

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.

Docket No. EL14-37-000

SUPPLEMENTAL NOTICE OF TECHNICAL CONFERENCE

(December 10, 2014)

As announced in a Notice issued on October 31, 2014, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) will hold a technical conference on Wednesday, January 7, 2015. The technical conference will explore whether: 1) PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.'s (PJM) Financial Transmission Rights (FTR) forfeiture rule as it applies to Up-to Congestion (UTC) transactions and virtual (INC/DEC) transactions is just and reasonable; and 2) PJM's current uplift allocation associated with UTC transactions and INCs/DECs is just and reasonable. The technical conference will commence at 9:00 a.m. and conclude at 4:30 p.m. and be held at the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE, Washington, DC 20426. This technical conference is free of charge and open to the public. Commission members may participate in the technical conference.

The agenda and a list of participants for this technical conference are attached.

Those who plan to attend the technical conference are encouraged to complete the registration form located at: <https://www.ferc.gov/whats-new/registration/01-07-15-form.asp>. There is no registration deadline.

The technical conference will be transcribed. Transcripts of the technical conference will be available for a fee from Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc. (202-347-3700 or 1-800-336-6646). Additionally, there will be a free webcast of the technical conference. The webcast will allow persons to listen to the technical conference but not participate. Anyone with Internet access who wants to listen to the technical conference can do so by navigating to the Calendar of Events at www.ferc.gov, locating the technical conference in the Calendar, and clicking on the webcast link. The Capitol Connection provides technical support for the webcast and offers the option of listening to the meeting via phone-bridge for a fee. If you have any questions, visit www.CapitolConnection.org or call 703-993-3100.

While this technical conference is not for the purpose of discussing specific cases, the technical conference may address matters at issue in the following, related Commission proceeding that is pending: ER13-1654-001.

Commission technical conferences are accessible under section 508 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973. For accessibility accommodations, please send an email to accessibility@ferc.gov or call toll free (866) 208-3372 (voice) or (202) 502-8659 (TTY), or send a fax to (202) 208-2106 with the requested accommodations.

For more information about the technical conference, please contact:

Sarah McKinley (Logistical Information)
Office of External Affairs
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street, NE
Washington, DC 20426
(202) 502-8368
sarah.mckinley@ferc.gov

Carmen Gastilo Machuga (Legal Information)
Office of the General Counsel
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street, NE
Washington, DC 20426
(202) 502-8657
carmen.gastilo@ferc.gov

William Sauer (Technical Information)
Office of Energy Policy and Innovation
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street, NE
Washington, DC 20426
(202) 502-6639
william.sauer@ferc.gov

Cathleen Colbert (Technical Information)
Office of Enforcement
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street, NE
Washington, DC 20426
(202) 502-8997
cathleen.colbert@ferc.gov

Kimberly D. Bose,
Secretary.



Technical Conference on Financial Transactions in PJM

Docket No. EL14-37-000

January 7, 2015

Agenda

The technical conference will explore whether: (1) PJM's FTR forfeiture rule as it applies to UTC transactions and INCs/DECs is just and reasonable; and (2) PJM's current uplift allocation associated with UTC transactions and INCs/DECs is just and reasonable. Presentations will be allowed at the beginning of each Panel. Any presentations should be narrowly confined to the topics discussed in this agenda and should be no longer than five minutes. Presentations should primarily focus on factual background. Presentations and discussions should be confined to proposals for addressing these issues within PJM.

9:00am - 9:15am **Welcome and Opening Remarks**

9:15am – 12:00pm **Panel 1: FTR Forfeiture Rule Goals and Designs
(with a 15 minute break)**

Panel 1 will explore PJM's FTR forfeiture rule as it applies to INCs/DECs and UTC transactions. In the context of applying the rule to these products, the Panel will discuss: (1) the goals of the FTR forfeiture rule; and (2) different ways of structuring the FTR forfeiture rule's design.

