

# Resource Adequacy in Wholesale Electricity Markets: Principles and Lessons Learned

Presented to:

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Technical Conference

> David B. Patton, Ph.D. President, Potomac Economics

> > September 25, 2013



# Introduction

- Market-based investment in wholesale electricity markets is ultimately facilitated by the markets economic signals, including:
  - Energy and ancillary service net revenues during non-shortages;
  - $\checkmark$  Energy and ancillary service net revenues during shortages; and
  - ✓ Capacity market net revenues;
- Long-run equilibrium is achieved when the combination of these expected revenues cover entry costs of the marginal resource.
  - While theoretically sufficient, energy-only markets will generally not satisfy RTOs' planning reserve needs.
    - $\checkmark$  In other words, there is "missing money".
    - ✓ Capacity markets exist primarily to provide the missing money.
    - ✓ The only alternative to a capacity market is to artificially inflate shortage pricing, which raises a variety of concerns.
      POTOMAC

# Why is There Missing Money in Electricity Markets?

#### Missing money exists for three reasons:

- Planning reserve requirements exceed levels that an energy-only market would provide, assuming the energy market prices shortages efficiently.
  - ✓ Therefore, additional revenues are needed to prompt the higher level of investment needed to satisfy these targets.
- The higher planning margins result in more supply, which reduces the frequency of shortages (and associated shortage revenues).
- Real-time prices may not always fully reflect the value of energy because of the effects of the ISO's reliability actions:
  - ✓ Committing peaking resources or other generating resources;
  - ✓ Curtailing load; and
  - ✓ Curtailing exports;



#### **Necessary Attributes of Efficient Capacity Markets**

Market Attribute	New York ISO	ISO New England	PJM
Essential Attributes			
Sloped Demand Curve	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$
Locational Market Structure	1/2 🗸	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Supply-side and Demand-Side Mitigation	1/2 🗸	1/2 🗸	1/2 🗸
<b>Optional/Undetermined Attribute</b>			
Forward Procurement		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$



#### **Essential Attribute #1: Capacity Demand Curve**

- <u>Demand for Capacity</u>. Based on the reliability value provided by the capacity.
  - Vertical demand curve indicates that the last MW needed to meet the capacity requirement has extreme value and the first MW of surplus has no value.
  - In reality, each MW of surplus capacity increases reliability, although these reliability increases diminish as the surplus grows.
- <u>Supply of Capacity</u>. The competitive offer for capacity (i.e., the marginal cost of selling capacity) is generally close to zero because the costs of satisfying the capacity obligations are usually very low.
- These characteristics cause:
  - Clearing prices to almost always be close to zero.
  - Investment signals only from shortages that are unpredictable and unstable.



# **Essential Attribute #1: Capacity Demand Curve**

- A sloped demand curve reflects that additional capacity above the minimum does have reliability value (which decreases as the excess increases).
  - ✓ The price (P\*) would be determined by the marginal value of additional capacity as represented by the sloped demand curve, rather than by a supply offer.
- A sloped demand curve:
  - Provides more efficient prices that reflect the prevailing surplus.
  - Improves price stability, which should facilitate investment by reducing price risk.
  - Reduces incentives to withhold capacity by raising the opportunity costs of withholding (foregone revenues) and decreasing its price effects.



#### **Other Essential Attributes**

- Essential Attribute #2: Locational Requirements and Zones
  - Transmission constraints cause RTOs' planning needs to vary locationally.
  - ✓ In order to efficiently facilitate investment (and retirement) decisions, these local needs must by accurately reflected in the capacity market such that:
    - Prices will dynamically adjust based on the supply and demand that exists in local areas.
- Essential Attribute #3: Market Power Mitigation Measures (particularly in local zones)
  - ✓ Supply-side: to prevent withholding of capacity that would increase prices.
  - ✓ Buyer-side: to prevent subsidized uneconomic investment to lower prices.

#### **Optional Attribute: Forward Procurement**

- Capacity markets vary regarding when capacity must by procured:
  - ✓ NYISO (and MISO): Shortly before the operating year or month.
  - ✓ New England and PJM: Roughly 3 years in advance.
- Primary role for the capacity markets, regardless of the procurement timeframe, is to establish a transparent, efficient price for capacity.
  - ✓ Such prices facilitate efficient forward contracting and long-term decisions (investment, retirement, and maintenance).
- Many in the industry confuse RTOs' mandatory forward procurement with longer-term forward contracting.
  - ✓ *They are not substitutes;*

۲

- ✓ Bilateral forward contracting remains key under any market design for locking in revenues and facilitating financing of new resources.
- Mandatory forward procurement has potential benefits and drawbacks.
  - $\checkmark$  It is premature to determine whether this is an improvement.



# **Optional Attribute: Resource Characteristics**

- Some have argued that capacity markets should be used to favor certain operating characteristics, such as:
  - ✓ Flexibility
  - ✓ Dual-fueled or specific fuel (e.g., non-gas)
- The energy market should play a primary role in rewarding good operating characteristics. Efficient shortage pricing greatly rewards:
  - Non-gas units when shortages occur due to gas system contingencies;
  - ✓ Flexible resources that can start quickly and provide energy when unexpected conditions lead to a shortage;
  - ✓ Resources with back-up or firm fuel supplies;
- Hence, RTOs should ensure real-time market efficiently rewards such characteristics before modifying the capacity markets to do so.

# **Final Thoughts**

- The NYISO market design has been very effective in facilitating investment and maintaining adequacy.
  - ✓ Buyer-side mitigation has been controversial.
  - ✓ Its "deliverability" framework and locational market design needs significant improvement.
  - ✓ We have not recommended NYISO consider mandatory forward procurement.
- Stability in the design and operation of the capacity market is critical.
  - ✓ Investors must be able to project capacity revenue over the life of their resources.
  - ✓ Instability raises investment risk that will cause investors to require higher prices to enter.

