129 FERC ¶ 61,089
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

Before Commissioners: Jon Wellinghoff, Chairman;
Suedeen G. Kelly, Marc Spitzer,
and Philip D. Moeller.

Millennium Pipeline Company, L.L.C. Docket No. RP10-17-000

ORDER ACCEPTING TARIFF SHEETS SUBJECT TO CONDITIONS

(Issued October 30, 2009)

1. On October 1, 2009, Millennium Pipeline Company, L.L.C. (Millennium) filed revised tariff sheets[1] to its FERC Gas Tariff, Original Volume No. 1. Millennium's revised tariff sheets include: (1) amendments to its Rate Schedule PALS and its existing Form of Parking and Lending (PALS) Service Agreement; (2) modifications to its creditworthiness language; (3) the inclusion of a contractual Right of First Refusal (ROFR); (4) a description of Millennium's methods of evaluating Bids for Service with future commencement dates; (5) provisions providing for the installation of flow control devices and adjusting measurement equipment language; (6) provisions relating to the reimbursement of sales and use taxes and fees; (7) modifications to provisions describing operational gas purchases and sales; (8) the revision of its forms of service agreement; (9) the elimination of its *pro forma* Operational Balancing Agreement; (10) modifications to language describing the identification of pooling points; and (11) other miscellaneous/conforming tariff changes. As discussed below, the Commission accepts Millennium's proposed tariff sheets, as listed in the Appendix to this order, to be effective November 1, 2009, as requested, subject to conditions discussed below.

Summary of Instant Filing

Creditworthiness Provisions

2. Millennium proposes changes to the creditworthiness standards in its General Terms and Conditions (GT&C) at section 3.9.[2] Among other things, Millennium states

[1] The revised tariff sheets are listed in the Appendix.

[2] Proposed First Revised Sheet Nos. 70-73.

that it seeks to require additional credit assurance for new expansion projects up to a shipper's proportionate share of the cost of the new facilities, to be reduced monthly in equal amounts through the term of the service agreement or as agreed to with the shipper. Millennium asserts that this provision is consistent with similar tariff provisions recently approved by the Commission.[3]

3. Millennium also proposes revisions to its tariff to address the credit requirements applicable to new facilities which were constructed to accommodate a shipper if that shipper defaults and service is terminated. Essentially, Millennium proposes to reduce the original shipper's credit assurance requirement by the credit assurance provided by the newly awarded shipper that may be attributed to the cost of the facilities. Millennium further proposes to clarify that the definition of "capital" used in determining a shipper's net worth may apply to entities other than corporations. In addition, Millennium proposes to include petitions for involuntary bankruptcy or the effect of economic conditions, both general and specific, in its evaluation of a shipper's creditworthiness. Millennium further proposes revisions that provide that a shipper's loss of creditworthiness does not eliminate its obligations for outstanding balances or the need to provide credit assurance if such a shipper wishes to resume service. Furthermore, Millennium proposes that all shippers continue to be liable for all charges under their service agreement, regardless of whether a shipper is insolvent, has become non-creditworthy, or wishes to discontinue service. Finally, Millennium states that its proposed tariff sheets will treat requests for service that do not contain the specified creditworthiness information as null and void, and that a shipper's default on one of its service agreements may be deemed by Millennium to be a default or loss of creditworthiness as to all of its agreements.

Contractual ROFR for Long-Term Discounted Firm Service

4. Millennium seeks to add a provision permitting it to offer a contractual ROFR in discounted rate or negotiated rate firm service agreements that bear a term of 12 or more consecutive months.[4] Millennium asserts that this will be offered on a not unduly discriminatory basis and will provide both parties additional flexibility in negotiating long-term arrangements for capacity. Millennium further asserts that its contractual ROFR language is consistent with well-established Commission policy.

---

[3] *Citing, e.g., Columbia Gas Transmission Corp.*, 119 FERC ¶ 61,041 (2007).

[4] Proposed First Revised Sheet Nos. 78-86A.

