

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION
993rd Commission Meeting

Thursday, April 18, 2013

Hearing Room 2C

888 First Street, NE

Washington, D.C. 20426

The Commission met in open session, pursuant to
notice, at 10 a.m., when were present:

COMMISSIONERS:

JON WELLINGHOFF, Chairman

PHILIP MOELLER, Commissioner

JOHN NORRIS, Commissioner

CHERYL A. LaFLEUR, Commissioner

TONY CLARK, Commissioner

FERC STAFF:

KIMBERLY D. BOSE, Secretary

MICHAEL BARDEE, Director, OEP

DAVID MORENOFF, Acting General Counsel

JIM PEDERSON, Chief of Staff

JEFF WRIGHT, Director, OEP

MICHAEL C. McLAUGHLIN, Director, OEMR

JAMIE SIMLER, Director, OEPI

JOSEPH McCLELLAND, Director, OEIS

1 Chairman's Awards

2 PRESENTERS:

3 E-7: Version 5 Critical Infrastructure Protection
4 Reliability Standards (RM13-5-000)

5 JASON CHRISTOPHER, OER

6 KEVIN RYAN, OGC

7 AUSTIN RAPPEPORT, OER

8

9

10

11 Reported by

12 Daniel W. Hawkins

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 PROCEEDINGS

2 COMMISSIONER WELLINGHOFF: Can we all come to
3 order, please.

4 Good morning. This is the time and place that
5 has been noticed for the open meeting of the Federal Energy
6 Regulatory Commission to consider matters that have been
7 duly posted in accordance with the Government in the
8 Sunshine Act.

9 Please join me for the Pledge of Allegiance.

10 [Pledge of Allegiance recited.]

11 COMMISSIONER WELLINGHOFF: Well, since our last
12 meeting, we've actually issued 70 notational orders; up from
13 55, we got a little up-tic. I also see that we have some
14 additional firms in the audience, and I appreciate everybody
15 coming in, attending our meeting, although I am not sure
16 this is directly relevant to the issues we are discussing
17 today, but we appreciate you being here.

18 Today I have a few administrative items, and then
19 some Commission Staff administrative items.

20 My first series of administrative items is a
21 series of awards that I want to give out.

22 The first award I want to give is the Chairman's
23 Award, to Jim Pederson. Jim, come up here.

24 (Applause) [Jim Pederson, Chief of Staff]

25 COMMISSIONER WELLINGHOFF: Jim, as you know, has
26

1 been with me for over six and a half years; and you know, a
2 certain vice-principal, and that's Jim does; send people to
3 the vice-principal's office, and Jim is the one who makes
4 things run here. Without Jim, I really couldn't do the
5 things that I do here; and I don't think the rest of the
6 staff could, either. So Jim, we really appreciate all the
7 work you do.

8 How many years is that? 34 years.

9 So the next award I have is to my trusted
10 adviser, David Morenoff. Without his help on all the issues
11 of the work that goes on at the FERC, I could not do what I
12 do.

13 (Applause) [David Morenoff, Acting GC]

14 MR. MORENOFF: [inaudible] I can't tell you how
15 much I appreciate the work that she does.

16 She is the brains behind this Commission. Let no
17 one have any doubt, she's the one who keeps things on track.

18 I can't tell you how much I appreciate the work
19 that she does.

20 (Applause)

21 COMMISSIONER WELLINGHOFF: I have told this story
22 publicly in small groups, but I'll tell everybody the story,
23 about how David came to the Commission. He started out as
24 my adviser. He was actually with Troutman, Sanders. I
25 didn't know David from Adam when I came here, and I got a
26

1 call from Senator Reid that said, "Senator Reid from Rhode
2 Island wants you to interview this guy, David Morenoff."

3 I thought, "I'm going to have to hire this guy."

4 (Laughter)

5 I've known Senator Reid for a long time and he's
6 a good friend, so we brought him in and interviewed him, and
7 it was the best interview, and I hired him right away.

8 Then we've got the rock of the Commission. Solid
9 rock, that is so important to this Commission to make sure
10 that the gas markets work on a day-to-day basis. Come up
11 here, Mike.

12 (Applause) [Michael C. McLaughlin, Director,
13 OEIS]

14 COMMISSIONER WELLINGHOFF: Mike truly does make
15 sure that those markets operate on a daily basis, operate in
16 a way that we can hopefully ensure that these markets can
17 have continuing benefits for their efficiency.

