Pt: KOP 15








The information contained herein is for informational or planning purposes only, it does not nor should it be deemed to be an offer, request or proposals for rights or cocupation of any kind. The Alaska LNG Project Participants and their respective offices, employees and agents, make no warranty, implied or otherwise, nor accept any liability, asto the accuracy or completeness of the information contained in these documents, drawings or electronic files. Do not remove or delete this not form document, drawing or electronic files.


MBG		
1:24,000		
36		

# **Work Plan**

Key Observation Pt: **KOP 16 and 17** 








#### **DISCLAIMER**


The information contained herein is for informational or planning purposes only, it does not nor should it be deemed to be an offer, request or proposals for rights or cocupation of any kind. The Alaska LNG Project Participants and their respective officers, employees and agents, make no warranty, implied or otherwise, nor accept any liability, asto the accuracy or completeness of the information contained in these documents, drawings or electronic files. Do not remove or deleted this not brom document, drawing or electronic files.


PREPARED BY:		MBG		
SCALE:		1:24,000		
DATE: 6/17/2		2019	SHEET:	19 of 36

## **Visual Resource Work Plan**

Key Observation Pt: KOP 18, 19, 20, 21, and 22









The information contained herein is for informational or planning purposes only, it does not nor should it be deemed to be an offer, request or proposals for rights or coupstion of any kind. The Alaska LNG Project Participants and their respective offices, employees and agents, make no warranty, mplied or otherwise, nor accept any liability, as to the accuracy or completeness of the information contained in these documents, drawings or electronic files. Do not remove or delete this not form document, drawing or electronic file.


	PREPARED BY:		MBG		
	SCALE: DATE: 6/17/2		1:24,000		
			2019	SHEET:	20 of 36

# **Work Plan**

Key Observation Pt: KOP 2018-1









The information contained herein is for informational or planning purposes only, it does not nor should it be deemed to be an offer, request or proposals for rights or occupation of any kind. The Alaska LNG Project Participants and their respective offices, employees and agents, make no warranty, implied or otherwise, nor accept any liability, as to the accuracy or completeness of the information contained in these documents, drawings or electronic files. Do not remove or delete this not form document, drawing or electronic files.

PREPARED BY:		MBG		
SCALE:		1:24,000		
DATE: 6/1		2019	SHEET:	21 of 36

# **Work Plan**

Key Observation Pt: KOP 24, 25, 26, and 27






The information contained herein is for informational or planning purposes only, it does not nor should it be deemed to be an offer, request or proposals for rights or coccupation of any kind. The Alaska LNG Project Participants and their respective offices, employees and agents, make no warranty, mplied or otherwise, nor accept any liability, as to the accuracy or completeness of the information contained in these documents, drawings or electronic files. Do not remove or delete this not form document, drawing or electronic file.


PREPARED BY:		MBG		
SCALE:		1:24,0	00	
DATE: 6/17/2		2019	SHEET:	22 of 36

# **Work Plan**

Key Observation Pt: KOP 28, 29, and J








The information contained herein is for informational or planning purposes only, it does not nor should it be deemed to be an offer, request or proposals for rights or cocupation of any kind. The Alaska LNG Project Participants and their respective officers, employees and agents, make no warranty, implied or otherwise, nor accept any liability, as to the accuracy or completeness of the information contained in these documents, drawings or electronic files. Do not remove or delete this note from document, drawing or electronic files.


PREPARED BY:		MBG			
SCALE:		1:24,0	1:24,000		
DATE: 6/17/2		2019	SHEET:	23 of 36	

### Visual Resource Work Plan

Key Observation Pt: KOP K, L, M, 30, 2018-10, 2018-11, 2018-13, 2018-14, 2018-8, and 2018-9








### **DISCLAIMER**

The information contained herein is for informational or planning purposes only, it does not nor should it be deemed to be an offer, request or proposals for rights or cocupation of any kind. The Alaska LNG Project Participants and their respective officers, employees and agents, make no warranty, implied or otherwise, nor accept any liability, as to the accuracy or completeness of the information contained in these documents, drawings or electronic files. Do not remove or delete this note from document, drawing or electronic files.


