

152 FERC ¶ 61,109  
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20426

August 4, 2015

In Reply Refer To:  
ISO New England Inc.  
Northeast Utilities Service Company  
Docket No. ER15-200-000

ISO New England Inc.  
One Sullivan Road  
Holyoke, MA 01040-2841

Attention: Monica Gonzalez, Esq.  
Attorney for ISO New England Inc.

Dear Ms. Gonzalez:

1. On June 9, 2015, you filed on behalf of ISO New England Inc. (ISO-NE) and the other Settling Parties<sup>1</sup> a proposed Settlement Agreement (Settlement) involving a large generator interconnection agreement among ISO-NE, NUSCO, and CPV, in the above-captioned proceeding. On June 29, 2015, Commission Trial Staff filed initial comments in support of the Settlement. On July 1, 2015, CPV filed limited reply comments in support of the Settlement. No other participants filed comments. On July 14, 2015, the Settlement Judge certified the Settlement to the Commission as uncontested.
2. The Settlement resolves all the issues among the active participants in the above-captioned proceeding. The Settlement appears to be fair, reasonable, and in the public interest, and it is hereby approved. The Commission's approval of this settlement does not constitute approval of, or precedent regarding, any principle or issue in this proceeding.

---

<sup>1</sup> The Settling Parties are: ISO-NE, Northeast Utilities Service Company (NUSCO) (on behalf of its affiliate Connecticut Light and Power Company (CL&P)), and CPV Towantic, LLC (CPV).

3. The Settlement provides that:

It is the intent of the Settling Parties that, to the maximum extent permitted by law, the provisions of this Settlement Agreement shall not be subject to change under Sections 205 and 206 absent the written agreement of all the Settling Parties. Otherwise the standard of review for changes proposed by Settling Parties shall be the public interest standard of review set forth in *United Gas Pipe Line Co. v. Mobile Gas Service Corp.*, 350 U.S. 332 (1956), *Federal Power Commission v. Sierra Pacific Power Co.*, 350 U.S. 348 (1956), *Morgan Stanley Capital Group, Inc. v. Public Utility District No. 1 of Snohomish County*, 128 S.Ct. 2733 (2008), and *NRG Power Marketing, LLC v. Maine Public Utilities Commission*, 130 S.Ct. 693 (2010). The statutory “just and reasonable” standard of review applies to future changes to the Settlement Agreement sought by the Commission acting sua sponte or at the request of a non-settling third party.<sup>2</sup>

4. Consistent with the terms of the Settlement, within 30 days after the date of this Order ISO-NE will file to cancel the original Large Generator Interconnection Agreement (LGIA) in the eTariff system because such LGIA need not be on file. The revised LGIA is a conforming LGIA and therefore it does not need to be filed and can instead be reported in the Electric Quarterly Report.

5. This letter order terminates the docket contained in the caption above.

By direction of the Commission.

Nathaniel J. Davis, Sr.,  
Deputy Secretary.

cc: All Parties

---

<sup>2</sup> Settlement, Article III Standard of Review, § 3.2.