

147 FERC ¶ 61,133
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20426

May 19, 2014

In Reply Refer To:
Southern California Edison Company
Docket No. ER14-1554-000

Southern California Edison Company
P.O. Box 800
2244 Walnut Grove Avenue
Rosemead, CA 91770

Attention: James A. Cuillier
Director, FERC Rates & Regulation

Dear Mr. Cuillier:

1. On March 24, 2014, Southern California Edison Company (SoCal Edison) submitted for filing Notices of Cancellation to terminate various interconnection agreements (the Agreements) with various customers that were entered into under its Transmission Owner Tariff (TO Tariff), consistent with directives established by the Commission in a series of recent orders.¹ Also, SoCal Edison requests waiver of the Commission's 60-day prior notice requirement.

2. As of December 15, 2013, SoCal Edison finished the East Kern Wind Resource Area Reliability Project, resulting in the reclassification of certain transmission facilities that were previously network transmission facilities under the California Independent System Operator Corporation's (CAISO) control to local distribution facilities as part of SoCal Edison's distribution system. To account for the reclassification, SoCal Edison

¹ *S. Cal. Edison Co.*, 146 FERC ¶ 61,177, at P 17 (2014); *S. Cal. Edison Co.*, 146 FERC ¶ 61,178, at P 19 (2014); *S. Cal. Edison Co.*, 146 FERC ¶ 61,187, at P 33 (2014); *S. Cal. Edison Co.*, Docket No. ER14-983-000, at n.1 (Mar. 11, 2014) (delegated letter order); *S. Cal. Edison Co.*, Docket No. ER14-986-000, at n.1 (Mar. 11, 2014) (delegated letter order); *S. Cal. Edison Co.*, Docket No. ER14-997-000, at n.1 (Mar. 11, 2014) (delegated letter order).

made several filings to convert the Agreements, which are under its TO Tariff, to interconnection agreements under its Wholesale Distribution Access Tariff (WDAT). To avoid having duplicate agreements on file for the same service, the Commission, in the various orders accepting the new WDAT interconnection agreements, directed SoCal Edison to cancel the corresponding TO Tariff interconnection agreements.²

3. Notice of SoCal Edison's filing was published in the *Federal Register*, 79 Fed. Reg. 18,022 (2014), with comments due on or before April 11, 2014. Timely unopposed motions to intervene were filed by CalWind Resources, Inc. (CalWind), First Solar, Inc., and CAISO. No protests were filed. On April 4, 2014, SoCal Edison filed a motion to answer CalWind's motion to intervene. In its answer, SoCal Edison states that it does not protest CalWind's motion to intervene, but that it disagrees with CalWind's characterization of the amount of capacity generated by its wind-powered generator, the Pajuela Peak Project, and it notes that this dispute is subject to an ongoing hearing in Docket No. ER13-1216-000. Pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 C.F.R. § 385.214 (2013), the timely, unopposed motions to intervene serve to make the entities that filed them parties to this proceeding.

4. Rule 213(a)(2) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 C.F.R. § 385.213(a)(2) (2013), prohibits an answer to a protest or answer unless otherwise ordered by the decisional authority. We are not persuaded to accept SoCal Edison's answer and will, therefore, reject it.

5. We find that the notices of termination are just and reasonable and not unduly discriminatory or preferential. Terminating the Agreements complies with the Commission's directives and will not change rates. So for good cause shown, we grant waiver of the Commission's prior notice requirement,³ and we accept SoCal Edison's filing, effective December 15, 2013, as requested.

By direction of the Commission.

Kimberly D. Bose,
Secretary.

² *Id.*

³ *Central Hudson Gas & Electric Corporation*, 60 FERC ¶ 61,106, *reh'g denied*, 61 FERC ¶ 61,089 (1992), and *Prior Notice and Filing Requirements Under Part II of the Federal Power Act*, 64 FERC ¶ 61,139, *clarified*, 65 FERC ¶ 61,081 (1993).