PREPARED	BY:	MBG		
SCALE:		1:24,0	00	
DATE:	6/17/2	2019	SHEET:	24 of 36

## Visual Resource Work Plan

Key Observation Pt: KOP 2018-12








The information contained herein is for informational or planning purposes only, it does not not should it be deemed to be an offer, request or proposals for rights or coupation of any kind. The Alaska LNG Project Participants and their respective officers, employees and agents, make no warranty, implied or otherwise, nor accept any liability, as to the accuracy or completeness of the information contained in these documents, drawings or electronic files. Do not remove or delete this not form document, drawing or electronic files.


PREPARED	BY:	MBG			
SCALE:		1:24,0	00		
DATE:	6/17/	2019	SHEET:	25 of 36	

## Visual Resource Work Plan

Key Observation Pt: KOP 31









The information contained herein is for informational or planning purposes only, it does not nor should it be deemed to be an offer, request or proposals for rights or cocupation of any kind. The Alaska LNG Project Participants and their respective officers, employees and agents, make no warranty, implied or otherwise, nor accept any liability, as to the accuracy or completeness of the information contained in these documents, drawings or electronic files. Do not remove or delete this note from document, drawing or electronic file.

PREPARED BY:		MBG			
SCALE:		1:24,0	1:24,000		
DATE: 6/17/2		2019	SHEET:	26 of 36	

## Visual Resource Work Plan

Key Observation Pt: KOP 32 and 33







The information contained herein is for informational or planning purposes only. It does not nor should it be deemed to be an offer, request or proposals for rights or cocupation of any kind. The Alaska LNG Project Participants and their respective offices, employees and agents, make no warranty, implied or otherwise, nor accept any liability, as to the accuracy or completeness of the information contained in these documents, drawings or electronic files. Do not remove

PREPARED BY:		MBG			
SCALE:		1:24,0	1:24,000		
DATE:	ATE: 6/17/		SHEET:	27 of 36	

# **Work Plan**

Key Observation Pt: KOP 34, 35, and 36







The information contained herein is for informational or planning purposes only, it does not nor should it be deemed to be an offer, request or proposals for rights or occupation of any kind. The Alaska LNG Project Participants and their respective officers, employees and agents, make no warranty, implied or otherwise, nor accept any liability, as to the accuracy or completeness of the information containing in these documents, drawings or electronic files. Do not remove or delete this note from document, drawing or electronic files.

PREPARED BY:		MBG			
SCALE:		1:24,0	1:24,000		
DATE: 6/17/2		2019	SHEET:	28 of 36	

Key Observation Pt: KOP N






The information contained herein is for informational or planning purposes only, it does not nor should it be deemed to be an offer, request or proposals for rights or cocupation of any kind. The Alaska LNG Project Participants and their respective officers, employees and agents, make no warranty, implied or otherwise, nor accept any liability, as to the accuracy or completeness of the information contained in these documents, drawings or electronic files. Do not remove or delete this not form document, drawing or electronic files.

PREPARED BY: SCALE:		MBG			
SCALE:		1:24,0	1:24,000		
DATE:	6/17/2	2019	SHEET:	29 of 36	

## Visual Resource Work Plan

Key Observation Pt: KOP 2018-3







The information contained herein is for informational or planning purposes only, it does not nor should it be deemed to be an offer, request or proposals for rights or coccupation of any kind. The Alaska LNG Project Participants and their respective offices, employees and agents, make no warranty, mplied or otherwise, nor accept any liability, as to the accuracy or completeness of the information contained in these documents, drawings or electronic files. Do not remove or delete this not form document, drawing or electronic file.