Evaluation of Bids for Service with a Future Commencement Date

5. Millennium proposes tariff procedures that will allow it to entertain and evaluate bids for service that will not commence until a future date. Accordingly, Millennium states that its new language will permit bids, for either existing capacity or capacity that becomes available due to modification, construction, or acquisition of facilities, to be evaluated based upon net present value (NPV) and using the current Commission-approved interest rate in calculating the NPV of bids.[5] Millennium asserts that this will provide flexibility to shippers and allow Millennium to make interim capacity available to shippers with more short-term needs. Millennium further asserts that this proposal is generally consistent with provisions currently included in other pipeline tariffs approved by the Commission.

Installation of Flow Control Devices

6. Millennium is proposing language regarding the potential installation of flow control devices in the event that a shipper violates (i) the applicable flow requirements or (ii) the requirements set forth in GT&C section 12, which describes the maximum daily delivery obligation at delivery points and maximum daily quantity at receipt points.[6] Millennium asserts that its proposal will, in such an event, authorize it to install or require the installation of a flow control device. Millennium asserts that it seeks to protect its overall pipeline operations with this provision. Further, Millennium states that it will implement the proposed provision in a not unduly discriminatory manner.

Measurement Equipment

7. Millennium proposes to include revisions to the measuring equipment provision at GT&C section 26.9(a)-(c).[7] Millennium's proposal provides that it may not be required to pay for, construct, or install facilities of any kind, including but not limited to meters and measuring stations, notwithstanding any other provisions in its tariff. Millennium further proposes that, if the shipper installs the measuring station and associated equipment, the shipper and Millennium will agree in writing to reasonable standards and practices by which such measuring stations and associated equipment must be operated and maintained by Shipper as required to insure the continuous accuracy thereof.

---

[5] Proposed First Revised Sheet Nos. 83-84.

[6] Proposed Second Revised Sheet No. 138.

[7] Proposed First Revised Sheet No. 283.

Reimbursement of Sales and Use Taxes

8. Millennium proposes new tariff language at GT&C section 53 that will permit it to collect from its shippers any applicable energy, value added, sales or use tax, gross proceeds tax, or similar taxes levied by governmental authorities that Millennium is obligated by law to collect and remit.[8] Millennium asserts that this provision will not impose any additional burdens on its shippers and is similar to language approved by the Commission in other tariffs.

Operational Gas Purchases and Sales

9. Millennium proposes new GT&C section 54 to clarify its authority to make operational sales of gas.[9] Millennium confirms that it is not intending to engage in merchant sales activities. Proposed section 54.1 provides the specific circumstances under which Millennium may buy or sell gas for operational purposes (e.g., maintain system pressure and line pack, manage system imbalances, perform other operational functions, and protect operational integrity of its system). Millennium explains that, consistent with Commission policy, operational transactions will have a lower priority than firm service. Proposed section 54.2 provides that Millennium will post its operational sales quantities for bidding on its electronic bulletin board (EBB), thereby permitting all shippers to complete for these volumes, and bids will be evaluated on a non-discriminatory basis. Proposed section 54.3 sets forth the details of an annual report of operational purchases and sales that will be filed with the Commission by Millennium.

Forms of Service Agreements

10. Millennium proposes to revise its forms of service agreement, adopting a single Form of Service Agreement for its firm rate schedules, FT-1, HT-2, HT-2, and BH-1, and a separate service agreement for its interruptible rate schedule IT-1.[10] Millennium asserts its proposed forms of service agreement are modeled after its currently approved Form of Service Agreement, but include some minor changes. Finally, Millennium proposes a "Further Agreement" provision in proposed section 9 of its Forms of Service Agreement

---

[8] Proposed First Revised Sheet Nos. 508.

