

153 FERC ¶ 61,235
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20426

November 19, 2015

In Reply Refer To:
Tennessee Gas Pipeline Co., L.L.C.
Docket No. RP13-464-001

Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company, L.L.C.
1001 Louisiana Street
Suite 1000
Houston, Texas 77002

Attention: John E. Griffin
Assistant General Counsel

Dear Mr. Griffin:

1. On October 15, 2015, Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company, L.L.C. (Tennessee) filed a notice of withdrawal of its request for rehearing filed on June 17, 2013, in the above-referenced proceeding. As discussed below, the Commission accepts Tennessee's withdrawal of its rehearing request, finds that the pending rehearing requests of Chesapeake Energy Marketing, Inc. (Chesapeake) and Kinder Morgan Utica LLC (KM Utica) in the same proceeding are now moot, and terminates the proceeding.
2. On January 18, 2013, Tennessee submitted *pro forma* tariff records to revise certain provisions of its tariff to provide a new rich gas transportation service on its system. Tennessee stated that it has four parallel mainline pipes that traverse the Utica Shale production area, which produces natural gas that is rich in other hydrocarbon fuels. Tennessee proposed to convert one of those four parallel pipes into a Rich Gas Line so that shippers could move those rich gas supplies to downstream markets. Tennessee also proposed to operate this new transportation service under its existing Rate Schedules FT-A and IT.
3. On May 16, 2013, the Commission authorized Tennessee to offer its proposed service under its existing Rate Schedules FT-A and IT at existing rates, subject to various

conditions.¹ Chesapeake, KM Utica, and Tennessee filed requests for rehearing of the Commission's May 16, 2013, order regarding determinations made in the Commission's authorization of the rich gas service.

4. On June 11, 2015, Tennessee filed a data response in Docket No. CP15-88-000. In response to Data Request No. 8(d), Tennessee stated:

As noted in the response in subsection (c) above, Tennessee's rehearing request in Docket No. RP13-464-001 is still pending before the Commission. However, at this time, Tennessee has elected to not proceed with the conversion proposed in Docket No. RP13-464-000.

Subsequently, in the instant notice of withdrawal of its request for rehearing, Tennessee states that it "has decided to withdraw its request for rehearing, and will not seek to move into effect the *pro forma* tariff sheets approved, subject to conditions, by the Commission in its May 16, 2013 order."²

5. Based on Tennessee's representation that it no longer intends to move forward with this service proposal, the Commission accepts Tennessee's notice of withdrawal of its request for rehearing. Moreover, because Chesapeake and KM Utica's requests for rehearing in the instant proceeding are centered upon the determinations in the May 15, 2013, order regarding the implementation of Tennessee's rich gas transportation service proposal, and Tennessee no longer intends to implement such service, the Commission finds that those requests for rehearing of the May 16, 2013 order are moot. Accordingly, the Commission terminates the captioned proceeding.

By direction of the Commission.

Nathaniel J. Davis, Sr.,
Deputy Secretary.

¹ *Tennessee Gas Pipeline Co.*, 143 FERC ¶ 61,128 (2013).

² Tennessee Notice of Withdrawal of Rehearing at p.1.