18 You know, you can't get anything to market unless
19 there's an infrastructure built. Somebody here at the
20 Commission who fills some pretty big shoes, and fills those
21 shoes very well. (Applause)

22 COMMISSIONER WELLINGHOFF: Just because we have
23 markets operating, we have legal and technical and economic
24 expertise -- and we'll get into structure moving, the
25 Commission doesn't do it without paper. Because without
26

1 that paper moving in an efficient and effective manner. Who
2 does that?

3 Kim, come up.

4 (Applause) [Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary]

5 COMMISSIONER WELLINGHOFF: Of course, after we
6 move the paper, we have to tell everybody what we do, enough
7 to make sure that people know what we do it the way that we
8 can communicate. We don't know how many of you have ever
9 tried to read one of our orders -- but it's not an easy
10 task. So we have to make sure that we have that
11 communication ability to the world. There is one person who
12 has done that for us, at his division, without a doubt.

13 (Applause) [Jeff Wright, Director, OEP]

14 COMMISSIONER WELLINGHOFF: All right, we'll move
15 on. I think there are some other announcements.
16 Cheryl, do you have some announcements?

17 COMMISSIONER LaFLEUR: Yes. Thank you very much,
18 Jon. First of all, congratulations to all of the people you
19 just honored. I have some administrative announcements. I
20 just wanted to start by noting that it's been a difficult
21 week. I was riveted to the TV to watch the images of
22 Boston, and it was almost surreal to see when it's a
23 familiar locale. So all of our thoughts go out to the folks
24 up there who were impacted by Monday's events.

25 Moving on to more mundane matters -- although

26

1 still important to me -- I wanted to announce that Lisa
2 Lovetic will be leaving my office next week to join the
3 Solicitor's Office here at FERC. It's a great opportunity
4 for her, but not so great for us. We're truly going to miss
5 her. I'll especially miss her wise counsel; how much she
6 cared about the orders and getting them right and sweating
7 the details to make them right, and her sense of humor.

8 She's played a leadership role on a lot of things
9 in our office including gas-electric, and I'm very happy
10 that her talents will stay at the Commission; she can defend
11 all these orders -- and that our friendship can continue at
12 close range.

13 And I'm also happy to announce that Jeb Gephardt,
14 who is standing behind me, will be joining our office next
15 week. Jeb has been at the Commission for a couple years in
16 the Office of Enforcement, where she has led a number of
17 significant projects including the New England outage
18 inquiry in 2011, and the NERC audit appeal. Before joining
19 FERC, she was an energy lawyer at Spiegel & McDermott and
20 elsewhere, and worked on the Hill. So she really brings a
21 very strong background.

22 Jed is a *summa cum laude* graduate of Wellesley
23 College, and an honors graduate of Georgetown Law Center.
24 So we're really thrilled to have her starting on our team,
25 and appreciate Enforcement giving her up.

26

1 Finally, while I have the mic, I just want to
2 give a shout out to someone who is at the last FERC meeting
3 for a while; and when I was first nominated a few years ago,
4 Esther Whielden was the first reporter to track me down at
5 home, and --

6 (Laughter)

7 -- when my husband put the message on the kitchen table, my
8 kids were astonished that there was a magazine called Inside
9 FERC.

10 (Laughter)

11 VOICE: Who reads it?

12 COMMISSIONER LaFLEUR: People do, and we're
13 really lucky to have Esther there. Esther is moving on to
14 S&L Energy, but she won't be coming to every FERC meeting;
15 she will be covering the wider range of energy matters, so
16 we'll miss her.

17 Thank you.

18 COMMISSIONER WELLINGHOFF: Thank you, Cheryl.

19 Anybody else have any announcements for this
20 morning?

21 If not, Madam Secretary, go to the Consent
22 Agenda.

23 SECRETARY BOSE: Good morning, Mr. Chairman, and
24 good morning, Commissioners.

25 Since the issuance of the Sunshine Act notice on
26

1 April 11, 2013, no items have been struck in this agenda.

2 Your Consent Agenda for this morning is as
3 follows:

4 Electric Items: E-1, E-2, E-3, E-4, E-5, E-6, E-
5 8, E-9, E-10, E-11, E-12, E-13 and E-14.

6 Gas Items: G-1

7 Hydro Items: H-1, H-2 and H-3.

8 Certificate Items: C-1, C-2 and C-3.

9 As to E-2, Commissioner Clark is dissenting in
10 part with a separate statement.

11 As to E-11, Commissioner Moeller is concurring
12 with a separate statement.

13 We will now take a vote on this morning's Consent
14 Agenda. The vote begins with Commission Clark.

15 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Noting my dissent in part on
16 E-2, I vote Yes.

