

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

Before Commissioners: Joseph T. Kelliher, Chairman;  
Suedeem G. Kelly, Marc Spitzer,  
and Jon Wellinohoff.

Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc.	Docket Nos. ER05-6-071
Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc. PJM Interconnection, LLC, <i>et al.</i>	EL04-135-074
Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc. PJM Interconnection, LLC, <i>et al.</i>	EL02-111-091
Ameren Services Company, <i>et al.</i>	EL03-212-087

ORDER APPROVING UNCONTESTED PARTIAL SETTLEMENT

(Issued November 3, 2006)

1. On June 26, 2006, American Electric Power Service Corporation (AEP)<sup>1</sup> and Hoosier Energy Rural Electric Cooperative (Hoosier) (collectively, Settling Parties) filed an Uncontested Partial Settlement (Settlement) resolving all Seams Elimination Cost Adjustment (SECA) issues between these two parties set for hearing in the above-captioned dockets. Specifically, the Settling Parties have agreed on \$1,046,070 as the amount of SECA charges assigned to Hoosier. Since Hoosier has paid \$1,202,380 in

---

<sup>1</sup> On behalf of certain operating companies of the American Electric Power System, including Appalachian Power Company, Columbus Southern Power Company, Indiana Michigan Power Company, Kentucky Power Company, Kingsport Power Company, Ohio Power Company, and Wheeling Power Company.

SECA obligations, the Settlement provides that AEP shall directly refund to Hoosier \$156,309 within thirty (30) days of the Commission's approval of the Settlement.

2. On June 30, 2006, Commission Trial Staff filed initial comments supporting the Settlement. No reply comments were filed. The Presiding Administrative Law Judge certified the Settlement to the Commission as uncontested on August 24, 2006.<sup>2</sup>

3. The subject Settlement is fair and reasonable and in the public interest; it is hereby approved. The Commission's approval of this Settlement does not constitute approval of, or precedent regarding, any principle or issue in this proceeding. The standard of review for any modifications to this Settlement requested by a Settling Party that are not agreed to by all Settling Parties shall be the "public interest" standard under the *Mobile-Sierra* doctrine.<sup>3</sup> The standard of review for any non-Settling Party to the Settlement Agreement and the Commission will be the most stringent standard permissible under applicable law.

4. AEP is directed to file a compliance report regarding any refunds pursuant to this Settlement within fifteen (15) days after making such refunds. AEP shall furnish copies of the report to the affected wholesale customers and to each state Commission within whose jurisdiction the wholesale customers distribute and sell electric energy at retail.

---

<sup>2</sup> *Midwest Independent System Operator, Inc.*, 116 FERC ¶ 63,038 (2006).

<sup>3</sup> *Federal Power Comm'n v. Sierra Pac. Power Co.*, 350 U.S. 348 (1956); *United Gas Pipe Line Co. v. Mobile Gas Service Corp.*, 350 U.S. 332 (1956). As a general matter, parties may bind the Commission to the public interest standard. *Northeast Utilities Service Co. v. FERC*, 993 F.2d 937, 960-62 (1<sup>st</sup> Cir. 1993). Under limited circumstances, such as when the agreement has broad applicability, the Commission has the discretion to decline to be so bound. *Maine Public Utilities Commission v. FERC*, 454 F.3d 278, 286-87 (D.C. Cir 2006). In this case we find that the public interest standard should apply.

5. This order terminates Docket Nos. ER05-6-071, EL04-135-074, EL02-111-091 and EL03-212-087. A new subdocket will be assigned upon the receipt of the required compliance filing.

By the Commission. Commissioner Kelly concurring with a separate statement attached.  
Commissioner Wellinghoff dissenting in part with a separate statement attached.  
Commissioner Moeller not participating.

( S E A L )

Magalie R. Salas,  
Secretary.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc.	Docket Nos.	ER05-6-071
Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc. PJM Interconnection, LLC, <i>et al.</i>		EL04-135-074
Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc. PJM Interconnection, LLC, <i>et al.</i>		EL02-111-091
Ameren Services Company, <i>et al.</i>		EL03-212-087

(Issued November 3, 2006)

KELLY, Commissioner, *concurring*:

The settling parties request that the Commission apply “the most stringent standard permissible under applicable law” with respect to any future changes proposed by a non-settling party or the Commission. This settlement resolves issues related to the Seams Elimination Cost Adjustment (SECA) monetary obligations between the parties for the period ending March 31, 2006. This settlement is uncontested, does not affect non-settling parties, and resolves the amount of the claimed SECA obligations between the parties for the relevant prior period. The settlement does not contemplate ongoing performance under the settlement into the future, which would raise the issue of what standard the Commission should apply to review any possible future modifications sought by non-parties or the Commission. Indeed, in a sense, the standard of review is irrelevant here. Therefore, while I do not agree with the order’s unexplained inference that the *Mobile-Sierra* “public interest” standard of review applies with respect to any future modifications sought by a non-party or the Commission, or the reasoning regarding the applicability of the *Mobile-Sierra* “public interest” standard of review (*see* footnote 3), I concur with the order’s approval of this settlement agreement.

---

Suedeem G. Kelly

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

Midwest Independent Transmission  
System Operator, Inc.

Docket Nos. ER05-6-071

Midwest Independent Transmission  
System Operator, Inc.  
PJM Interconnection, LLC, *et al.*

EL04-135-074

Midwest Independent Transmission  
System Operator, Inc.  
PJM Interconnection, LLC, *et al.*

EL02-111-091

Ameren Services Company, *et al.*

EL03-212-087

(Issued November 3, 2006)

WELLINGHOFF, Commissioner, dissenting in part:

The parties in this case have asked the Commission to apply the “public interest” standard of review when it considers future changes to the instant settlement that may be sought by any of the parties. With regard to such changes sought by either a non-party or the Commission acting *sua sponte*, the parties have asked the Commission to apply the most stringent standard permissible under applicable law. In response to the latter request, the Commission states that the “public interest” standard should apply to future changes sought by a non-party or the Commission acting *sua sponte*.

Because the facts of this case do not satisfy the standards that I identified in *Entergy Services, Inc.*,<sup>4</sup> I believe that it is inappropriate for the Commission to agree to apply the “public interest” standard to future changes to the settlement sought by a non-party or the Commission acting *sua sponte*. In addition, for the reasons that I identified in *Southwestern Public Service Co.*,<sup>5</sup> I disagree with the Commission’s characterization in this order of case law on the applicability of the “public interest” standard.

---

<sup>4</sup> 117 FERC ¶ 61,055 (2006).

<sup>5</sup> 117 FERC ¶ 61,149 (2006).

For these reasons, I respectfully dissent in part.

---

Jon Wellinghoff  
Commissioner