During the discussion on goals, Panelists should be prepared to address the following:

- The FTR forfeiture rule was intended to address potential market abuse¹. The market abuse in question was trading to create artificial congestion in the day-

¹ December 22, 2000 filing of PJM Interconnection, L.L.C., Docket No. ER01-773-000 at 2 ("The purpose of the modifications is to address concerns...that an entity can purchase FTRs in the monthly FTR auction and then enter Increment and Decrement Bids in the Day-ahead Market so as to create congestion and artificially (continued...)

ahead market that influenced the value of FTRs, conduct which may be a violation of the Anti-Manipulation Rule after its implementation in 2006. INCs/DECs and UTC transactions may provide value to the system by improving price convergence. Given these two priorities, is it possible to design an effective rule that addresses market abuse yet does not discourage legitimate virtual trading that can contribute to price convergence?

- Examples of how INCs/DECs and UTC transactions influence the value of FTRs
- Behaviors to be discouraged or encouraged through the FTR forfeiture rule

During the discussion on different ways of structuring the FTR forfeiture rule design, Panelists should be prepared to address the structural components of an effective rule, including:

- In which way, if at all, should transactions be aggregated to determine the effect on congestion? In determining the effect on congestion, should the FTR forfeiture rule consider each market participant's portfolio of transactions? If so, is this approach technically feasible?
- In which way, if at all, should the FTR forfeiture rule assess INCs/DECs and UTC transactions that are intended to relieve congestion to benefit the value of counter-flow FTRs?
- At what threshold should the flow impact on a transmission constraint's limit trigger the forfeiture? What are the possible implications of implementing an overly strict rule versus a rule that may fail to identify all instances of potentially manipulative behavior?
- How, if at all, should the rule treat INCs/DECs and UTC transactions differently under various rule designs? For instance, should different injection/withdrawal points be utilized? Should different forfeiture thresholds be used?

Panelists:

- Andrew Hartshorn, Boston Energy Trading and Marketing
- Noha Sidhom, Inertia Power, LP
- Harry Singh, J. Aron & Company
- Joseph Bowring, Monitoring Analytics
- Stu Bresler, PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.

12:00pm – 1:00pm Lunch

increase the value of its FTRs.”).

**1:00pm – 4:15pm Panel 2: Uplift Causation and Allocation
(with a 15 minute break)**

Panel 2 will explore the circumstances under which INCs/DECs and UTC transactions may cause uplift in PJM and, if so, how INCs/DECs and UTC transactions should be allocated uplift charges. In the context of assessing PJM's uplift allocation, the Panel will discuss: (1) the extent to which uplift may be caused by INCs/DECs and UTC transactions; and (2) different ways to potentially allocate uplift to INCs/DECs and UTC transactions.

During the discussion on uplift causation, Panelists should be prepared to address the following:

- How, if at all, do INCs/DECs and UTC transactions cause uplift?
- In which way, if at all, is uplift caused by INCs/DECs and UTC transactions associated with congestion, divergences between day-ahead and real-time physical energy requirements, or other positions held by each market participant?
- Are there methods available to accurately and dynamically determine any uplift that may be caused by INCs/DECs and UTC transactions?

During the discussion on uplift allocation, Panelists should be prepared to address the following:

- The status of PJM's Energy Market Uplift Senior Task Force.
- What principle(s) should be followed if and when allocating uplift to INCs/DECs and UTC transactions? For instance, one potential solution is that uplift costs should be strictly allocated based on cost causation determinations. Other potential solutions may be guided by simplicity, predictability, or multiple objectives. What new, if any, uplift allocation rules should be implemented based on this principle(s)?
- Under which, if any, circumstances should INCs/DECs and UTC transactions be offset by other transactions to limit uplift allocation exposure?

Panelists:

- Abram Klein, Appian Way Energy Partners
- William Hogan, Harvard University, speaking on behalf of Financial Marketers Coalition
- Joseph Bowring, Monitoring Analytics
- Adam Keech, PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.
- David Patton, Potomac Economics, Ltd.
- Wesley Allen, Red Wolf Energy Trading, L.L.C.
- Stephanie Staska, Twin Cities Power Holdings, L.L.C.
- Michael McNair, Yes Energy

4:15pm – 4:30pm

Closing