[9] Proposed First Revised Sheet Nos. 508-509

[10] Proposed rate schedule for FT-1 (Firm Transportation Service), FT-2 (Firm Transportation Service), HT-1 (Hourly Transportation Service), and BH-1 (Backhaul Transportation Service) is found on proposed Revised Sheet Nos. 550-552 and 556; Proposed Rate Schedule IT-1 (Interruptible Transportation Service) is found on proposed Original Sheet Nos. 556A-556C.

which Millennium states allows for specifying additional terms subject to the satisfaction of applicable regulatory requirements.

Master PALS Agreement and PALS Transaction

11. Millennium proposes to amend its existing Form of Parking and Lending Service Agreement to serve as a Master PALS Service Agreement.[11] Shippers requesting Rate Schedule PALS transactions will need to execute an individual supplement to the Master PALS Service Agreement.[12] Millennium states that this change will simplify contracting procedures for Rate Schedule PALS shippers, lessen the administrative burden on Millennium, enable Millennium to engage in more efficient transactions with its shippers, and provide shippers with additional flexibility. Millennium also proposes changes to its Rate Schedule PALS reflecting these changes.[13] Millennium asserts that its proposed contracting structure for Rate Schedule PALS services is consistent with similar tariff provisions recently approved by the Commission.[14]

Elimination of *Pro Forma* Operational Balancing Agreement

12. Millennium proposes to eliminate the form of service agreement for Operational Balancing Agreement (OBA) from its tariff.[15] Millennium asserts that its interconnection operators have not required access to a standard form of agreement in its tariff, and, consistent with Commission regulations, Millennium has OBA agreements in place at all of its interconnects, and copies of such agreements are available for review upon reasonable request. Millennium further asserts that eliminating the *pro forma* OBA is consistent with Commission policy.[16]

---

[11] Proposed First Revised Sheet Nos. 560-562.

[12] Proposed Original Sheet Nos. 563-563B.

[13] Proposed First Revised Sheet Nos. 26-27, 29-32 and Second Revised Sheet No. 28.

[14] *Citing, e.g., Columbia Gas Transmission Corp.*, 119 FERC ¶ 61,235, at P3 and n.6 (2007).

[15] Proposed First Revised Sheet Nos. 606-612.

[16] *Citing Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corp.*, 96 FERC ¶ 61,352 (2001) (Transcontinental), determining that interstate pipelines are not required to maintain *pro forma* operational balancing agreements.

Miscellaneous Tariff Changes

13. Millennium also filed numerous changes to address miscellaneous and conforming tariff changes. For example, Millennium seeks to correct an error in its Rate Schedule IPP (Interruptible Paper Pool), clarifying that eligible pooling points will be identified on Millennium's EBB. Millennium states that it is also correcting errors in its GT&C Table of Contents, as well as updating the Preliminary Statement, Table of Contents, and GT&C Table of Contents. Millennium states that it is adding new definitions into its GT&C definitions, as well as modifying other definitions slightly for the sake of clarity. Furthermore, Millennium states that it has incorporated by reference North American Energy Standards Board, Wholesale Gas Quadrant (NAESB WGQ) standards and removed terms defined by the incorporated NAESB WGQ standards from the GT&C definitions. Millennium also proposes to explicitly state that the state law of New York, where Millennium provides service, is the applicable state law governing agreements entered into between a shipper and Millennium. Finally, Millennium states that it has updated its address and the reference to the electronic software utilized by Millennium for its electronic protocols, and rephrased or omitted the term "shall" where so doing improves the clarity of the language in its tariff without altering its meaning.

Notice of Filings, Interventions, Request for Clarification, Protest, and Answer

14. Notice of Millennium's filing in Docket No. RP10-17-000 was issued on October 5, 2009. Interventions and protests were due as provided in section 154.210 of the Commission's regulations, 18 C.F.R. § 154.210 (2009). Pursuant to Rule 214, 18 C.F.R. § 385.214 (2009), all timely filed motions to intervene and any motions to intervene out-of-time filed before the issuance date of this order are granted. Granting late intervention at this stage of the proceeding will not disrupt this proceeding or place additional burdens on existing parties. United States Gypsum Company (USGC) filed a protest and Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. (Con Edison) filed a request for clarification.

