

128 FERC ¶ 61,161  
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20426

August 17, 2009

In Reply Refer To:  
Doswell Limited Partnership  
Docket No. ER05-1119-001

FPL Energy, LLC  
801 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.  
Suite 220  
Washington, DC 20004

Attn: Stephen L. Huntoon, Esq.  
Attorney for Doswell Limited Partnership

Dear Mr. Huntoon:

1. On August 31, 2005, you made a filing to comply with the Commission's order issued August 12, 2005.<sup>1</sup> The August 12 Order accepted in part and rejected in part Doswell Limited Partnership's (Doswell) proposed rate schedule specifying its revenue requirement for providing cost-based Reactive Support and Voltage Control from Generation Sources Service (reactive power) to PJM Interconnection, L.L.C. (PJM) from generating facilities located in Doswell, Virginia.<sup>2</sup>
2. As relevant here, the August 12 Order rejected Doswell's proposal to include in its reactive power revenue requirement costs attributable to the Doswell Facility. The Commission agreed with the Virginia Electric and Power Company (Dominion) that under the Combustion Turbine Agreement between Dominion and Doswell, Doswell must provide to Dominion the full electrical output of the Doswell Facility. The Commission required Doswell to amend its initial filing to remove these costs from its proposal. The Commission also found that issues of material fact were raised regarding Doswell's entitlement to provide reactive power service to PJM under the Combined Cycle Agreements between Dominion and Doswell. Accordingly, the Commission

---

<sup>1</sup> *Doswell Limited Partnership*, 112 FERC ¶ 61,182 (2005) (August 12 Order).

<sup>2</sup> The facilities consists of two 300 MW gas-fired combined cycle units and one 170 megawatt gas-fired combustion turbine generator (collectively, Doswell Facility).

suspended the filing for a nominal period, subject to refund and conditions, and set it for hearing and settlement judge procedures.<sup>3</sup> Settlement efforts were unsuccessful, and the Presiding Judge found that Dominion, not Doswell, is entitled to collect the reactive power revenues under the Combined Cycle Agreements.<sup>4</sup> The Commission affirmed the Presiding Judge's Initial Decision.

3. No aspect of Doswell's proposal for recovery of Reactive Power costs in Docket No. ER05-1119-000 remains pending before the Commission. Therefore, we find that Doswell's August 31, 2005 compliance filing removing the combustion turbine costs from the initial proposal is no longer necessary, and we will dismiss it as moot.

4. This order terminates Docket No. ER05-1119-001.

By direction of the Commission.

Nathaniel J. Davis, Sr.,  
Deputy Secretary.

---

<sup>3</sup> See August 12 Order, 112 FERC ¶ 61,182 at P 16.

<sup>4</sup> *Doswell Limited Partnership*, 117 FERC ¶ 63,017, at P 38, 145 (2006) (Initial Decision), *aff'd*, 120 FERC ¶ 61,011 (2007) (Opinion No. 496).