

143 FERC ¶ 61,009
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20426

April 2, 2013

In Reply Refer To:
PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.
Docket Nos. ER12-1173-000
ER12-1173-001
ER12-1173-002

Steptoe & Johnson LLP
Attention: Steven J. Ross
1330 Connecticut Avenue NW
Washington, DC 20036

Dear Mr. Ross:

1. On February 29, 2012, American Electric Power Service Corporation (AEP) filed a proposed formula rate template under Section D.8 of Schedule 8.1 of the PJM Interconnection L.L.C. (PJM) Reliability Assurance Agreement (RAA) to recover from Michigan alternative electric suppliers the costs that the Indiana Michigan Power Company (I&M) incurs to meet its Fixed Resource Requirement capacity obligations under the RAA. On April 30, 2012, the Commission accepted the formula rate proposal for filing, subject to refund, and established hearing and settlement judge procedures.¹ A request for reconsideration, or in the alternative, rehearing was filed by the Michigan Public Service Commission (Michigan PSC).

2. On February 25, 2013, AEP filed a motion to withdraw the proposed formula rate filing, and terminate the proceeding (February 25, 2013 Motion). AEP states that, in a September 25, 2012 order, the Michigan PSC has established a state compensation mechanism that has adopted charges for retail customers that obviates the wholesale charges that I&M sought to recover under the rate schedule that it filed in this proceeding. AEP states that no charges were assessed to Michigan alternative suppliers under the proposed formula rate filing that would be subject to refund. AEP further states that withdrawal of the proposed formula rate filing and termination of the proceeding are made without prejudice to AEP submitting a subsequent rate filing. No protests or comments in opposition to the February 25, 2013 Motion were filed.

¹ *PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.*, 139 FERC ¶ 61,078 (2012).

3. Since AEP no longer supports its Federal Power Act section 205 filing rate increase filing,² and because no charges were assessed to Michigan alternative suppliers under the proposed formula rate filing, we grant AEP's request to withdraw the proposed formula rate filing, and terminate the proceeding. Because we have terminated the proceeding, the Michigan PSC request for rehearing, and all outstanding motions and requests are now moot.

By direction of the Commission.

Kimberly D. Bose,
Secretary.

² 16 U.S.C. § 824d (2006).