

FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20426

October 31, 2006

In Reply Refer To:
Dominion Transmission, Inc.
Docket No. RP06-636-000

Dominion Transmission, Inc.
120 Tredegar Street
Richmond, VA 23219

Attention: Mabelle F. Grim
Director, Regulatory & Pricing

Reference: Annual Electric Power Cost Adjustment Filing

Dear Ms. Grim:

1. On September 29, 2006, Dominion Transmission, Inc. (DTI) filed revised tariff sheets¹ to update its effective Electric Power Cost Adjustment (EPCA) pursuant to section 17 of the General Terms and Conditions (GT&C) of its FERC Gas Tariff. The revised tariff sheets are accepted effective November 1, 2006.

2. In summary, DTI's proposed EPCA base rates consist of current fixed and usage EPCA components reflecting a projection of costs for a prospective twelve-month period beginning November 1, 2006, and EPCA surcharge rate components, which are a true up for actual activity within the deferral period. DTI adjusted the EPCA base rates in order to collect \$3,861,305 in projected annual electricity costs to be incurred for electric-powered compression, and to amortize the June 30, 2006 balance in its Unrecovered EPC Reimbursement Subaccount. Consistent with section 17.5 of its GT&C, DTI allocated EPCA costs to both transportation and storage customers, using the allocation factors underlying its base rates.

¹ Twenty-Ninth Revised Sheet No. 31, Fifth Revised Sheet No. 31A, Thirty-Second Revised Sheet No. 32, Fifth Revised Sheet No. 32A, Eighteenth Revised Sheet No. 34, Third Revised Sheet No. 34A, Twenty-Fifth Revised Sheet No. 35, Fifth Revised Sheet No. 35A, Eighteenth Revised Sheet No. 39 and Sixth Revised Sheet No. 39A to FERC Gas Tariff, Third Revised Volume No. 1.

3. Public notice of the filing was issued on October 5, 2006. Interventions and protests were due as provided in section 154.210 of the Commission's regulations. Pursuant to Rule 214 (18 C.F.R. § 385.214 (2006)), all timely filed motions to intervene and any motions to intervene out-of-time filed before the issuance date of this order are granted. Granting late intervention at this stage of the proceeding will not disrupt the proceeding or place additional burdens on existing parties.

4. On October 23, 2006, DTI filed an "Answer In Opposition To Late-Filed Motion To Intervene And Comments Of Individual." According to DTI's answer, it objects to the late-filed intervention and also sets forth explanations to concerns purportedly raised by Michael J. Wilhelm. The Commission's records do not reflect any intervention and comments filed by Michael J. Wilhelm in this proceeding. Accordingly, the Commission rejects DTI's answer as moot.

By direction of the Commission.

Magalie R. Salas,
Secretary.