17 SECRETARY BOSE: Commissioner LaFleur.

18 COMMISSIONER LaFLEUR: Aye.

19 SECRETARY BOSE: Commissioner Norris.

20 COMMISSIONER NORRIS: Aye.

21 SECRETARY BOSE: Commissioner Moeller.

22 COMMISSIONER MOELLER: I've notice my concurrence
23 in E-11, and vote Aye.

24 SECRETARY BOSE: And Chairman Wellinghoff.

25 COMMISSIONER WELLINGHOFF: I vote Aye.

26

1 Madam Secretary, please go to the special agenda.

2 E-7 Staff Presentation

3 SECRETARY BOSE: There will be a presentation
4 this morning followed by discussion on Item E-7. Presenting
5 for the Office of Electric Reliability is Jason Christopher.
6 He is accompanied by Kevin Ryan in the Office of the General
7 Counsel, and Austin Rappeport in the Office of Electric
8 Reliability.

9 MR. CHRISTOPHER: Good morning, Mr. Chairman and
10 Commissioners.

11 Item E-7 is a draft Notice of Proposed Rulemaking
12 on the Critical Infrastructure Protection -- CIP -- version
13 5 Reliability Standards. In addition to those of us seated
14 at the table, we would also like to recognize the
15 contribution of the various team members from the Office of
16 Electric Reliability, the Office of the General Counsel, and
17 the Office of Enforcement.

18 On January 31, 2013, NERC submitted the fifth
19 version of the CIP Reliability Standards. The proposed
20 standards represent an improvement over the current
21 Commission-approved CIP Reliability Standards. In
22 particular, the CIP version 5 standards adopt a new approach
23 to identifying and classifying all BES Cyber Systems as
24 having high, medium, or low impact. Moreover, the proposed
25 standards include new security controls, focused on
26

1 improving how responsible entities address systems security
2 management, configuration change management, vulnerability
3 assessments, and other key aspects of a baseline cyber
4 security program.

5 The draft NOPR proposes to approve the CIP
6 version 5 standards as an improvement over the currently-
7 approved CIP Reliability Standards. There are, however,
8 certain aspects of the proposed standards that raise
9 concerns regarding the ambiguity, and ultimately the
10 enforceability of the CIP version 5 Standards. The NOPR
11 seeks comments on these concerns, including the use of new
12 language to "identify, assess, and correct" deficiencies
13 when complying with the standards. The NOPR also seeks
14 comment on the potential vagueness of certain proposed
15 definitions as well as the lack of specific technical
16 controls for Low Impact BES Cyber Systems.

17 The NOPR also proposed to approve NERC's
18 implementation plan for CIP version 5. Under NERC's
19 proposed implementation plan, CIP version 4 will be retired
20 prior to mandatory compliance, and responsible entities will
21 transition directly from CIP version 3 Standards to
22 compliance with CIP version 5. However, the NOPR asks
23 whether the 24-month and 36-month implementation periods
24 proposed by NERC for the CIP version 5 Standards are
25 necessary, and what activities are required to effect the
26

1 transition during the proposed implementation periods.

2 Thank you. This concludes our presentation.

3 Staff would be happy to answer any questions.

4 COMMISSIONER WELLINGHOFF: Thank you, Jason. And
5 I want to thank the team from the Office of Reliability
6 Enforcement.

7 These standards have all been approved. Looks
8 like the cyber security system. We deeply appreciate the
9 fact that version 5 standards for the first time will
10 require, at least at a minimum for all electric systems,
11 cyber systems. We will therefore extend the scope of the
12 systems that are protected by CIP reliability standards.

13 While I support the focus on medium and high
14 impact assets, I also believe that it is important for
15 specific, technically supported cyber security goals for
16 these low impact assets.

17 In addition, certain of the language of the
18 standards needs to identify efficiencies is unclear.

19 Finally, I look forward to the comments we
20 receive in the proposed rule.

21 Again, I want to thank the team for the hard work
22 on the NOPR and for their continued efforts to work towards
23 the final rule. Thank you all.

