

149 FERC ¶ 61,159
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, DC 20426

November 24, 2014

In Reply Refer To:
Southern California Edison Company
Docket Nos. ER13-1216-001
ER13-1216-002

Jennifer L. Key, Esq.
Steptoe & Johnson LLP
1330 Connecticut Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20036

Dear Ms. Key:

1. On August 28, 2014, Southern California Edison Company (SoCal Edison) filed, in the above referenced proceeding, a Settlement Agreement (Settlement) among the settling parties.
2. Comments in support of the Settlement were filed by Commission Trial Staff on September 17, 2014. No reply comments were filed. On October 2, 2014, the Presiding Administrative Law Judge certified the Settlement to the Commission as uncontested.¹
3. Section 7.1 of the Settlement provides that:

It is the intent of the Parties that, to the maximum extent permitted by law, the provisions of this Agreement shall not be subject to change absent the written agreement of the Parties, and that the standard of review for changes unilaterally proposed by a Party shall be the public interest standard of review set forth in *United Gas Pipe Line Co. v. Mobile Gas Service Corp.*, 350 U.S. 332 (1956), *Federal Power Commission v. Sierra Pacific Power Co.*, 350 U.S. 348 (1956), *Morgan Stanley Capital Group, Inc. v. Public Utility District No. 1 of Snohomish County*, 128 S.Ct. 2733 (2008), and *NRG Power Marketing, LLC v. Maine Public Utilities Commission*, 130 S.Ct.

¹ *S. Cal. Edison Co.*, 149 FERC ¶ 63,004 (2014).

693 (2010). The statutory “just and reasonable” standard of review applies to future changes to the Settlement sought by the Commission acting *sua sponte* or at the request of a non-settling third party.

4. The Settlement resolves all issues in dispute in this proceeding. The Settlement appears to be fair and reasonable and in the public interest, and is hereby approved. The Commission’s approval of this Settlement does not constitute approval of, or precedent regarding, any principle or issue in this proceeding.

5. Any amounts collected in excess of the settlement rates shall be refunded together with interest computed under Section 35.19a of the Commission’s regulations² in accordance with the terms of the Settlement.

6. This letter terminates Docket Nos. ER13-1216-001 and ER13-1216-002.

By direction of the Commission.

Nathaniel J. Davis, Sr.,
Deputy Secretary.

cc: All parties

² 18 C.F.R. § 35.19a (2014).