

133 FERC ¶ 61,198
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20426

December 3, 2010

In Reply Refer To:
Kansas City Power & Light Company and
Kansas City Power & Light Company – Greater
Missouri Operations Company
Docket Nos. ER10-230-000
ER10-230-001

Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher, & Flom LLP
1440 New York Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20005-2111

Attn: Gerrard A. Clark, Esq.

Dear Mr. Clark:

1. On September 29, 2010, you filed an Offer of Settlement, including a Settlement Agreement and Explanatory Statement, in the above-referenced dockets on behalf of Kansas City Power & Light Company (KCP&L) and KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations Company (GMO), both subsidiaries of Great Plains Energy Incorporated (collectively, KCP&L/GMO); Kansas Electric Power Cooperative, Inc.; Kansas Municipal Energy Agency; the Kansas Corporation Commission; and the Missouri Joint Municipal Electric Utility Commission (the Settling Parties). On October 5, 2010, the Commission Trial Staff submitted comments in support of the settlement. No other comments were received. On October 7, 2010, the Settlement Judge Michael J. Cianci, Jr. certified the uncontested settlement to the Commission.

2. The Settlement Agreement indicates that it resolves all outstanding issues between the parties in Docket Nos. ER10-230-000 and ER10-230-001. The Settlement Agreement revises the formula rate templates and formula rate protocols for KCP&L and GMO as proposed in the above captioned proceeding and previously accepted by the Commission subject to refund and the outcome of hearing and settlement procedures.¹ Section 4.9 of the Settlement provides that changes to the Settlement Agreement proposed by a settling party, a non-settling third party, or by the Commission acting *sua sponte*, shall be subject to the just and reasonable standard of review.

¹ *Kansas City Power & Light Co.*, 130 FERC ¶ 61,009 (2010).

3. The Settlement appears to be fair and reasonable and in the public interest, and is hereby approved. The Commission's approval of the Settlement does not constitute approval of, or precedent regarding, any principle or issue in this proceeding. The Commission retains the right to investigate the rates, terms, and conditions under the just and reasonable and not unduly discriminatory or preferential standard of section 206 of the Federal Power Act, 16 U.S.C. § 824e (2006).

4. As a condition of this acceptance, KCP&L/GMO is required to make a compliance filing in eTariff format to ensure that any applicable electronic tariff provisions reflect the Commission action in this order.²

5. This letter terminates Docket Nos. ER10-230-000 and ER10-230-001.³

By direction of the Commission.

Nathaniel J. Davis, Sr.,
Deputy Secretary.

cc: All Parties

² See *Electronic Tariff Filings*, Order No. 714, FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 31,276, at P 96 (2008).

³ The pending request for rehearing is deemed withdrawn.