

141 FERC ¶ 61,054
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20426

October 19, 2012

In Reply Refer To:
San Diego Gas & Electric
Company
Docket Nos. ER12-1417-002
ER12-1417-003

San Diego Gas & Electric Company
101 Ash Street
San Francisco, CA 92101

Attn: Georgetta J. Baker, Esq.
Attorney for San Diego Gas & Electric Company

Dear Ms. Baker:

1. On June 28, 2012, as amended on July 19, 2012, San Diego Gas & Electric (SDG&E) submitted a compliance filing as directed by the Commission's June 8, 2012 order.¹ The June 8 Order conditionally accepted SDG&E's proposed Appendix X to its Transmission Owner Tariff. Appendix X provides a formula for the recovery of operations and maintenance costs that SDG&E intends to charge Citizens Sunrise Transmission, LLC (Citizens), a wholly-owned subsidiary of Citizens Energy Corporation, to lease the transfer capacity over a portion of the Border-East Line of the Sunrise Powerlink Transmission Project,² subject to an annual true-up.

¹ *San Diego Gas & Electric Co.*, 139 FERC ¶ 61,188 (2012) (June 8 Order).

² Sunrise Project is a 120-mile, 500 kV transmission line and associated upgrades that will extend from SDG&E's Imperial Valley substation to load-centers in western San Diego County, California. Citizen's entitlement to the transfer capacity over the Border-East Line is pursuant to a 30-year lease agreement.

2. In the June 8 Order, the Commission directed SDG&E to modify one provision within Appendix X, Section 1 as described in the Six Cities' protest.³ The modification was to provide explicitly that SDG&E will reflect all Commission directed refunds or adjustments affecting the Border-East Line in the true-up adjustment under SDG&E's proposed formula rate for Citizens.
3. Notice of the Compliance Filing was published in the *Federal Register*, 77 Fed. Reg. 40,351, with comments and interventions due on or before July 19, 2012. Limited protests and comments were filed by M-S-R Public Power Agency and the City of Santa Clara, jointly, and by the Modesto Irrigation District. The protest and comments assert that SDG&E's proposed modification to Appendix X, Section 1, tariff sheets omitted the word "components" from the phrase "cost components," which is the language in the Six Cities' protest in the underlying proceeding.
4. In response to the protest, SDG&E filed an errata to its Compliance Filing (Errata Filing) that added the previously omitted language to the tariff. SDG&E noted that the omission of the word "components" was inadvertent.
5. Notice of the Errata Filing was published in the *Federal Register*, 77 Fed. Reg. 45,346, with comments and interventions due on or before August 10, 2012. No protests or comments were filed.
6. SDG&E's compliance filing, as amended on July 19, 2012, complies with the conditions set forth in the Commission's June 8 Order. Accordingly, SDG&E's compliance filing is hereby accepted, effective June 1, 2012, as requested.

By direction of the Commission.

Nathaniel J. Davis, Sr.,
Deputy Secretary.

³ Cities of Anaheim, Azusa, Banning, Colton, Pasadena and Riverside, California April 20, 2012 Protest at 4-5.