24 Colleagues?

25 COMMISSIONER MOELLER: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

26

1 For the sake of efficiency, I'll associate my
2 comments with Commissioner LaFleur of the events in Boston.

3 Congratulations to the fine recipients of the
4 Chairman's Medal, and best wishes to Esther, a tough and
5 fair reporter.

6 Thanks to the team for this. I'm reminded of
7 comments of our former chairman, Joe Keliher, who would
8 often say, "The Commission speaks through its orders." And
9 the Commission speaks most soundly when we can agree and all
10 support a particular order. And in this case, as with many
11 of our orders, particularly the order of 1,000 filings,
12 there are dozens of issues that we have to negotiate and
13 compromise on. But I'm very happy that we've all come
14 together in endorsing this CIP version 5.

15 As noted, I think we were responsive to the
16 industry, who asked us, because of the relatively recent
17 event of approving CIP 4, that we skip past essentially CIP
18 4 and go right to CIP 5. So I think again that shows some
19 responsiveness by this Commission toward the difficult job
20 of critical infrastructure protection.

21 But as you will note when you read this order,
22 there are many instances where we are asking people's views
23 as to some of the calls that are made in the order. And
24 again, that's done in an effort to elicit other comments;
25 perhaps views that were different than those espoused in the
26

1 order; but I appreciate again the work of the team, all of
2 the advisors in coming together on an order that we can all
3 support on something that is very, very, very important to
4 this nation. So I look forward to supporting you.

5 COMMISSIONER WELLINGHOFF: Commissioner Norris.

6 COMMISSIONER NORRIS: Thank you.

7 I will go with your comments as well, Phil. And
8 I send this out to folks in Boston, and all of the victims
9 in our country of terrorism and gun violence. A tough time
10 for our nation right now.

11 And also congratulations to all of you. If I
12 didn't know any better, I'd be worried you're all leaving or
13 something like that.

14 (Laughter)

15 COMMISSIONER NORRIS: All well-deserving awards.

16 And you as well, Esther. I hope we'll see you --
17 I'm sure we'll see you. I told you before, I'm sure your
18 new duties will involve a fair amount of FERC investigation
19 as well. So I look forward to working with you.

20 A couple questions on this for the team: The
21 'identify, assess and correct' language, which caused a lot
22 of discussion in staff as well, does that appear in any
23 other -- or current or proposed reliability standard that
24 NERC has that you know of?

25 MR. CHRISTOPHER: Commissioner, no, there are
26

1 currently no standards with the 'identify, assess and
2 correct' language that have either been approved by the NERC
3 Board of Trustees or filed with the Commission; and it's not
4 in existing standards.

5 COMMISSIONER NORRIS: Is there a benefit to
6 develop with NERC? A new development, I guess? Language.

7 MR. CHRISTOPHER: Yes, it is.

8 COMMISSIONER NORRIS: All right, thanks.

9 And also in the NOPR, we direct NERC to develop
10 modifications to require entities to adopt specific,
11 technically-supported cyber security controls for low impact
12 assets.

13 Can you give me an example or two of what is a
14 technically supported cyber security control for low impact
15 assets? My intent here is for a front line worker, to know
16 what they have to do, just for my edification. What would
17 be a technically supported?

18 MR. CHRISTOPHER: Absolutely. While the draft
19 NOPR does not propose any specific controls for low impact
20 assets for NERC to adopt, we do know that there are some
21 controls that apply to high and medium that could apply to
22 low.

23 One example, and I'll quote the standard directly
24 actually, is CIP 7 R-5. Which states that, the responsible
25 entities to change default passwords per cyber asset
26

1 capability.

2 Other examples also exist with other standards
3 such as the NES-risk management framework. That it could be
4 applied; they identify them as low assets in their
5 standards, they could be applied across the NERC standards
6 as well. One example is allowing only authorized personnel
7 to access low impact systems. We do note that we've asked
8 for comment on this, and the Staff is looking forward to
9 seeking out what the value of putting specific controls for
10 low cyber assets are.

11 COMMISSIONER NORRIS: That's a proposal; it
12 wouldn't be a proposal -- no one authorized that. That
13 would seem very basic to me.