15. On October 16, 2009, Millennium filed an answer to the filings from USGC and Con Edison (answer). The Commission accepts Millennium's answer, because it provides information that may assist us in our decision-making process.[17] USGC's protest, Con Edison's request for clarification, Millennium's answer and other issues are discussed below.

[17] Rule 213(a)(2) of the Commission's regulations, 18 C.F.R. § 385.213(a)(2) (2009), prohibits an answer to a protest unless otherwise ordered by the decisional authority.

Discussion

16. The Commission accepts Millennium's revised tariff sheets as listed in the Appendix to this order, effective November 1, 2009, subject to the conditions discussed below.

17. USGC protests Millennium's proposal to eliminate its *pro forma* OBA from its tariff. USGC contends that it will be harmed by the proposal because, as a Line A-5 shipper on Columbia Gas Transmission Company (Columbia), USGC depends on the integrated operation of Millennium and Columbia. USGC alleges that because Millennium and Columbia are interconnected operators, the proposed elimination of the standard OBA from Millennium's tariff would open the door to unfiled changes to the OBA between Columbia and Millennium. USGC contends that changes to an OBA provision could violate the repeated assurances by Columbia, Millennium and the Commission that Columbia's lease of Millennium capacity and FT arrangements with Millennium would preserve service reliability and flexibility for Columbia's transportation customers, including its Line A-5 customers. USGC asserts that, absent further information that there will be no harm to Columbia's shippers and assurance that a Natural Gas Act (NGA) section 4 filing will be made before there is a change in delivery arrangements affecting Columbia, the proposed elimination of the *pro forma* OBA may be unjust and unreasonable, unduly discriminatory, and would undermine Columbia's services to its shippers, including its Line A-5 shippers. In its answer, Millennium asserts that it is not planning to amend its OBA with Columbia and, in any case, USGC's claims are unsupported.

18. The Commission agrees with Millennium that USGC's allegations of harm due to possible OBA revisions are premature, speculative, and unsupported. There is no general requirement that pipelines file or maintain a *pro forma* OBA in their tariffs. In fact, the Commission does not require pipelines to file OBAs if copies of executed agreements and detailed records are made available by the pipeline.[18] The requirement that there be OBAs at all points of interconnection between interstate pipelines[19] is intended to manage physical imbalances between the point operators and ensure that shippers will not be subject to scheduling or imbalance penalties based on the actions or the inactions of the OBA operator. While USGC asserts that the deletion of Millennium's *pro forma* OBA may result in Millennium and Columbia never proving that revisions to their OBA are just and reasonable, the Commission finds that OBAs are jurisdictional agreements

[18] *Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corp.*, 65 FERC ¶ 61,315, at 62,347 (1993).

[19] 18 C. F. R. § 284.12(b)(2)(i) (2009).

that must be just and reasonable.[20] Millennium's tariff provides for receipt point allocation in accordance with provisions of an OBA[21] and is required to negotiate and enter into OBAs in accordance with section 284.12 of the Commission regulations which pertains to OBAs.[22] Therefore, Millennium's tariff provides for OBAs and, as discussed above, all OBAs must be available for review and any future revisions to the OBA will be made available and, if appropriately challenged, the Commission will determine whether the OBA is just and reasonable and not unduly discriminatory. Therefore, USGC's request for rejection of Millennium's proposed removal of the *pro forma* OBA from the tariff is denied.[23]

19. Con Edison seeks clarification of one aspect of Millennium's proposed contractual ROFR provision. Con Edison states that proposed GT&C section 4.1(b)(2) provides, "If the Service Agreement has a regulatory right of first refusal, Transporter and Shipper must reach agreement to extend prior to initiation of the right of first refusal procedure pursuant to Section 4.1(c)." Con Edison states that Millennium has agreed to clarify that by "initiation of the right of first refusal procedure pursuant to Section 4.1(c)," it means the Shipper notification discussed in section 4.1(c)(2) (i.e., prior to shipper's notice of intent to exercise its right of first refusal). Millennium states, in its answer, that the clarification requested by Con Edison is acceptable and that it will make a compliance filing to insert the additional language as requested by Con Edison.

