

106 FERC ¶ 61,167  
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20426

February 18, 2004

In Reply Refer To:  
El Paso Natural Gas Company  
Docket No. RP00-336-020

El Paso Natural Gas Company  
Post Office Box 1087  
Colorado Springs, CO 80944

Attention: Catherine E. Palazzari, Vice President

Reference: Compliance Filing

Dear Ms. Palazzari:

1. On October 6, 2003, El Paso Natural Gas Company (El Paso) filed a revised tariff sheet<sup>1</sup> to reflect changes required by the Commission's August 29, 2003 Order (August 29 Order) in this proceeding.<sup>2</sup> The tariff sheet listed in footnote No. 1 is accepted in satisfactory compliance with the Commission's August 29 Order to become effective September 1, 2003, the date established therein.
2. El Paso has correctly removed tariff language from section 5.3 of Rate Schedule FT-1 providing for partial reservation charge credits for non-delivery of gas due to pipeline maintenance in compliance with the August 29 Order. The August 29 Order further required El Paso to permit the converted full requirements (FR) shippers to choose primary delivery points for the Block capacity that is assigned to them rather than assigning California delivery points for that capacity. El Paso states that no tariff change is necessary to incorporate the primary delivery points chosen by the converted FR shippers.
3. El Paso states that in compliance with the Commission's directives, El Paso has posted a customer notice on its electronic bulletin board providing converted

---

<sup>1</sup> Second Sub First Revised Sheet No. 113D to FERC Gas Tariff, Second Revised Volume No. 1A.

<sup>2</sup> 104 FERC ¶ 61,232 (2003).

FR shippers the opportunity to request to move primary delivery points allocated to Block capacity to the South System. This opportunity to move primary delivery points is effectuated to the extent the receipt points attached to such rights are Permian or Anadarko receipt points or if the current delivery point is Ehrenberg, a South System point. El Paso claims that these requirements were designed to prevent such moves from harming other shippers. El Paso also states that it has provided the converted FR shippers with the opportunity to trade San Juan receipt rights attached to the Block capacity with volumetrically equivalent Permian receipt rights held by other shippers in order to alleviate the possibility of north-to-south constraints.

4. The filing was noticed on October 9, 2003, with comments due on or before October 20, 2003. East of California Shippers<sup>3</sup> (EOC Shippers) filed comments. The EOC Shippers request that any order approving El Paso's compliance filing herein must be conditioned on the outcome of the technical conference procedures established in this proceeding in Docket Nos. RP00-336-014 and RP00-336-019. Since the Commission in the recent order on the Technical Conference proceeding addressed the merits of the EOC Shipper's concerns<sup>4</sup> there is no need to further condition the instant filing.

By direction of the Commission.

Linda Mitry,  
Acting Secretary.

---

<sup>3</sup> The EOC Shippers include the following: Arizona Electric Power Cooperative, Inc., El Paso Electric Company, El Paso Municipal Customer Group, Phelps Dodge Corporation, Public Service Company of New Mexico, Salt River Project, Southwest Gas Corporation, Texas Gas Service Company, a division of ONEOK, Inc., and UNS Gas, Inc.

<sup>4</sup> 106 FERC ¶ 61,083 (2004).