

140 FERC ¶ 61,022
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20426

July 12, 2012

In Reply Refer To:
Tucson Electric Power Company
Docket No. ER12-1801-000

Tucson Electric Power Company
Attn: Michael Nitido
Corporate Counsel
P.O. Box 711
Tucson, AZ 85702

Troutman Sanders LLP
Attn: Rebecca R. Blitstein
Attorney for Tucson Electric Power Company
401 9th Street NW, Suite 1000
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Mr. Nitido and Ms. Blitstein:

1. On May 16, 2012, Tucson Electric Power Company (Tucson) submitted revisions to Attachment C (Available Transfer Capability Calculation Methodology) to its Open Access Transmission Tariff to make changes related to certain North American Electric Reliability Corporation Modeling, Data, and Analysis Reliability Standards (MOD Reliability Standards). Tucson's revised Attachment C is accepted, effective July 16, 2012, subject to Tucson making a compliance filing, as discussed below.
2. Tucson explains that it initially submitted on December 30, 2010 in Docket No. ER10-3352-000 revisions to its Attachment C to reflect compliance with the MOD Reliability Standards. These revisions were accepted on February 25, 2011.¹ Tucson states that further modifications are necessary to reflect current operating conditions resulting from implementation of the MOD Reliability Standards.

¹ *Tucson Electric Power Co.*, Docket No. ER10-3352-000 (Feb. 25, 2011) (delegated letter order).

3. Notice of Tucson's filing was published in the *Federal Register*, 77 Fed. Reg. 30,519 (2012), with protests and interventions due on or before June 6, 2012. None was filed.
4. We accept Tucson's revised Attachment C, effective July 16, 2012, subject to Tucson making a compliance filing. Specifically, Tucson's revised Attachment C does not provide a functioning link to the Western Electricity Coordinating Council's "Overview of Policies and Procedures for Project Coordination Review, Project Rating Review, and Progress Reports" document. Tucson's revised Attachment C also contains an error in the equation for Available Transfer Capability (ATC) non-firm (MOD-029-1a R8) in section II and the flow diagram. Accordingly, Tucson must file, within 30 days of the date of this order, a compliance filing that corrects these items.²
5. In addition, some of Tucson's proposed revisions to its Attachment C do not satisfy the requirements of Order No. 890.³ Specifically, Tucson's revised Attachment C does not describe in detail the specific mathematical algorithms used to calculate firm and non-firm ATC for its scheduling, operating and planning horizons, nor does it provide a functioning link to Tucson's website with the actual mathematical algorithms.⁴ Accordingly, Tucson must file, within 30 days of the date of this order, a compliance filing that revises its Attachment C to make the corrections explained above, consistent with the requirements of Order No. 890.

By direction of the Commission.

Kimberly D. Bose,
Secretary.

² We note that Tucson's Attachment C does not state that Tucson will notify entities prior to implementing a new or revised ATC Implementation Document (MOD-001-01a R4). Similarly, there is no mention of using assumptions "no more limiting than those used in the planning of operations" for ATC (MOD-001-01a R7).

³ *Preventing Undue Discrimination and Preference in Transmission Service*, Order No. 890, FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 31,241, *order on reh'g*, Order No. 890-A, FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 31,261 (2007), *order on reh'g*, Order No. 890-B, 123 FERC ¶ 61,299 (2008), *order on reh'g*, Order No. 890-C, 126 FERC ¶ 61,228, *order on clarification*, Order No. 890-D, 129 FERC ¶ 61,126 (2009).

⁴ Order No. 890, FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 31,241 at P 323.