---

[20] USGC (at 9) argues that "when necessary to ensure just and reasonable terms and conditions of service" the Commission has required pipelines to file OBAs. However, this case does not involve OBA provisions, either in actual OBAs or a *pro forma* OBA, and thus the Commission need not determine whether they are unduly discriminatory, unjust, or unreasonable.

[21] Effective Original Sheet No. 130 to Millennium's FERC Gas Tariff, Original Volume No. 1.

[22] Effective Original Sheet No. 132 to Millennium's FERC Gas Tariff, Original Volume No. 1.

[23] Millennium filed First Revised Sheet No. 604, which reserved Sheet Nos. 604 through 612 for future use, while filing First Revised Sheet Nos. 606 through 612 as blank tariff sheets. Filing First Revised Sheet Nos. 606 through 612 is unnecessary because such sheets are reserved for future use. Therefore, such sheets are rejected as moot. Further, the heading on First Revised Sheet No. 604 should be revised to reflect the sheets reserved for future use Sheet Nos. 604-612 and should not reference First Revised; therefore, Millennium is required to revise this tariff sheet to reflect the correct heading.

20. Con Edison is also concerned about an inconsistency between existing GT&C section 14.8(b) and revised section 6, entitled "Pledge and Assignment," of Millennium's Forms of Service Agreements for Rate Schedules FT-1, FT-2, HT-1, BH-1, and IT-1.[24] Con Edison asserts that revised section 6 contains no limitations on the discretion of either party to a Millennium service agreement to consent to an assignment, while section 14.8 provides that "Transporter may in its *reasonable discretion* agree to release the Releasor…" (emphasis added). Con Edison states that Millennium has agreed to conform section 6 of its forms of Service Agreement to retain the "reasonable discretion" limitation found in GT&C section 14.8(b). Con Edison states that this will require the following revisions in italics to the proposed language:

> Otherwise, neither Shipper nor Transporter may assign this Service Agreement or any of their rights hereunder unless they first obtain the consent in writing of the other party*, which consent shall not be withheld unreasonably*; provided further, however, that neither Shipper nor Transporter may be released from its obligations under this Service Agreement without the consent of the other*, which consent shall not be withheld unreasonably*. (emphasis added).

Millennium states, in its answer, that the clarification requested by Con Edison is acceptable and that it will make a compliance filing to insert the additional language as requested by Con Edison.

21. The Commission finds that Millennium's clarifications in response to Con Edison's request for clarification are sufficient. Accordingly, Millennium is directed, within thirty days of the date this order issues, to file revised tariff sheets making the tariff revisions to which it has agreed, as discussed above.

22. Millennium proposes to revise section 26.9 of its GT&C, which is entitled "Measuring Equipment." Section 26.9(a) currently provides that, unless otherwise agreed, Millennium will own, operate, and install "measuring stations and equipment by which the volumes of natural gas or quantities of energy received or delivered by Transporter are determined." Millennium proposes to add language to section 26.9(a), stating, "Notwithstanding any other provision in this FERC Gas Tariff," Millennium "may not be required to pay for or to construct or install facilities of any kind, including, but not limited to meters and measuring stations."[25] The language of Millennium's

---

[24] Effective Original Sheet No. 191 to Millennium's FERC Gas Tariff, Original Volume No. 1.

[25] Proposed First Revised Sheet No. 283.

proposed addition to section 26.9(a) appears to be overbroad. While section 26.9 of Millennium's GT&C only addresses measuring equipment, the proposed addition to section 26.9(a) could be read as applying not just to measuring equipment, but to all facilities of any kind regardless of whether they relate to measuring the volumes of gas received and delivered by Millennium. Therefore, Millennium is directed to revise its proposed revision to section 26.9(a) to clarify that the revised language only applies to measuring equipment.