14 MR. CHRISTOPHER: Right. We haven't proposed
15 anything directly in the NOPR itself. So we're seeking --
16 the comments are to seek, based on cyber security risk
17 levels, what would be appropriate?

18 COMMISSIONER NORRIS: You say you look at some
19 that apply to high and medium and see if they should apply
20 to low?

21 MR. CHRISTOPHER: That's a possibility, as well
22 as other standards.

23 COMMISSIONER NORRIS: All right. Thanks.

24 In reading through the NOPR and NERC's filing on
25 this, just a couple observations for the Staff or folks

26

1 working on this and folks in industry working on this.

2 First, thank you for your work, thanks to
3 industry for their work. I think there has been a real
4 genuine focus on trying to step up to the plate here: Joe's
5 shop and Mike's shop and the industry, to address a real
6 national security concern. In my mind it's a unique
7 situation where we're asking the private sector to play a
8 significant role in national defense. Maybe we've done that
9 before, but not to this degree, in my mind.

10 So it creates a different relationship; and I
11 think the industry is really striving to step up to the
12 plate and find out what they can do. And my concern is,
13 those front line workers out there are the ones we're going
14 to be depending upon to be the security force in this
15 critical asset area, our electric delivery system in this
16 country.

17 And while I support the NOPR, and as Phil said,
18 we always compromise on these things, I would say the
19 concern is -- probably goes to your shop, Larry, as well as
20 anybody else is -- the objective here is reliability and
21 security. While enforcement and compliance is a means to
22 achieve that, right now I think front line workers and even
23 utility executives are asking for direction on what is
24 exactly, they need to do? Which is a problem for our
25 country because we can't share certain information with
26

1 them; that's out of our sphere of control here at FERC. I
2 hope the Congress can take some action on that.

3 But in addition to that, this is the one area of
4 cyber security that I'm aware of that, unlike the
5 President's executive order, the presidential policy
6 directive where it's voluntary, we actually have mandatory
7 standards. So you get a different relationship with the
8 folks we're asking to deploy an effort for national
9 security, through cyber security.

10 So I'm hopeful for the next period of time, as we
11 strive to work with NERC and NERC responds to our questions,
12 that we find -- we keep the focus on helping that front line
13 worker know what to do. We will have ample opportunity in
14 the back end when people aren't stepping up to the plate to
15 work on compliance and enforcement. But I just want to note
16 the unique relationship and arrangement we have in this
17 space with mandatory standards in area where, in Section 215
18 Congress gave due deference to the technical expertise of
19 industry, but I don't think they had cyber security in mind
20 when they passed 215. They probably had in mind vegetation
21 management and expertise you'd expect the utility company to
22 have.

23 But we're going to have to make sure that they're
24 able -- and they've ramped up their ability tremendously in
25 the last few years on cyber security. We're going to have
26

1 to make sure that we have the capability, as a Commission,
2 for Congress to make sure that the utility sector has the
3 information they need to do the job we're asking and
4 expecting them to do.

5 So this is going to be an ongoing effort, on this
6 Commission's part, on Staff's part, on the Commissioners'
7 part, and the utility sector to address this very complex
8 issue. And I probably just made it more complex and
9 confusing with my remarks, but I didn't -- just as an
10 observation, as we go forward: How this is, and how unique
11 this is that we're asking the private sector -- I want to
12 recognize the private sector for that. We're asking them to
13 step up, play a critical role in national defense, and also
14 an expense for national defense that we have not budgeted or
15 authorized; it probably won't; the rational, expect them to
16 pay for a lot of this stuff, so we as regulators and state
17 regulators, we're going to have to really be responsive as
18 well to make sure there's appropriate recovery for the
19 measures we were asking folks; and in our case, requiring
20 folks to do. Thank you.

21 COMMISSIONER WELLINGHOFF: Thank you, John.
22 Cheryl.

23 COMMISSIONER LaFLEUR: Thank you, Jon and John.

24 I am going to be putting out a longer statement
25 on the web on the four reliability orders that we're voting
26

1 on today; today is a big day, a lot of work, and I want to
2 thank the teams at the Commission who turned these orders
3 around, particularly turning around the CIP 5 orders as
4 quickly as we needed to, as well as all the folks in NERC
5 and industry who brought us the standards and other things
6 that we could largely approve.