PREPARED BY: SCALE:		MBG	MBG		
SCALE:		1:24,0	00		
DATE:	6/17/	2019	SHEET:	30 of 36	

## **Work Plan**

Key Observation Pt: KOP 2018-4






The information contained herein is for informational or planning purposes only, it does not nor should it be deemed to be an offer, request or proposals for rights or cocupation of any kind. The Alaska LNG Project Participants and their respective offices, employees and agents, make no warranty, implied or otherwise, nor accept any liability, as to the accuracy or completeness of the information contained in these documents, drawings or electronic files. Do not remove or delete this not form document, drawing or electronic file.


PREPARED BY:		MBG		
SCALE:		1:24,000		
DATE: 6/17/2		2019	SHEET:	31 of 36

## **Work Plan**

Key Observation Pt: KOP 2018-5 and 2018-6









The information contained herein is for informational or planning purposes only, it does not nor should it be deemed to be an offer, request or proposals for rights or cocupation of any kind. The Alaska LNG Project Participants and their respective officers, employees and agents, make no warranty, implied or otherwise, nor accept any liability, as to the accuracy or completeness of the information contained in these documents, drawings or electronic files. Do not remove or delete this note from document, drawing or electronic files.

PREPARED BY: SCALE:		MBG			
SCALE:		1:24,0	00		
DATE:	6/17/2	2019	SHEET:	32 of 36	

### Visual Resource Work Plan

Key Observation Pt: KOP O, 38, and 2018-7






The information contained herein is for informational or planning purposes only, it does not nor should it be deemed to be an offer, request or proposals for rights or occupation of any kind. The Alaska LNG Project Participants and their respective officers, employees and agents make no warranty, implied or otherwise, nor accept any liability, as to the accuracy or completeness of the information contained in these documents, drawings or electronic files. Do not remove or delete this not form document, drawing or electronic file.


PREPARED	BY:	MBG			
SCALE:		1:24,0	00		
DATE:	6/17/2	2019	SHEET:	33 of 36	

# **Work Plan**

Key Observation Pt: KOP 37, 39, and 40








#### **DISCLAIMER**

The information contained herein is for informational or planning purposes only, it does not nor should it be deemed to be an offer, request or proposals for rights or cocupation of any kind. The Alaska LNG Project Participants and their respective officers, employees and agents, make no warranty, implied or otherwise, nor accept any liability, as to the accuracy or completeness of the information containing in these documents, drawings or electronic filles. Do not remove


PREPARED BY:		MBG			
SCALE:		1:24,0	00		
DATE:	6/17/2019		SHEET:	34 of 36	

### Visual Resource Work Plan

Key Observation Pt: KOP 41, 42, and 43








### **DISCLAIMER**

The information contained herein is for informational or planning purposes only, it does not nor should it be deemed to be an offer, request or proposals for rights or occupation of any kind. The Alaska LNG Project Participants and their respective officers, employees and agents, make no warranty, implied or otherwise, nor accept any liability, as to the accuracy or completeness of the information contained in these documents, drawings or electronic files. Do not remove or elected this note from document, drawing or electronic files.


PREPARED BY:		MBG				
SCALE:	SCALE:		1:24,000			
DATE:	6/17/2019		SHEET:	35 of 36		

## Visual Resource Work Plan

Key Observation Pt: KOP 44 and 45







The information contained herein is for informational or planning purposes only, if does not nor should it be deemed to be an offer, request or proposals for rights or occupation of any kind. The Alaska LNG Project Participants and their respective officers, employees and agents, make no warranty, implied or otherwise, nor accept any liability, as to the accuracy or completeness of the information contained in these documents, drawings or electronic files. Do not remove or delete the note from document, drawing or electronic files.

	PREPARED BY:		MBG		
ı	SCALE:		1:96,000		
	DATE:	: 6/17/		SHEET:	36 of 36

### Visual Resource Work Plan

Key Observation Pt: KOP 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, and 54