23. Millennium proposed revisions to section 4 of its GT&C concerning auctions of available or existing firm service. Among other things, Millennium proposed to clarify its tariff language providing its long-term firm shippers paying the maximum recourse rate a regulatory ROFR, as required by section 284.221(d)(1)(ii) of the Commission's regulations.[26] Section 4.1(b)(1)(ii) of the GT&C, as revised, defines the term "long-term service agreement" as used in section 4 to mean "any firm Service Agreement with a term of 12 or more consecutive months of service." However, this definition of the long-term firm service agreements eligible for the regulatory ROFR is too narrow. The Commission's regulations stipulate that in order to qualify for a regulatory ROFR, the firm shipper's contract must be for service for twelve consecutive months or more at the applicable maximum rate for that service, *except that a contract for more than one year, for a service which is not available for 12 consecutive months, would be subject to the ROFR*. (emphasis added). The Commission will accept the proposed revisions to section 4 subject to the condition that Millennium revise its definition of the long-term firm service agreements eligible for the regulatory ROFR consistent with the Commission's regulations.

24. As discussed above, Millennium proposes to revise its Forms of Service Agreement, combining its firm Rate Schedules FT-1, HT-2, HT-1, and BH-1 into a single Form of Service Agreement and proposing a new separate form for interruptible service under Rate Schedule IT-1. Millennium proposes a "Further Agreement" provision in proposed section 9 which Millennium states allows for specifying additional terms subject to the satisfaction of applicable regulatory requirements.

25. Consistent with the Commission's determination in *Northern Natural Gas Co.*, 102 FERC ¶ 61,171, at P 18 (2003) (*Northern*) where the pipeline proposed a blank section labeled "Other", the Commission finds that allowing a blank section labeled "Further Agreement" in Millennium's Rate Schedules FT-1, FT-2, HT-1, and BH-1 *pro forma* service agreements is too broad and vague. The Commission requires pipelines to include *pro forma* service agreements in their tariffs in order to comply with the requirement of section 4 of the NGA that pipelines file all contracts which affect the

[26] 18 C.F.R. § 284.221(d)(1)(ii) (2009).

pipeline's rates and services "in any manner." The filing of *pro forma* service agreements gives the Commission and other interested parties an opportunity to review those service agreements to ensure that their provisions are just and reasonable.

26. As the Commission determined in *Northern*,[27] contract provisions must be fully transparent and implemented in a non-discriminatory manner. The proposed blank section entitled "Further Agreement" lacks the specificity required by the Commission. This lack of clarity could lead to the inclusion of impermissible terms and conditions of service. Allowing such a broad provision in the *pro forma* service agreement would deprive the Commission of an adequate opportunity to review the further provisions to ensure that they were just and reasonable and not unduly discriminatory, because Millennium would not have to file conforming agreements for Commission review. Further, other pipeline customers would not be able to review the additional provisions, thereby posing a substantial risk of undue discrimination. Accordingly, the Commission directs Millennium to clearly indicate that only provisions permitted by Millennium's tariff for the applicable rate schedule may be included in any such "Further Agreement".

27. In addition, in *Northern*,[28] the Commission required the pipeline to list in a section of its GT&C the provisions which could be included in its proposed broadly defined "blank" together with references to the specific tariff provisions authorizing it to negotiate those provisions.[29] Accordingly, the Commission will accept Millennium's proposal conditioned upon Millennium adding in its GT&C a similar list outlining the content which may be included in this section. The list should reference the specific section of Millennium's tariff (or other authority) authorizing each particular provision of the "Further Agreement" and specifying the rate schedules to which each provision applies.

<u>The Commission orders</u>:

(A) The revised tariff sheets as listed in the Appendix to this order are accepted to become effective on November 1, 2009, subject to conditions, as discussed in this order.

(B) Millennium is directed, within thirty days of the date of this order, to file revised tariff sheets consistent with the discussion in this order.