7 Cyber security obviously has gotten a great deal
8 of attention in recent months, thanks in no small part to
9 the President's executive order; and I think the executive
10 order and a lot of the other efforts that are going on
11 really are a call to action to all of us to build a culture
12 of cyber security, and build in the things that really embed
13 cyber security into our operation, the same way we looked at
14 reliability and safety, other aspects of reliability and
15 safety and other imperatives.

16 We're fortunate, at the Commission, as
17 Commissioner Norris noted, to have the existing authority to
18 work within or to put in place mandatory standards; that
19 authority. I think it was contemplated by the statute with
20 a reference to a cyber security incident, and FERC and NERC
21 and the NRC are I believe are the only places where there's
22 any of that mandatory authority, and it gives us a head
23 start.

24 Earlier this week I was honored to be able to
25 speak at the Woodrow Wilson Center, the American-Canadian
26

1 Conference on Cyber Security, and every single speaker
2 mentioned in some way, shape or form that this is a journey,
3 not a destination. That threats continue to evolve; it's
4 not that you 'lock it down and you're done'; we need to
5 continue to make it better.

6 And I drew an analogy between the CIP 1, 2, 3, 4,
7 5 and the iPhones; my daughter lost her iPhone -- or didn't
8 actually; damaged her iPhone a couple days ago -- and when
9 she went to the store they said "Don't even bother buying a
10 5, because 6 is coming out soon, and there's always the next
11 one with more user-friendliness, more features, more
12 coverage'; and it's just like that with CIP.

13 So in the same spirit we say, don't even bother
14 buying CIP 4, we're on to CIP 5.

15 (Laughter)

16 COMMISSIONER LaFLEUR: I echo the comments of my
17 colleagues. I think we have some significant questions
18 about the enforceability, auditability of some of the
19 standards, particularly the requirement to identify, assess
20 and correct and what that will mean in practice. And we
21 also asked questions on the implementation period; whether
22 the full two years, three years is needed -- since we're
23 doing 4 whether we can do 5 more quickly. And I really
24 invite the comments as always, as we move into the final
25 rule stage.

26

1 Thank you very much.

2 COMMISSIONER WELLINGHOFF: Thank you, Cheryl.

3 Tony.

4 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Thank you. I'm mindful of a
5 former colleague of mine that I served with on another
6 commission who was most junior. And we'd get to his turn to
7 say things, and he would frequently say at our meetings:
8 "Everything good has already been said by the time you turn
9 to me, so I'm just going to shut up." And I find myself
10 more and more in that position -- (Laughter)
11 -- these days, at least the last year or so.

12 So I would just echo and associate my comments
13 with my colleagues. Thanks to the team for the great work
14 that's been done here. It's a very important topic; it's
15 reliability of the grid, and the security of the American
16 people.

17 COMMISSIONER WELLINGHOFF: All right.

18 Madam Secretary, I think we are ready for the
19 vote on this discussion.

20 SECRETARY BOSE: The vote begins with
21 Commissioner Clark.

22 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Yes.

23 SECRETARY BOSE: Commissioner LaFleur.

24 COMMISSIONER LaFLEUR: Vote Aye.

25 SECRETARY BOSE: Commissioner Norris.

26

1 COMMISSIONER NORRIS: Aye.

2 SECRETARY BOSE: Commissioner Moeller.

3 COMMISSIONER MOELLER: Aye.

4 SECRETARY BOSE: And Chairman Wellinghoff.

5 COMMISSIONER WELLINGHOFF: I vote Aye.

6 That concludes our voting, and I have one final
7 item.

8 Esther Whielden, if you could stand, please.

9 [Standing]

10 COMMISSIONER WELLINGHOFF: I'm not going to give
11 you the Chairman's Award, Esther --

12 (Laughter)

13 -- but I do have a limerick for you. And I want
14 to tell you that I didn't write the limerick, but I'm going
15 to read it because that's what I'm paid to do.

16 There once was a reporter named Esther,

17 As a reporter, her job was to fester.

18 After seven years of work, reporting on FERC, we
19 wish her luck her in her new endeavors.

20 Esther, thank you for being here.

21 (Applause)

22 COMMISSIONER WELLINGHOFF: With that, our meeting
23 is adjourned.

24 (Whereupon, at 10:35 a.m., Thursday, April 18,
25 2013, the 993rd meeting of the Federal Energy Regulatory

26

1 Commission was adjourned.)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25