---

[27] *See also, e.g.*, *Gulf South Pipeline Company, LP*, 127 FERC ¶ 61,314, at P 8 (2009) (*Gulf South*).

[28] *See also, e.g.*, *Gulf South* at P 5-7.

[29] *Northern Natural*, 102 FERC ¶ 61,171 at P 19.

(C) First Revised Sheet Nos. 606, 607, 608, 609, 610, 611, and 612 are rejected.

By the Commission.

(S E A L)

Nathaniel J. Davis, Sr.,
Deputy Secretary.

Appendix

Docket No. RP10-17-000
Millennium Pipeline Company, L.L.C.

FERC Gas Tariff, Original Volume No. 1
Tariff Sheets to be Effective November 1, 2009, Subject to Conditions

Second Revised Sheet No. 1
First Revised Sheet No. 2
First Revised Sheet No. 4
First Revised Sheet No. 8
First Revised Sheet No. 17
First Revised Sheet No. 26
First Revised Sheet No. 27
Second Revised Sheet No. 28
First Revised Sheet No. 29
First Revised Sheet No. 30
First Revised Sheet No. 31
First Revised Sheet No. 32
First Revised Sheet No. 34
First Revised Sheet No. 35
First Revised Sheet No. 36
First Revised Sheet No. 38
First Revised Sheet No. 39
First Revised Sheet No. 40
Second Revised Sheet No. 45
First Revised Sheet No. 46
Second Revised Sheet No. 47
Original Sheet No. 47A
Second Revised Sheet No. 48
First Revised Sheet No. 49
Second Revised Sheet No. 50
Second Revised Sheet No. 51
First Revised Sheet No. 70
First Revised Sheet No. 70A
First Revised Sheet No. 70B
First Revised Sheet No. 71
First Revised Sheet No. 71A
First Revised Sheet No. 71B
First Revised Sheet No. 71C
First Revised Sheet No. 113
Second Revised Sheet No. 121
Second Revised Sheet No. 122
Second Revised Sheet No. 138
First Revised Sheet No. 140
Second Revised Sheet No. 182
First Revised Sheet No. 192
Second Revised Sheet No. 202
First Revised Sheet No. 213
First Revised Sheet No. 214
Second Revised Sheet No. 231
First Revised Sheet No. 232
First Revised Sheet No. 283
Second Revised Sheet No. 380
Second Revised Sheet No. 381
First Revised Sheet No. 477
First Revised Sheet No. 484
First Revised Sheet No. 490
First Revised Sheet No. 496
First Revised Sheet No. 502
First Revised Sheet No. 508
First Revised Sheet No. 509
Original Sheet No. 510
Second Revised Sheet No. 550
Second Revised Sheet No. 551
Second Revised Sheet No. 552
Second Revised Sheet No. 556
Original Sheet No. 556A
Original Sheet No. 556B
Original Sheet No. 556C
First Revised Sheet No. 558
First Revised Sheet No. 560
First Revised Sheet No. 561

First Revised Sheet No. 72
First Revised Sheet No. 73
First Revised Sheet No. 78
First Revised Sheet No. 79
First Revised Sheet No. 80
First Revised Sheet No. 81
First Revised Sheet No. 82
First Revised Sheet No. 83
First Revised Sheet No. 84
First Revised Sheet No. 85
First Revised Sheet No. 86
Original Sheet No. 86A
First Revised Sheet No. 91
Second Revised Sheet No. 107
First Revised Sheet No. 562
Original Sheet No. 563
Original Sheet No. 563A
Original Sheet No. 563B
First Revised Sheet No. 589
Second Revised Sheet No. 602
First Revised Sheet No. 604

Tariff Sheets Rejected as Moot

First Revised Sheet No. 606
First Revised Sheet No. 607
First Revised Sheet No. 608
First Revised Sheet No. 609
First Revised Sheet No. 610
First Revised Sheet No. 611
First Revised Sheet No. 612